

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

CLINICAL BENEFIT	<input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	RETIRED 7/1/2026

[POLICY RATIONALE CODING INFORMATION](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS DEFINITIONS REFERENCES](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND DISCLAIMER POLICY HISTORY](#)

I. POLICY

Proteogenomic testing (see Policy Guidelines section) of individuals with cancer (including but not limited to GPS Cancer™ test) is considered **investigational** for all indications as there is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Policy Guidelines

Proteogenomic testing involves the integration of proteomic, transcriptomic, and genomic information. *Proteogenomic* testing can be differentiated from *proteomic* testing, in that *proteomic* testing can refer to the measurement of protein products alone, without integration of genomic and transcriptomic information. When protein products alone are tested, this is not considered proteogenomic testing.

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical policies updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society’s nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the Human Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, and the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology - “pathogenic,” “likely pathogenic,” “uncertain significance,” “likely benign,” and “benign” - to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Diseased-Assoc.Variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence.
	Variant	Change in DNA sequence
	Familial Variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives.

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely Pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association of Molecular Pathology.

Genetic Counseling

Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual's family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[Top](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>.

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[Top](#)

Proteogenomics refers to the integration of genomic information with proteomic and transcriptomic information to provide a more complete picture of genome function. The current focus of proteogenomics is primarily on the diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive potential of

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

proteogenomics in various cancers. One commercial proteogenomic test is available, the GPS Cancer™ test.

This review provides an overview of the emerging field of proteogenomics, with an emphasis on the currently available proteogenomic test, the GPS Cancer test. In addition to focusing on the GPS Cancer test, this review describes and outlines types of proteogenomic research currently reported in the literature that have potential clinical applications.

Proteogenomics

The term proteome refers to the entire complement of proteins produced by an organism or cellular system, and proteomics refers to the large-scale comprehensive study of a specific proteome. Similarly, the term transcriptome refers to the entire complement of transcription products (messenger RNAs), and transcriptomics refers to the study of a specific transcriptome. *Proteogenomics* refers to the integration of genomic information with proteomic and transcriptomic information to provide a more complete picture of the function of the genome.

A system's proteome is related to its genome and genomic alterations. However, while the genome is relatively static over time, the proteome is more dynamic and may vary over time and/or in response to selected stressors. Proteins undergo a number of modifications as part of normal physiologic processes. Following protein translation, modifications occur by splicing events, alternative folding mechanisms, and incorporation into larger complexes and signaling networks. These modifications are linked to protein function and result in functional differences that occur by location and over time.

Some of the main potential applications of proteogenomics in medicine include:

- Identifying biomarkers for diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive purposes
- Detecting cancer by proteomic profiles or “signatures”
- Quantitating levels of proteins and monitoring levels over time for:
 - Cancer activity
 - Early identification of resistance to targeted tumor therapy
- Correlating protein profiles with disease states.

Proteogenomics is an extremely complex field due to the intricacies of protein architecture and function, the many potential proteomic targets that can be measured, and the numerous testing methods used. Types of targets currently being investigated, and the testing methods used and under development next are discussed briefly herein.

Proteomic Targets

A proteomic target can be any altered protein that results from a genetic variant. Protein alterations can result from germline and somatic genetic variants. Altered protein products include mutated proteins, fusion proteins, alternative splice variants, noncoding messenger RNAs, and posttranslational modifications.

Mutated Protein (Sequence Alterations)

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

A mutated protein has an altered amino acid sequence that arises from a genetic variant. A single amino acid may be replaced in a protein or multiple amino acids in the sequence may be affected. Mutated proteins can arise from germline or somatic genetic variants. Somatic variants can be differentiated from germline variants by comparison with normal and diseased tissue.

Fusion Proteins

Fusion proteins are the product of one or more genes that fuse together. Most fusion genes discovered have been oncogenic, and fusion genes have been shown to have clinical relevance in a variety of cancers.

Alternative Splice Events

Post-translational enzymatic splicing of proteins results in numerous protein isoforms. Alternative splicing events can lead to abnormal protein isoforms with altered function. Some alternative splicing events have been associated with tumor-specific variants.

Noncoding RNAs

Noncoding portions of the genome serve as the template for noncoding RNA (ncRNA), which plays various roles in the regulation of gene expression. There are 2 classes of ncRNA: shorter ncRNAs, which include microRNAs and related transcript products, and longer ncRNAs, which are thought to be involved in cancer progression.

Post-translational Modifications

Post-translational modifications of histone proteins occur in normal cells and are genetically regulated. Histone proteins are found in the nuclei and play a role in gene regulation by structuring the DNA into nucleosomes. A nucleosome is composed of a histone protein core surrounded by DNA. Nucleosomes are assembled into chromatin fibers composed of multiple nucleosomes assembled in a specific pattern. Post-translational modifications of histone proteins include a variety of mechanisms, including methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, glycosylation, and related modifications.

Proteogenomic Testing Methods

Proteogenomic testing involves isolating, separating, and characterizing proteins from biologic samples, followed by correlation with genomic and transcriptomic data. Isolation of proteins is accomplished by trypsin digestion and solubilization. The soluble mix of protein isolates is then separated into individual proteins. This is generally done in multiple stages using high-performance liquid chromatography ion-exchange chromatography, 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis, and related methods. Once individual proteins are obtained, they may be characterized using various methods and parameters, some of which we describe below. There is literature addressing the analytic validity of these testing techniques.

Immunohistochemistry and Fluorescence in situ Hybridization

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and fluorescence in situ hybridization are standard techniques for isolating and characterizing proteins. Immunohistochemistry identifies proteins by using specific antibodies that bind to the protein. Therefore, this technique can only be used for known proteins and protein variants because it relies on the availability of a specific antibody. This technique also can only test a relatively small number of samples at once.

There are a number of reasons why IHC and fluorescence in situ hybridization are not well-suited for large-scale proteomic research. They are semiquantitative techniques and involve subjective interpretation. They are considered low-throughput assays that are time-consuming and expensive and require a relatively large tissue sample. Some advances in IHC and fluorescence in situ hybridization have addressed these limitations, including tissue microarray and reverse phase protein array.

- Tissue microarrays can be constructed that enable simultaneous analysis of up to 1000 tissue samples.
- Reverse phase protein array, a variation on tissue microarrays, allows for a large number of proteins to be quantitated simultaneously.

Mass Spectrometry

Mass spectrometry (MS) separates molecules by their mass to charge ratio and has been used as a research tool for studying proteins for many years. The development of technology that led to the application of MS to biologic samples has advanced the field of proteogenomics rapidly. However, the application of MS to clinical medicine is in its formative stages. There are currently several types of mass spectrometers and a lack of standardization in the testing methods. Additionally, MS equipment is expensive and currently largely restricted to tertiary research centers.

The potential utility of MS lies in its ability to provide a wide range of proteomic information efficiently, including:

- Identification of altered proteins;
- Delineation of protein or peptide profiles for a given tissue sample;
- Amino acid sequencing of proteins or peptides;
- Quantitation of protein levels;
- 3-dimensional protein structure and architecture; and
- Identification of Posttranslational modifications.

Mass Spectrometry Sampling Applications

“Top-down” MS refers to identification and characterization of all proteins in a sample without prior knowledge of which proteins are present. This method provides a profile of all proteins in a system, including documentation of post-translational modifications and other protein isoforms. This method, therefore, provides a protein “profile” or “map” of a specific system. Following initial analysis, intact proteins can be isolated and further analyzed to determine amino acid sequences and related information.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

“Bottom-up” MS refers to the identification of known proteins in a sample. This method identifies peptide fragments that indicate the presence of a specific protein. This method depends on having peptide fragments that can reliably identify a specific protein. Selective reaction monitoring MS is a bottom-up modification of MS that allows for direct quantification and specific identification of low-abundance proteins without the need for specific antibodies. This method requires the selection of a peptide fragment or “signature” that is used to target the specific protein. Multiplex assays have also been developed to quantitate the epidermal growth factor receptor, human epidermal growth factor receptors 2 and 3, and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor.

Bioinformatics

Due to the complexity of proteomic information, the multiple tests used, and the need to integrate this information with other genomic data, a bioinformatics approach is necessary to interpret proteogenomic data. Software programs integrate and assist in the interpretation of the vast amounts of data generated by proteogenomics research. One software platform that integrates genomic and proteomic information is PARADIGM, which is used by The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) project for data analysis. Other software tools currently available include the following:

- The Genome Peptide Finder matches the amino acid sequence of peptides predicted de novo with the genome sequence.
- The Proteogenomic Mapping Tool is an academic software for mapping peptides to the genome.
- Peppy is an automated search tool that generates proteogenomic data from translated databases and integrates this information for analysis.
- VESPA is a software tool that integrates data from various platforms and provides a visual display of integrated data.

Ongoing Proteogenomic Database Projects

Table 1 lists some of the ongoing databases being constructed for proteogenomic research.

There are also networks of researchers coordinating their activities in this field. The Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium is a coordinated project among 8 sites sponsored by the National Cancer Institute. This project seeks to characterize the genomic and transcriptomic profiles of common cancers systematically. This consortium has cataloged proteomic information for several types of cancers including breast, colon, and ovarian cancers. All project data are freely available.

Many existing genomic databases have begun to incorporate proteomic information. TCGA intends to profile changes in the genomes of 33 different cancers. As part of its analysis, messenger RNA expression is used to help define signaling pathways that are either upregulated or deregulated in conjunction with genetic variations. Currently, TCGA has published comprehensive molecular characterizations of multiple cancers, including breast, colorectal, lung, gliomas, renal, and endometrial cancers.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

Table 1. Proteogenomic Databases

Name	Description
Human Protein Reference Database	Centralized platform integrating information related to protein structure alterations, post-translational modifications, interaction networks, and disease association. The intent is to catalog this information for each protein in the human proteome. Data are compiled from published literature and publicly available databases.
Human Cancer Proteome Variation Database (CanProVar)	Protein sequence database that integrates information from various publicly available datasets into 1 platform. Contains germline and somatic variants with an emphasis on cancer-related variants.
Cancer Mutant Proteome Database (CMPD)	Protein sequence database compiled from the exome sequencing results of the NCI-60 cell lines, CCLE, and 5600 cases from TCGA network genomics studies. Contains germline and somatic variants with an emphasis on cancer-related variants.
CPTAC Data Portal	Centralized data repository for proteomic data collected by Proteome Characterization Centers in the CPTAC. The portal hosts >6.3 TB of data and includes proteomics, transcriptomics, and genomics data of breast, colorectal, and ovarian tumor tissues from TCGA.

CCLE: Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia; CPTAC: Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas.

GPS Cancer Test

The GPS Cancer test is a commercially available proteogenomic test intended for patients with cancer. The test includes whole-genome sequencing (20,000 genes, 3 billion base pairs), whole transcriptome (RNA) sequencing, and quantitative proteomics by mass spectrometry. The test is intended to inform personalized treatment decisions for cancer; treatment options are provided when available, although treatment recommendations are not. Treatment options may include U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved targeted drugs with potential for clinical benefit, active clinical trials of drugs with potential for clinical benefit, and/or available drugs to which cancer may be resistant.

Regulatory Status

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). The GPS Cancer™ test (NantHealth) is available under the auspices of CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have cancer and indications for genetic testing who receive proteogenomic testing (e.g., GPS Cancer test), the evidence includes cross-sectional studies that correlate results with standard testing and that report comprehensive molecular characterization of various cancers, and cohort studies that use proteogenomic markers to predict outcomes and that follow quantitative levels over time. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. There is no published evidence on the clinical validity or utility of the GPS Cancer test. For proteogenomic testing in general, the research is at an early stage. Very few studies have used proteogenomic tumor markers for diagnosis or prognosis, and at least 1 study has reported following quantitative protein levels for surveillance purposes. Further research is needed to standardize and validate proteogenomic testing methods. Once standardized and validated testing methods are available, the clinical validity and utility of proteogenomic testing can be adequately evaluated. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

N/A

VI. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital Blue Cross' medical policies are used to determine coverage for specific medical technologies, procedures, equipment, and services. These medical policies do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change as required by law or applicable clinical evidence from independent treatment guidelines. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. These policies are not a guarantee of coverage or payment. Payment of claims is subject to a determination regarding the member's benefit program and eligibility on the date of service, and a determination that the services are medically necessary and appropriate. Final processing of a claim is based upon the terms of contract that applies to the members' benefit program, including benefit limitations and exclusions. If a provider or a member has a question concerning this medical policy, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services.

VII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Proteogenomic testing of patients with cancer (including but not limited to GPS Cancer™ test) is considered investigational for all indications; therefore, not covered:

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

Procedure Codes							
81479							

VIII. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. National Cancer Institute OoCCPR. Background. n.d
2. Gregorich ZR, Ge Y. Top-down proteomics in health and disease: challenges and opportunities. *Proteomics*. May 2014; 14(10): 1195-210. PMID 24723472
3. Subbannayya Y, Pinto SM, Gowda H, et al. Proteogenomics for understanding oncology: recent advances and future prospects. *Expert Rev Proteomics*. 2016; 13(3): 297-308. PMID 26697917
4. Hudler P, Videtic Paska A, Komel R. Contemporary proteomic strategies for clinical epigenetic research and potential impact for the clinic. *Expert Rev Proteomics*. Apr 2015; 12(2): 197-212. PMID 25719543
5. Brat DJ, Verhaak RG, Aldape KD, et al. Comprehensive, Integrative Genomic Analysis of Diffuse Lower-Grade Gliomas. *N Engl J Med*. Jun 25, 2015; 372(26): 2481-98. PMID 26061751
6. Catenacci DVT, Liao WL, Zhao L, et al. Mass-spectrometry-based quantitation of Her2 in gastroesophageal tumor tissue: comparison to IHC and FISH. *Gastric Cancer*. Oct 2016; 19(4): 1066-1079. PMID 26581548
7. Hembrough T, Thyparambil S, Liao WL, et al. Application of selected reaction monitoring for multiplex quantification of clinically validated biomarkers in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor tissue. *J Mol Diagn*. Jul 2013; 15(4): 454-65. PMID 23672976
8. Specht M. *Genomic Peptide Finder*. 2012
9. Sanders WS, Wang N, Bridges SM, et al. The proteogenomic mapping tool. *BMC Bioinformatics*. Apr 22, 2011; 12: 115. PMID 21513508
10. Geneffects. *Peppy proteogenomic, proteomic search tool*. 2012
11. Edwards NJ, Oberti M, Thangudu RR, et al. The CPTAC Data Portal: A Resource for Cancer Proteomics Research. *J Proteome Res*. Jun 05, 2015; 14(6): 2707-13. PMID 25873244
12. Koboldt DC, Fulton RS, McLellan MD, et al. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*. Oct 04, 2012; 490(7418): 61-70. PMID 23000897
13. Muzny DM, Bainbridge MN, Chang K, et al. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature*. Jul 18, 2012; 487(7407): 330-7. PMID 22810696
14. Collisson EA, Campbell JD, Brooks AN, et al. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature*. Jul 31, 2014; 511(7511): 543-50. PMID 25079552
15. Linehan WM, Spellman PT, Ricketts CJ, et al. Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of Papillary Renal-Cell Carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. Jan 14, 2016; 374(2): 135-45. PMID 26536169
16. Kandoth C, Schultz N, Cherniack AD, et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature*. May 02, 2013; 497(7447): 67-73. PMID 23636398
17. Pandey Lab and Institute of Bioinformatics. *Human Protein Reference Database*. n.d

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

18. Keshava Prasad TS, Goel R, Kandasamy K, et al. Human Protein Reference Database--2009 update. *Nucleic Acids Res. Jan 2009; 37(Database issue): D767-72. PMID 18988627*
19. Li J, Duncan DT, Zhang B. CanProVar: a human cancer proteome variation database. *Hum Mutat. Mar 2010; 31(3): 219-28. PMID 20052754*
20. Huang PJ, Lee CC, Tan BC, et al. CMPD: cancer mutant proteome database. *Nucleic Acids Res. Jan 2015; 43(Database issue): D849-55. PMID 25398898*
21. Rudnick PA, Markey SP, Roth J, et al. A Description of the Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) Common Data Analysis Pipeline. *J Proteome Res. Mar 04, 2016; 15(3): 1023-32. PMID 26860878*
22. Center for Strategic Scientific Initiatives. CPTAC Data Portal Overview. 2018
23. NantHealth. GPS Cancer. n.d
24. Yau C, Meyer L, Benz S, et al. FOXM1 cistrome predicts breast cancer metastatic outcome better than FOXM1 expression levels or tumor proliferation index. *Breast Cancer Res Treat. Nov 2015; 154(1): 23-32. PMID 26456572*
25. Zhang H, Liu T, Zhang Z, et al. Integrated Proteogenomic Characterization of Human High-Grade Serous Ovarian Cancer. *Cell. Jul 28, 2016; 166(3): 755-765. PMID 27372738*
26. Sellappan S, Blackler A, Liao WL, et al. Therapeutically Induced Changes in HER2, HER3, and EGFR Protein Expression for Treatment Guidance. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw. May 2016; 14(5): 503-7. PMID 27160229*
27. Latonen L, Afyounian E, Jylha A, et al. Integrative proteomics in prostate cancer uncovers robustness against genomic and transcriptomic aberrations during disease progression. *Nat Commun. Mar 21, 2018; 9(1): 1176. PMID 29563510*
28. Paull EO, Aytes A, Jones SJ, et al. A modular master regulator landscape controls cancer transcriptional identity. *Cell. 2021;184(2):334-351.e20. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.045*

IX. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

MP 2.343	06/22/2020 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. Coding reviewed with no changes. References reviewed and updated. Product variation statement updated.
	11/04/2021 Consensus Review. Updated FEP and references. No changes to coding.
	08/30/2022 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. NCCN language added. Product variation language, Background, Rationale and References updated.
	08/07/2023 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. Background updated.
	01/19/2024 Administrative Update. Clinical benefit added.
	03/21/2024 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. References updated. Coding reviewed, no changes.
	11/19/2024 Administrative Update. Removed NCCN statement.
	07/15/2025 Consensus review. No change to policy stance.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	PROTEOGENOMIC TESTING FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.343

	10/08/2025 Administrative Update. Removed Benefit Variations Section and updated Disclaimer.
	03/06/2026 Retirement Review. EviCore Delegation.

[Top](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital Blue Cross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the Blue Cross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital Blue Cross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.

RETIRED