

POLICY TITLE	ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.024

CLINICAL BENEFIT	<input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	11/1/2024

[POLICY  
RATIONALE](#)
[PRODUCT VARIATIONS  
DEFINITIONS](#)
[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND  
BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[DISCLAIMER  
POLICY HISTORY](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

## I. POLICY

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation may be considered **medically necessary** as treatment of fracture nonunions, congenital pseudarthrosis, or failed fusions in the appendicular skeleton (the appendicular skeleton includes the bones of the shoulder girdle, upper extremities, pelvis, and lower extremities).

The diagnosis of fracture nonunion must meet **ALL** of the following criteria:

- at least 3 months have passed since the date of fracture or date of surgery;
- serial radiographs have confirmed that no progressive signs of healing have occurred;
- the fracture gap is 1 cm or less;
- the individual can be adequately immobilized; and
- the individual is of an age likely to comply with non- weight bearing for fractures of the pelvis and lower extremities.

For diagnosis of failed fusion, a minimum of 3 months has elapsed since the initial fusion surgery.

**Investigational** applications of electrical bone growth stimulation include, but are not limited to, delayed union, fresh fracture, stress fractures, immediate postsurgical treatment after appendicular skeletal surgery, or arthrodesis. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulators are considered **investigational**. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures.

### Policy Guidelines

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

### Fracture Nonunion

No consensus on the definition of nonunion currently exists. One proposed definition is failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and for at least 6 months following the fracture), accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed union or nonunion (pain, difficulty bearing weight) (Bhandari et al, 2012).

The original U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling of fracture nonunions defined them as fractures that had not shown progressive healing after at least 9 months from the original injury. The labeling states: "A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for minimum of 3 months." This timeframe is not based on physiologic principles but was included as part of the research design for FDA approval as a means of ensuring homogeneous populations of patients, many of whom were serving as their own controls. Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables that are present in fractures (i.e., degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, and quality of the underlying bone stock). Some fractures may show no signs of healing, based on serial radiographs as early as 3 months, while a fracture nonunion may not be diagnosed in others until well after 9 months. The current policy of requiring a 3-month timeframe for lack of progression of healing is consistent with the definition of nonunion as described in the clinical literature.

### Delayed Union

Delayed union is defined as a decelerating healing process as determined by serial radiographs, together with a lack of clinical and radiologic evidence of union, bony continuity, or bone reaction at the fracture site for no less than 3 months from the index injury or the most recent intervention. In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs (described above) show no evidence of healing. When lumped together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as "ununited fractures."

### Failed Fusion

A failed fusion occurs when two or more bones within a joint have not fused together into a solid mass.

### Congenital Pseudoarthrosis

Pseudoarthrosis is defined as a "false joint" or break in the bone that fails to heal on its own. When pseudoarthrosis is present at birth, it's referred to as congenital pseudarthrosis (CP). CP may not be discovered or diagnosed until later in infancy or even as a toddler.

#### ***Cross-reference:***

**MP 1.150** Electrical Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion Procedures

**MP 6.021** Low Intensity Pulsed Ultrasound Fracture Healing Device

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

## II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital BlueCross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

**FEP PPO** - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at:

<https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>

## III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

### Treatment of Delayed and Nonunion Fractures

Individuals with recognized delayed fracture unions might begin by reducing the risk factors for delayed unions or nonunions but may progress to surgical repair if it persists.

### Electrical and Electromagnetic Bone Growth Stimulators

Different applications of electrical and electromagnetic fields have been used to promote healing of delayed and nonunion fractures: invasive, noninvasive, and semi-invasive.

Invasive stimulation involves the surgical implantation of a cathode at the fracture site to produce direct current electrical stimulation. Invasive devices require surgical implantation of a current generator in an intramuscular or subcutaneous space, while an electrode is implanted within the fragments of bone graft at the fusion site. The implantable device typically remains functional for 6 to 9 months after implantation, and although the current generator is removed in a second surgical procedure when stimulation is completed, the electrode may or may not be removed. Implantable electrodes provide constant stimulation at the nonunion or fracture site but carry increased risks associated with implantable leads.

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators generate a weak electrical current within the target site using pulsed electromagnetic fields, capacitive coupling, or combined magnetic fields. In capacitive coupling, small skin pads/electrodes are placed on either side of the fusion site and worn for 24 hours a day until healing occurs or up to 9 months. In contrast, pulsed electromagnetic fields are delivered via treatment coils placed over the skin and worn for 6 to 8 hours a day for 3 to 6 months. Combined magnetic fields deliver a time-varying magnetic field by superimposing the time-varying magnetic field onto an additional static magnetic field. This device involves a 30-minute treatment per day for 9 months. Patient compliance may be an issue with externally worn devices.

Semi-invasive (semi-implantable) stimulators use percutaneous electrodes and an external power supply, obviating the need for a surgical procedure to remove the generator when treatment is finished.

### Regulatory Status

In 1984, the noninvasive OrthoPak® Bone Growth Stimulator (BioElectron, now Zimmer Biomet) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval process for treatment of fracture nonunion. Pulsed electromagnetic field systems with the FDA

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

premarket approval (all noninvasive devices) include Physio-Stim® (Orthofix), first approved in 1986, and OrthoLogic® 1000, approved in 1997, both indicated for the treatment of established nonunion secondary to trauma, excluding vertebrae and all flat bones, in which the width of the nonunion defect is less than one-half the width of the bone to be treated; and the EBI Bone Healing System® (Electrobiology, now Zimmer Biomet), which was first approved in 1979 and indicated for nonunions, failed fusions, and congenital pseudarthrosis. No distinction was made between long and short bones. The FDA has approved labeling changes for electrical bone growth stimulators that remove any time frame for the diagnosis. In September 2020, FDA considered the reclassification of noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulators from Class III to the lower-risk Class II category. As of March 2024, however, the devices remain Class 3.

No semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulator devices with the FDA approval or clearance were identified.

FDA product code LOF.

#### IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

##### **SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE**

##### **Noninvasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have nonunion who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation for fracture nonunions, congenital pseudarthrosis, and failed fusions in the appendicular skeleton, based largely on studies with patients serving as their controls. There is also evidence from 2 small sham-controlled randomized trials that noninvasive electrical stimulators improve fracture healing for patients with fracture nonunion. There are few nonsurgical options in this population, and the pre-post studies of patients with nonhealing fractures support the efficacy of the treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have delayed fracture union who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. RCTs on the delayed union of fractures were limited by small sample sizes and did not show significant differences in outcomes between study groups. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have fresh fracture(s) who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. A meta-analysis of 5 RCTs found no statistically significant benefit of electrical bone growth stimulation for fresh fractures. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

For individuals who have stress fracture(s) who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. This well-conducted RCT found that, although an increase in the hours of use per day was associated with a reduction in the time to healing, there was no difference in the rate of healing between treatment and placebo. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes 2 small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. Although the results of 1 trial suggest benefits to the bone stimulation in decreased time to union, clinical outcomes were not assessed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### **Implantable and Semi-Invasive Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have fracture, pseudarthrosis, or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes a small number of case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## **V. DEFINITIONS**

[TOP](#)

**APPENDICULAR SKELETON** consists of the bones of the limbs and their girdles, attached to the axial skeleton.

**AXIAL SKELETON** consists of bones in the head and trunk of the human body. It is composed of five parts: the human skull, the ossicles of the inner ear, the hyoid bone of the throat, the rib cage, and the vertebral column.

**FRESH FRACTURE** is most commonly defined as “fresh” for 7 days after its occurrence. Most fresh closed fractures heal without complications with the use of standard fracture care (i.e., closed reduction, cast immobilization).

## **VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS**

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits, and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital Blue Cross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

POLICY TITLE	ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.024

## VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

*Capital Blue Cross' medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital Blue Cross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.*

## VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

**Note:** This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

**Investigational; therefore, not covered, implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation:**

Procedure Codes								
20975	E0749							

**Covered when medically necessary, noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation:**

Procedure Codes								
20974	E0747							

**Note: Covered for all appendicular fractures with 3 months non-healing and serial radiograph confirmation.**

## IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary Minutes: Center for Devices and Radiological Health Orthopaedic and Rehabilitation Devices Panel. 2020
2. Bassett CA, Mitchell SN, Gaston SR. Pulsing electromagnetic field treatment in ununited fractures and failed arthrodesis. JAMA. 1982;247(5):623-628.
3. Bhandari M, Fong K, Sprague S, et al. Variability in the definition and perceived causes of delayed unions and nonunions: a cross-sectional, multinational survey of orthopaedic surgeons. J Bone Joint Surg Am. Aug 01 2012; 94(15): e1091-6. PMID 22854998
4. Buza JA, Einhorn T. Bone healing in 2016. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. May-Aug 2016; 13(2): 101-105. PMID 27920804
5. Ahl T, Andersson G, Herberts P, et al. Electrical treatment of non-united fractures. Acta Orthop Scand. Dec 1984; 55(6): 585-8. PMID 6335345

<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

6. Connolly JF. Selection, evaluation and indications for electrical stimulation of ununited fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Nov-Dec 1981; (161): 39-53. PMID 6975690
7. Connolly JF. Electrical treatment of nonunions. Its use and abuse in 100 consecutive fractures. *Orthop Clin North Am*. Jan 1984; 15(1): 89-106. PMID 6607443
8. de Haas WG, Beaupre A, Cameron H, et al. The Canadian experience with pulsed magnetic fields in the treatment of ununited tibial fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jul 1986; (208): 55-8. PMID 3720140
9. Sharrard WJ, Sutcliffe ML, Robson MJ, et al. The treatment of fibrous non-union of fractures by pulsing electromagnetic stimulation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1982; 64(2): 189-93. PMID 6978339
10. Aleem IS, Aleem I, Evaniew N, et al. Efficacy of Electrical Stimulators for Bone Healing: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Sham-Controlled Trials. *Sci Rep*. Aug 19 2016; 6: 31724. PMID 27539550
11. Simonis RB, Parnell EJ, Ray PS, et al. Electrical treatment of tibial non-union: a prospective, randomised, double-blind trial. *Injury*. May 2003; 34(5): 357-62. PMID 12719164
12. Barker AT, Dixon RA, Sharrard WJ, et al. Pulsed magnetic field therapy for tibial non-union. Interim results of a double-blind trial. *Lancet*. May 05 1984; 1(8384): 994-6. PMID 6143970
13. Scott G, King JB. A prospective, double-blind trial of electrical capacitive coupling in the treatment of non-union of long bones. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 1994; 76(6): 820-6. PMID 8200888
14. Shi HF, Xiong J, Chen YX, et al. Early application of pulsed electromagnetic field in the treatment of postoperative delayed union of long-bone fractures: a prospective randomized controlled study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Jan 19 2013; 14: 35. PMID 23331333
15. Sharrard WJ. A double-blind trial of pulsed electromagnetic fields for delayed union of tibial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. May 1990; 72(3): 347-55. PMID 2187877
16. Griffin XL, Warner F, Costa M. The role of electromagnetic stimulation in the management of established non-union of long bone fractures: what is the evidence?. *Injury*. Apr 2008; 39(4): 419-29. PMID 18321512
17. Griffin XL, Costa ML, Parsons N, et al. Electromagnetic field stimulation for treating delayed union or non-union of long bone fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Apr 13 2011; (4): CD008471. PMID 21491410
18. Adie S, Harris IA, Naylor JM, et al. Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for acute tibial shaft fractures: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Sep 07 2011; 93(17): 1569-76. PMID 21915570
19. Faldini C, Cadossi M, Luciani D, et al. Electromagnetic bone growth stimulation in patients with femoral neck fractures treated with screws: prospective randomized double-blind study. *Curr Orthop Pract*. 2010;21(3):282- 287.
20. Hannemann PF, Gottgens KW, van Wely BJ, et al. The clinical and radiological outcome of pulsed electromagnetic field treatment for acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Oct 2012; 94(10): 1403-8. PMID 23015569
21. Hannemann PF, van Wezenbeek MR, Kolkman KA, et al. CT scan-evaluated outcome of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of acute scaphoid fractures: a



<b>POLICY TITLE</b>	<b>ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON</b>
<b>POLICY NUMBER</b>	<b>MP 1.024</b>

- randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Bone Joint J. Aug 2014; 96-B(8): 1070-6. PMID 25086123*
22. Martinez-Rondanelli A, Martinez JP, Moncada ME, et al. Electromagnetic stimulation as coadjuvant in the healing of diaphyseal femoral fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *Colomb Med (Cali). Apr-Jun 2014; 45(2): 67-71. PMID 25100891*
  23. Beck BR, Matheson GO, Bergman G, et al. Do capacitively coupled electric fields accelerate tibial stress fracture healing? A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med. Mar 2008; 36(3): 545-53. PMID 18055921*
  24. Borsalino G, Bagnacani M, Bettati E, et al. Electrical stimulation of human femoral intertrochanteric osteotomies. Double-blind study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res. Dec 1988; (237): 256-63. PMID 3191636*
  25. Dhawan SK, Conti SF, Towers J, et al. The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on hindfoot arthrodesis: a prospective study. *J Foot Ankle Surg. Mar-Apr 2004; 43(2): 93-6. PMID 15057855*
  26. Petrisor B, Lau JT. Electrical bone stimulation: an overview and its use in high risk and Charcot foot and ankle reconstructions. *Foot Ankle Clin. Dec 2005; 10(4): 609-20, vii-viii. PMID 16297822*
  27. Lau JT, Stamatis ED, Myerson MS, et al. Implantable direct-current bone stimulators in high-risk and revision foot and ankle surgery: a retrospective analysis with outcome assessment. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). Jul 2007; 36(7): 354-7. PMID 17694182*
  28. Saxena A, DiDomenico LA, Widtfeldt A, et al. Implantable electrical bone stimulation for arthrodeses of the foot and ankle in high-risk patients: a multicenter study. *J Foot Ankle Surg. Nov-Dec 2005; 44(6): 450-4. PMID 16257674*
  29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Osteogenic Stimulators (150.2). 2005
  30. Phillips MR, Harrison A, Mehta S, Nolte PA, Bhandari M, Zura R. A scoping review of operative and non-invasive management in the treatment of non-unions. *Injury. 2022;53(12):3872-3878. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2022.09.021*
  31. Caliozna L, Medetti M, Bina V, et al. Pulsed Electromagnetic Fields in Bone Healing: Molecular Pathways and Clinical Applications. *Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(14):7403. Published 2021 Jul 9. doi:10.3390/ijms22147403*
  29. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 7.01.07, Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton. May 2023

## X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

<b>MP 1.024</b>	<b>06/22/2020 Consensus Review.</b> No change to policy statement. Background, Rationale and References updated.
	<b>03/22/2021 Consensus Review.</b> Corrected spelling of pseudarthrosis. No change to policy statement.
	<b>05/24/2022 Consensus Review.</b> Reference updates and coding reviewed.
	<b>05/23/2023 Consensus Review.</b> Updated background and references. No changes to coding.



POLICY TITLE	ELECTRICAL BONE GROWTH STIMULATION OF THE APPENDICULAR SKELETON
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.024

	<b>05/08/2024 Minor Review.</b> Added failed fusion as additional MN indication. Updated policy guidelines, background, rationale, definitions and references. No changes to coding.
--	--

[Top](#)

*Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital BlueCross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company<sup>®</sup>, Capital Advantage Assurance Company<sup>®</sup> and Keystone Health Plan<sup>®</sup> Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital BlueCross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.*