

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

<b>Original Issue Date (Created):</b>	<b>7/1/2002</b>
<b>Most Recent Review Date (Revised):</b>	<b>3/22/2021</b>
<b>Effective Date:</b>	<b>8/1/2021</b>

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)  
[DISCLAIMER](#)  
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)  
[DEFINITIONS](#)  
[CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)  
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)  
[REFERENCES](#)

**I. POLICY**

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation may be considered **medically necessary** as treatment of fracture nonunions or congenital pseudarthrosis in the appendicular skeleton (the appendicular skeleton includes the bones of the shoulder girdle, upper extremities, pelvis, and lower extremities). The diagnosis of fracture nonunion must meet **ALL** of the following criteria:

- at least 3 months have passed since the date of fracture or date of surgery;
- serial radiographs have confirmed that no progressive signs of healing have occurred;
- the fracture gap is 1 cm or less;
- the patient can be adequately immobilized; and
- the patient is of an age likely to comply with nonweight bearing for fractures of the pelvis and lower extremities.

**Investigational** applications of electrical bone growth stimulation include, but are not limited to, delayed union, fresh fracture, stress fractures, immediate postsurgical treatment after appendicular skeletal surgery, arthrodesis, or failed arthrodesis. (See Policy Guidelines for definition of delayed union.) There is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulators are considered **investigational**. There is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures.

**Policy Guidelines**

**Fracture Nonunion**

No consensus on the definition of nonunion currently exists. One proposed definition is failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and for at least 6 months

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

following the fracture), accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed union or nonunion (pain, difficulty bearing weight) (Bhandari et al, 2012).

The original U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling of fracture nonunions defined them as fractures that had not shown progressive healing after at least 9 months from the original injury. The labeling states: “A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for minimum of 3 months.” This timeframe is not based on physiologic principles but was included as part of the research design for FDA approval as a means of ensuring homogeneous populations of patients, many of whom were serving as their own controls. Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables that are present in fractures (i.e., degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, and quality of the underlying bone stock). Some fractures may show no signs of healing, based on serial radiographs as early as 3 months, while a fracture nonunion may not be diagnosed in others until well after 9 months. The current policy of requiring a 3-month timeframe for lack of progression of healing is consistent with the definition of nonunion as described in the clinical literature.

**Delayed Union**

Delayed union is defined as a decelerating healing process as determined by serial radiographs, together with a lack of clinical and radiologic evidence of union, bony continuity, or bone reaction at the fracture site for no less than 3 months from the index injury or the most recent intervention. In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs (described above) show no evidence of healing. When lumped together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as “united fractures.”

**Fresh Fracture**

A fracture is most commonly defined as “fresh” for 7 days after its occurrence. Most fresh closed fractures heal without complications with the use of standard fracture care (i.e., closed reduction, cast immobilization).

*Cross-reference:*

- MP-1.150** Electrical Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion Procedures
- MP-6.021** Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device

**II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS**

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital BlueCross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual MP-7.01.07, Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>.

**III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

[TOP](#)

**Delayed Fracture Healing**

Most bone fractures heal spontaneously over a few months postinjury. Approximately 5% to 10% of all fractures have delayed healing, resulting in continued morbidity and increased utilization of health care services.

There is no standard definition of a fracture nonunion. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling for one of the electrical stimulators included in this review defined nonunion as follows: "A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for a minimum of 3 months." Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables present in fractures (ie, the degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, quality of the underlying bone stock). Other proposed definitions of nonunion involve 3 to 6 months from the original injury, or simply when serial radiographs fail to show any further healing. Another is the failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and for at least 6 months following the fracture) accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed union or nonunion (pain, difficulty bearing weight). According to FDA labeling for a low-intensity pulsed ultrasound device, "a nonunion is considered to be established when the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing." Factors contributing to a nonunion include: which bone is fractured, fracture site, the degree of bone loss, time since injury, the extent of soft tissue injury, and patient factors (e.g., smoking, diabetes, systemic disease).

Delayed union is generally considered a failure to heal between 3 and 9 months postfracture, after which the fracture site would be considered a nonunion. Delayed union may also be defined as a decelerating bone healing process, as identified in serial radiographs. In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs show no evidence of healing. Together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as "united fractures." To determine fracture healing status, it is important to include both radiographic and clinical criteria. Clinical criteria include the lack of ability to bear weight, fracture pain, and tenderness on palpation.

Fractures at certain locations (eg, scaphoid, proximal fifth metatarsal) are at greater risk of delayed union due to a tenuous blood supply. Systemic factors, including immunosuppression, cancer, and tobacco use, may also predispose patients to fracture nonunion, along with certain medications (eg, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, fluoroquinolones).

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

**Treatment**

Individuals with recognized delayed fracture unions might begin by reducing the risk factors for delayed unions or nonunions but may progress to surgical repair if it persists.

**Electrical and Electromagnetic Bone Growth Stimulators**

Different applications of electrical and electromagnetic fields have been used to promote healing of delayed and nonunion fractures: invasive, noninvasive, and semi-invasive.

Invasive stimulation involves the surgical implantation of a cathode at the fracture site to produce direct current electrical stimulation. Invasive devices require surgical implantation of a current generator in an intramuscular or subcutaneous space, while an electrode is implanted within the fragments of bone graft at the fusion site. The implantable device typically remains functional for 6 to 9 months after implantation, and, although the current generator is removed in a second surgical procedure when stimulation is completed, the electrode may or may not be removed. Implantable electrodes provide constant stimulation at the nonunion or fracture site but carry increased risks associated with implantable leads.

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators generate a weak electrical current within the target site using pulsed electromagnetic fields, capacitive coupling, or combined magnetic fields. In capacitive coupling, small skin pads/electrodes are placed on either side of the fusion site and worn for 24 hours a day until healing occurs or up to 9 months. In contrast, pulsed electromagnetic fields are delivered via treatment coils placed over the skin and worn for 6 to 8 hours a day for 3 to 6 months. Combined magnetic fields deliver a time-varying magnetic field by superimposing the time-varying magnetic field onto an additional static magnetic field. This device involves a 30-minute treatment per day for 9 months. Patient compliance may be an issue with externally worn devices.

Semi-invasive (semi-implantable) stimulators use percutaneous electrodes and an external power supply, obviating the need for a surgical procedure to remove the generator when treatment is finished.

**Regulatory Status**

In 1984, the noninvasive OrthoPak® Bone Growth Stimulator (BioElectron, now Zimmer Biomet) was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for treatment of fracture nonunion. Pulsed electromagnetic field systems with FDA premarket approval (all noninvasive devices) include Physio-Stim® (Orthofix), first approved in 1986, and OrthoLogic® 1000, approved in 1997, both indicated for treatment of established nonunion secondary to trauma, excluding vertebrae and all flat bones, in which the width of the nonunion defect is less than one-half the width of the bone to be treated; and the EBI Bone Healing System® (Electrobiology, now Zimmer Biomet), which was first approved in 1979 and indicated for nonunions, failed

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

fusions, and congenital pseudarthrosis. No distinction was made between long and short bones. FDA has approved labeling changes for electrical bone growth stimulators that remove any timeframe for the diagnosis.

No semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulator devices with FDA approval or clearance were identified.

FDA product code LOF.

**IV. RATIONALE**

[TOP](#)

**Summary of Evidence**

**Noninvasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have fracture nonunion who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation for fracture nonunions and congenital pseudarthrosis in the appendicular skeleton, based largely on studies with patients serving as their controls. There is also evidence from 2 small sham-controlled randomized trials that noninvasive electrical stimulators improve fracture healing for patients with fracture nonunion. There are few nonsurgical options in this population, and the pre-post studies of patients with nonhealing fractures support the efficacy of the treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have delayed fracture union who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. RCTs on the delayed union of fractures were limited by small sample sizes and did not show significant differences in outcomes between study groups. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have fresh fracture(s) who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. A meta-analysis of 5 RCTs found no statistically significant benefit of electrical bone growth stimulation for fresh fractures. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

For individuals who have stress fracture(s) who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. This well-conducted RCT found that, although an increase in the hours of use per day was associated with a reduction in the time to healing, there was no difference in the rate of healing between treatment and placebo. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes 2 small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. Although the results of 1 trial suggest benefits to the bone stimulation in decreased time to union, clinical outcomes were not assessed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Implantable and Semi-Invasive Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have fracture, pseudarthrosis, or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes a small number of case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**V. DEFINITIONS**

[TOP](#)

**APPENDICULAR SKELETON** consists of the bones of the limbs and their girdles, attached to the axial skeleton.

**AXIAL SKELETON** consists of bones in the head and trunk of the human body. It is composed of five parts; the human skull, the ossicles of the inner ear, the hyoid bone of the throat, the rib cage, and the vertebral column.

**CONGENITAL PSEUDARTHROSIS** refers to a birth defect in the continuity of the tibia resulting in a separation or gap in the bone. The area is predisposed to fractures, which heal poorly.

**VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS**

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. There are

**MEDICAL POLICY**

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital BlueCross. Members and providers should consult the member’s health benefit plan for information or contact Capital BlueCross for benefit information.

**VII. DISCLAIMER**

[TOP](#)

*Capital BlueCross’s medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member’s benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member’s benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member’s plan of benefits, please contact Capital BlueCross’ Provider Services or Member Services. Capital BlueCross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.*

**VIII. CODING INFORMATION**

[TOP](#)

**Note:** This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

**Investigational; therefore not covered, implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation:**

CPT Codes®								
20975								

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

HCPCS Code	Description
E0749	Osteogenesis stimulator, electrical, surgically implanted

**Covered when medically necessary, noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation:**

CPT Codes®								
20974								

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

HCPCS Code	Description
E0747	Osteogenesis stimulator, electrical, noninvasive, other than spinal applications



<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

*Note: Covered for all appendicular fractures with 3 months non-healing and serial radiograph confirmation.*

## IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. Buza JA, 3rd, Einhorn T. Bone healing in 2016. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab.* May-Aug 2016;13(2):101-105. PMID 27920804
2. Bhandari M, Fong K, Sprague S, et al. Variability in the definition and perceived causes of delayed unions and nonunions: a cross-sectional, multinational survey of orthopaedic surgeons. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Aug 1 2012;94(15):e1091-1096. PMID 22854998
3. Ahl T, Andersson G, Herberts P, et al. Electrical treatment of non-united fractures. *Acta Orthop Scand.* Dec 1984;55(6):585-588. PMID 6335345
4. Connolly JF. Selection, evaluation and indications for electrical stimulation of ununited fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Nov-Dec 1981(161):39-53. PMID 6975690
5. Connolly JF. Electrical treatment of nonunions. Its use and abuse in 100 consecutive fractures. *Orthop Clin North Am.* Jan 1984;15(1):89-106. PMID 6607443
6. de Haas WG, Beaupre A, Cameron H, et al. The Canadian experience with pulsed magnetic fields in the treatment of ununited tibial fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Jul 1986(208):55-58. PMID 3720140
7. Sharrard WJ, Sutcliffe ML, Robson MJ, et al. The treatment of fibrous non-union of fractures by pulsing electromagnetic stimulation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Jan 1982;64(2):189-193. PMID 6978339
8. Aleem IS, Aleem I, Evaniew N, et al. Efficacy of electrical stimulators for bone healing: a meta-analysis of randomized sham-controlled trials. *Sci Rep.* Aug 19 2016;6:31724. PMID 27539550
9. Simonis RB, Parnell EJ, Ray PS, et al. Electrical treatment of tibial non-union: a prospective, randomised, double-blind trial. *Injury.* Apr 2003;34(5):357-362. PMID 12719164
10. Barker AT, Dixon RA, Sharrard WJ, et al. Pulsed magnetic field therapy for tibial non-union. Interim results of a double-blind trial. *Lancet.* May 5 1984;1(8384):994-996. PMID 6143970
11. Scott G, King JB. A prospective, double-blind trial of electrical capacitive coupling in the treatment of non-union of long bones. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Jun 1994;76(6):820-826. PMID 8200888
12. Shi HF, Xiong J, Chen YX, et al. Early application of pulsed electromagnetic field in the treatment of postoperative delayed union of long-bone fractures: a prospective randomized controlled study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* Jan 19 2013;14:35. PMID 23331333



<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

13. Sharrard WJ. A double-blind trial of pulsed electromagnetic fields for delayed union of tibial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* May 1990;72(3):347-355. PMID 2187877
14. Griffin XL, Warner F, Costa M. The role of electromagnetic stimulation in the management of established non-union of long bone fractures: what is the evidence? *Injury.* Apr 2008;39(4):419-429. PMID 18321512
15. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Electrical bone growth stimulation for delayed union or nonunion of fractures. TEC Assessment. 1992:Volume 7:332-351.7.01.07
16. Griffin XL, Costa ML, Parsons N, et al. Electromagnetic field stimulation for treating delayed union or non-union of long bone fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Apr 13 2011(4):CD008471. PMID 21491410
17. Adie S, Harris IA, Naylor JM, et al. Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for acute tibial shaft fractures: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Sep 7 2011;93(17):1569-1576. PMID 21915570
18. Faldini C, Cadossi M, Luciani D, et al. Electromagnetic bone growth stimulation in patients with femoral neck fractures treated with screws: prospective randomized double-blind study. *Curr Orthop Pract.* 2010;21(3):282-287.
19. Hannemann PF, Gottgens KW, van Wely BJ, et al. The clinical and radiological outcome of pulsed electromagnetic field treatment for acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Oct 2012;94(10):1403-1408. PMID 23015569
20. Hannemann PF, van Wezenbeek MR, Kolkman KA, et al. CT scan-evaluated outcome of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Bone Joint J.* Aug 2014;96-B(8):1070-1076. PMID 25086123
21. Martinez-Rondanelli A, Martinez JP, Moncada ME, et al. Electromagnetic stimulation as coadjuvant in the healing of diaphyseal femoral fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *Colomb Med (Cali).* Apr-Jun 2014;45(2):67-71. PMID 25100891
22. Hannemann PF, Essers BA, Schots JP, et al. Functional outcome and cost-effectiveness of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of acute scaphoid fractures: a cost-utility analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* Apr 11 2015;16:84. PMID 25880388
23. Beck BR, Matheson GO, Bergman G, et al. Do capacitively coupled electric fields accelerate tibial stress fracture healing? A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2008;36(3):545-553. PMID 18055921
24. Borsalino G, Bagnacani M, Bettati E, et al. Electrical stimulation of human femoral intertrochanteric osteotomies. Double-blind study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Dec 1988(237):256-263. PMID 3191636

## MEDICAL POLICY

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

25. Dhawan SK, Conti SF, Towers J, et al. *The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on hindfoot arthrodesis: a prospective study.* *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Mar-Apr 2004;43(2):93-96. PMID 15057855
26. Petrisor B, Lau JT. *Electrical bone stimulation: an overview and its use in high risk and Charcot foot and ankle reconstructions.* *Foot Ankle Clin.* Dec 2005;10(4):609-620, vii-viii. PMID 16297822
27. Lau JT, Stamatis ED, Myerson MS, et al. *Implantable direct-current bone stimulators in high-risk and revision foot and ankle surgery: a retrospective analysis with outcome assessment.* *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ).* Jul 2007;36(7):354-357. PMID 17694182
28. Saxena A, DiDomenico LA, Widtfeldt A, et al. *Implantable electrical bone stimulation for arthrodeses of the foot and ankle in high-risk patients: a multicenter study.* *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Nov-Dec 2005;44(6):450-454. PMID 16257674
29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Osteogenic Stimulators (150.2).* 2005; [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=65&ncdver=2&DocID=150.2&ncd\\_id=150.2&ncd\\_version=2&basket=ncd%25253A150%25252E2%25253A2%25253AOsteogenic+Stimulators&bc=gAAABAAAA&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=65&ncdver=2&DocID=150.2&ncd_id=150.2&ncd_version=2&basket=ncd%25253A150%25252E2%25253A2%25253AOsteogenic+Stimulators&bc=gAAABAAAA&). Accessed March 22, 2021
30. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 7.01.07, *Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton.* May 2020

## X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

<b>MP 1.024</b>	<b>CAC 5/27/03</b>
	<b>CAC 12/2/03</b>
	<b>CAC 9/28/04</b>
	<b>CAC 9/27/05</b>
	<b>CAC 5/30/06</b>
	<b>CAC 2/27/07</b>
	<b>CAC 3/25/08</b>
	<b>CAC 5/26/09</b>
	<b>CAC 1/26/10 Minor Revision.</b> Existing medically necessary policy statements modified by adding lumbar (spine) to the statements. Steroid use and current smoking habits added as another high-risk condition for non-fusion. New policy statements added that semi-invasive stimulators are investigational for lumbar spine fusion and that electrical bone-growth stimulators are investigational for use in cervical spine fusion. References updated.
	<b>CAC 7/26/11 Adopt BCBSA.</b> For Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton, the following indications has been removed from the policy statement: A non-healed osteotomy site, stress fractures,

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

	<p>and treatment of Charcot foot disease (initial treatment immediately after surgical fusion. Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion Procedures risk criteria has been revised by deleting the information regarding multiple prior surgeries at risk for subsequent failed fusion (s). For Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device, information was added regarding risk factors related to patient comorbidities and fracture locations that contribute to delayed fracture healing or nonunion of bones. An FEP variation was added. The Medicare variation was removed.</p>
	<b>1/4/12 Administrative update.</b> Medicare variation placed on policy.
	<b>CAC 4/24/12 Minor revision.</b> Ultrasound accelerated fracture healing device is now considered medically necessary for delayed union in addition to fresh closed fracture and nonunion. Expanded investigational applications of electrical bone growth stimulation.
	<b>CAC 6/4/13 Consensus review.</b> Administrative code review complete.
	<p><b>CAC 3/25/14 Minor revision.</b>                      For ultrasound accelerated fracture healing device</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Changed current tobacco use to history of smoking.</li> <li>• Added nonunion of previously surgically-treated fractures as a medically necessary indication</li> <li>• Added fresh surgically-treated closed fractures and arthrodesis or failed arthrodesis as investigational indications</li> </ul> <p>Added policy guidelines defining fresh fracture and delayed union.  <u>For electrical bone growth stimulation of the appendicular skeleton</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Added clarification for compliance with non-weight bearing for fractures of pelvis and lower extremities.</li> <li>• Stress fractures added to investigational statement</li> </ul> <p>Rationale section added, References updated                      Added clarification for compliance with non-weight bearing for fractures of pelvis and lower extremities. Added reference to NCD 150.2 in Medicare variation. Policy coded.</p>
	<b>CAC 3/24/15 Consensus review.</b> References and rationale updated. No changes to the policy statements. Coding reviewed.
	<b>11/2/15 Administrative update.</b> LCD number changed from L11501 to L33796 due to NHIC update to ICD-10.
	<b>1/1/17 Administrative update.</b> Product variation section updated. New diagnosis codes added effective 10/1/16.
	<b>CAC 7/26/16 Minor revision.</b> Title changed to Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton. The following topics were removed and are now addressed within stand-alone policies:

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP-1.024</b>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MP-1.150 Electrical Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion Procedures</li> <li>• MP-6.021 Ultrasound Accelerated Fracture Healing Device</li> </ul> <p>Criteria was added to the diagnosis of fracture nonunion within the first policy statement which states, “the fracture gap is 1 cm or less.” Description/Background, Policy Guidelines, Rationale and Reference sections updated. Coding reviewed/updated.</p>
	<b>CAC 9/26/17 Consensus review.</b> No changes to the policy statements. References and rationale updated. Coding reviewed. Revised ICD 10 code descriptions to policy effective from 10/1/17.
	<b>1/1/18 Administrative update.</b> Medicare variations removed from Commercial Policies.
	<b>6/01/18 Consensus review.</b> Policy statements unchanged. Description/Background, Rationale and Reference sections updated.
	<b>10/1/18 Administrative update.</b> Revised ICD-10 codes updated effective 10/1/18
	<b>3/20/19 Administrative update.</b> Coding reviewed. Diagnosis note added.
	<b>08/01/2019-Consensus review.</b> No references added. Policy statements unchanged
	<b>6/22/2020 Consensus review.</b> No change to policy statement. Background, Rationale and References updated.
	<b>3/22/2021 Consensus review.</b> Corrected spelling of pseudarthrosis. No change to policy statement.

[Top](#)

*Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital BlueCross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital BlueCross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.*