

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

Original Issue Date (Created):	9/1/2011
Most Recent Review Date (Revised):	2/26/2020
Effective Date:	10/1/2020

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)
[DISCLAIMER](#)
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)
[DEFINITIONS](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)
[APPENDIX](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Chromosomal microarray analysis may be considered **medically necessary** as first-line testing in the initial evaluation of individuals with any of the following:

- Apparently nonsyndromic developmental delay/intellectual disability
- Autism spectrum disorder
- Multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome

Chromosomal microarray is considered **investigational** for the evaluation of all other conditions of delayed development, including but not limited to idiopathic growth or language delay. There is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure for this indication.

Panel testing using next-generation sequencing is considered **investigational** in all cases of suspected genetic abnormality in children with developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, or congenital anomalies. There is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure for this indication.

Policy Guidelines

Use of CMA testing as outlined in this policy is not intended for use in the prenatal period.

A 2013 guidelines update from American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) states that a stepwise or tiered approach to the clinical genetic diagnostic evaluation of autism spectrum disorder is recommended, with the recommendation being for first tier to include fragile X syndrome and chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing.

In some cases of CMA analysis, the laboratory performing the test confirms all reported copy number variants with an alternative technology such as fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis.

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

GENETICS NOMENCLATURE UPDATE

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. The nomenclature is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society’s nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the HUman Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology—“pathogenic,” “likely pathogenic,” “uncertain significance,” “likely benign,” and “benign”—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

Previous	Updated	Definition
Mutation	Disease-associated variant	Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence
	Variant	Change in the DNA sequence
	Familial variant	Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives

Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

Variant Classification	Definition
Pathogenic	Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Likely pathogenic	Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence
Variant of uncertain significance	Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease
Likely benign	Likely benign change in the DNA sequence
Benign	Benign change in the DNA sequence

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology

GENETIC COUNSELING

Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual’s family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

Cross-references:

MP-2.304 Autism Spectrum Disorders

MP-7.009 Preimplantation Genetic Testing

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital BlueCross please see additional information below, and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI below.

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual MP-2.04.59 Genetic Testing for Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and Congenital Anomalies. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>.

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability

Developmental delay (DD) is diagnosed in children 5 years or younger who show a significant delay in 2 or more developmental domains: gross or fine motor, speech/language, cognitive, social/personal, and activities of daily living.¹ DD can precede the development of intellectual disability (ID) as the child ages.²

ID is manifest by significant limitations in intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior. It is diagnosed at or after age 5 (when intelligence testing is considered valid and reliable) but prior to age 18 and is lifelong. The *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Fifth Edition (DSM-5)* defines ID as occurring during the developmental period and involving impairments of general mental abilities (eg, IQ less than 70 or 75) that impact adaptive functioning in the conceptual, social, and practical domains.³

Prevalence estimates of DD and ID range from 1% to 3%.⁴ Both are influenced by genetic, environmental, infectious, and perinatal factors. Approximately 450 genes have been causally related to ID; most genes (~90%) are associated with syndromes.⁵ Inheritance of ID can be autosomal-dominant, recessive, or X-linked; and most nonsyndromic genes are located on the X chromosome. Prior to the advent of whole-exome and genome sequencing, Willemsen and Kleefstra (2014) concluded that 20% to 40% of ID cases could be attributed to a genetic variant.⁶ With the use of whole-genome sequencing, they estimated almost 60% of cases have an identifiable genetic etiology.

Congenital anomalies are frequently present in children with DD and ID. In addition, a suspected etiology can often be established from history and physical examination (in skilled specialists as much as 20% to 40% of cases) without genetic testing.⁷ The recommended approach to evaluation in DD/ID begins with a 3-generation family history and physical (including neurologic) exam. Subsequent testing is used to confirm a suspected diagnosis (eg, targeted fluorescent in situ hybridization [FISH] testing for DiGeorge or cri-du-chat syndromes). If no diagnosis is suspected, fragile X syndrome testing, metabolic testing for inborn errors of

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

metabolism, and chromosomal microarray (CMA) testing (without karyotyping) are recommended-regardless of the presence or absence of dysmorphic features or congenital anomalies.¹

Autism Spectrum Disorder

DSM-5 defines autism spectrum disorder (ASD)¹ as the presence of³:

- Persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts,
- Restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities,
- Symptoms must be present in the early developmental period (typically recognized in the first 2 years of life), and
- Symptoms cause clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of current functioning.

In 2010, the estimated prevalence of ASD among 8-year-olds was 14.7 per 1000 or 1 in 68.⁸ ASD is 4 to 5 times more common in boys than girls, and white children are more often identified with ASD than black or Hispanic children. An accurate diagnosis can generally be made by age 2. The evaluation includes developmental screening and diagnostic evaluation (ie, hearing, vision, neurologic, laboratory testing for metabolic disorders, and genetic testing).

A large body of evidence supports a genetic etiology in ASD. Twin studies estimate heritability between 60% and 90%. A family with an affected child has a 13% to 19% risk for recurrence in subsequent children.¹⁰ Based on Swedish genetic studies, Gaugler et al (2014) concluded that “the bulk of autism arises from genetic variation” (as opposed to environmental causes).¹¹ Still, although genetic determinants can be heritable, most appear to arise de novo.⁹

For these reasons, a child with ASD is often evaluated with genetic testing. Testing may be targeted when a child has a recognizable syndrome such as those shown in Table 1.

Alternatively, high-resolution cytogenetic analysis evaluating multiple genes-the focus of this evidence review-is used.

Table 1. Examples of Specific Genes Associated With Disorders That Include Autistic Behaviors

Gene (Syndrome)	Patient Selection	Yield, %	Reference
<i>FMRI</i> (fragile X)	Unselected autism	3-10	Schaefer and Mendelsohn (2008) ¹² ,
<i>MECP2</i> (Rett)	Females with nonsyndromic autism, intellectual disability, and cerebral palsy	3-13	

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

<i>PTEN</i>	Autism with macrocephaly	≤17	Butler et al (2005) ¹³ ,
-------------	--------------------------	-----	-------------------------------------

Diagnostic Testing

Karyotyping and FISH

The goal of a cytogenetic evaluation is to identify chromosomal imbalances that cause a disorder. The most common imbalances are copy number variants (CNVs) or deletions and duplications of large segments of genomic material. CNVs are common in DD/ID and ASD but more often reflect normal genetic variation.¹⁴ However, de novo CNVs are observed about 4 times more frequently in children with ASD than in normal individuals.⁹ Less frequently, other abnormalities such as balanced translocations (ie, exchanges of equally sized DNA loci between chromosomes) may be pathogenic. For many well-described syndromes, the type and location of the associated chromosomal abnormality have been established by studying large patient samples. For others, few patients with similar abnormalities may have been evaluated to establish genotype-phenotype correlation. Finally, in some patients, cytogenetic analysis will discover chromosomal abnormalities that require study to determine their significance.

Prior to the advent of CMAs, the initial step in cytogenetic analysis was G-banded karyotyping, which evaluates all chromosomes. High-resolution G-banding can detect changes as small as 3 to 5 megabases in size, although standard G-banding evaluates more than 10 megabases changes. In children with DD/ID, a review by Stankiewicz and Beaudet (2007) found G-banded karyotyping diagnostic in approximately 3% to 5%. In ASD, high-resolution karyotyping appears to identify abnormalities in up to 5% of cases.¹⁶

In contrast, molecular cytogenetic techniques can detect small submicroscopic chromosomal alterations. FISH, a targeted approach, is used to identify specific chromosomal abnormalities associated with suspected diagnoses such as DiGeorge syndrome. Prior to CMAs, FISH was also used to screen the rearrangement-prone subtelomeric regions. Subtelomeric FISH was found to identify abnormalities in children with DD and ID,¹⁷ diagnostic in approximately 5% to 6% of those with negative karyotypes, but uncommonly in ASD.¹⁸

Chromosomal Microarrays

Two types of CMAs are considered here: array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) and single nucleotide variants (SNV) arrays. The aCGH approach uses DNA samples from a patient and a normal control. Each is labeled with distinct fluorescent dyes (red or green). The labeled samples are then mixed and hybridized to thousands of cloned or synthesized reference (normal) DNA fragments of known genomic locus immobilized on a glass slide (microarray) to conduct thousands of comparative reactions simultaneously. CNVs are determined by computer analysis of the array patterns and intensities of the hybridization signals. If the patient sequence is missing part of the normal sequence (a deletion) or has the normal sequence plus additional genomic material within that genomic location (eg, a duplication), the sequence imbalance is detected as a difference in fluorescence intensity (Korf and Rehm [2013]¹⁹, offer an illustrative graphic). For this reason, aCGH cannot detect balanced chromosomal translations (equal exchange of material

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

between chromosomes) or sequence inversions (same sequence is present in reverse base pair order) because the fluorescence intensity would not change. A portion of the increased diagnostic yield from CMA over karyotyping comes from the discovery that chromosomal rearrangements that appear balanced (and therefore not pathogenic) by G-banded karyotype analysis are found to have small imbalances with greater resolution. It has been estimated that 40% of apparently balanced de novo or inherited translocations with abnormal phenotype are associated with cryptic deletion if analyzed by CMA testing.

Like aCGH, SNV arrays detect CNVs. In an SNV array, the 2 alleles for genes of interest are tagged with different florescent dyes. Comparative florescence intensity will be increased when there are duplications and diminished with deletions. The resolution provided by aCGH is higher than with SNV arrays. In addition, aCGH has better signal-to-background characteristics than SNV arrays. In contrast to aCGH, SNV arrays will also identify long stretches of DNA homozygosity, which may suggest uniparental disomy or consanguinity. Uniparental disomy occurs when a child inherits 2 copies of a chromosome from 1 parent and no copies from the other parent. Uniparental disomy can lead to syndromes such as Angelman and Prader-Willi.

Table 2 summarizes the cytogenetic tests used to evaluate children with DD/ID and autism. The table emphasizes the large difference in resolution between karyotyping and CMA.

Table 2. Resolution and Analysis Comparison of FISH, Karyotyping, and CMA Analysis

Test	Resolution in Kilobases ^a	Analysis
Karyotyping	3000-5000 kb	Genome-wide
CMA	≈50 kb	Genome-wide
FISH	≈500 to 1000 kb (depending on probe)	Targeted

- CMA: chromosomal microarray; FISH: fluorescent in situ hybridization; kb: kilobases.
- ^a One kb = 1000 bases, 1000 kb = 1 Mb.

Microarrays may be prepared by the laboratory using the technology or, more commonly, by commercial manufacturers, and sold to laboratories that must qualify and validate the product for use in their assay, in conjunction with computerized software for interpretation. The proliferation of laboratory-developed and commercially available platforms prompted the American College of Medical Genetics to publish guidelines for the design and performance expectations for clinical microarrays and associated software in the postnatal setting.²⁰

Next-Generation Sequencing

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has been proposed to detect single-gene causes of autism and possibly identify a syndrome that involves autism in patients with normal array-based testing. NGS involves the sequencing of millions of fragments of genetic material in a massively parallel fashion. NGS can be performed on segments of genetic material of various sizes—from the

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

entire genome (whole-genome sequencing) to small subsets of genes (targeted sequencing). NGS allows the detection of SNVs, CNVs, insertions, and deletions. With higher resolution comes higher likelihood of detection of variants of uncertain significance.

Genetic Associations with DD/ID and ASD

For common phenotypes and syndromes, the pathogenicity of CNVs may be supported by considerable evidence; for uncommon phenotypes and uncommon CNVs determining pathogenicity requires a systematic evaluation that includes parental studies, examining databases for reported associations, and considering the molecular consequences of the identified variant. Parental studies (eg, “trio” testing of affected child, father, and mother) can identify an inherited CNV from an unaffected parent and therefore considered benign.²¹ A variety of databases index the clinical implications of CNVs and their associations with a particular phenotype. CNVs are continuously cataloged and, with growth in CMA testing and improved resolution, databases have become increasingly extensive (e.g., DECIPHER [<https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk>], ClinVar [<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/>]). For uncommon CNVs, in addition to reports of CNV-phenotype associations, the location and size of the CNV can offer clues to pathogenicity; larger CNVs are more often pathogenic and the role of affected genes in brain circuitry and effect of CNV on gene expression can implicate pathogenicity. Although uncommon, an observed phenotype can result from unmasking a mutated recessive allele on the unaffected (non-CNV) chromosome.²² Other considerations when determining pathogenicity include CNV dosage, X linkage, number of reports in the literature of an association between CNV and phenotype, and findings in “normal” individuals.

The American College of Medical Genetics has published guidelines for evaluating, interpreting, and reporting pathogenicity reflecting these principles.²³ The recommended categories of clinical significance for reporting are pathogenic, uncertain clinical significance (likely pathogenic, likely benign, or no subclassification), or benign. The International Standards for Cytogenomic Arrays Consortium more recently proposed “an evidence-based approach to guide the development of content on chromosomal microarrays and to support interpretation of clinically significant copy number variation.”²⁴ The proposal defined levels of evidence describe how well or how poorly detected variants or CNVs correlate with phenotype.

Regulatory Status

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Lab tests for CMA testing and NGS are available under the auspices of Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

In 2010, FDA indicated that it would require microarray manufacturers to seek clearance to sell their products for use in clinical cytogenetics.

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

CMA Testing

CMA testing is commercially available through many laboratories and includes targeted and whole- genome arrays, with or without SNV microarray analysis.

In January 2014, the Affymetrix CytoScan® Dx Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was cleared by FDA through the de novo 510(k) process. FDA’s review of the CytoScan® Dx Assay included an analytic evaluation of the test’s ability to detect accurately numerous chromosomal variations of different types, sizes, and genome locations compared with several analytically validated test methods. FDA found that the CytoScan® Dx Assay could detect CNVs across the genome and adequately detect CNVs in regions of the genome associated with ID/DD. Reproducibility decreased with the CNV gain or loss size, particularly when less than approximately 400 kilobases (generally recommended as the lower reporting limit). As of July 2017, Affymetrix™ has reported 2.69 million markers for copy number, 750,000 biallelic probes, and 1.9 million polymorphic probes (Affymetrix™ was acquired by Thermo Fisher Scientific in 2016). FDA product code: PFX.

FirstStep^{Dx} PLUS® (Lineagen) uses Lineagen’s custom-designed microarray platform manufactured by Affymetrix. As of July 2017, this microarray consists of a 2.8 million probe microarray for the detection of CNVs associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. The array includes probes that come standard on the Affymetrix CytoScan HD® microarray, with an additional 88,435 custom probes designed by Lineagen.

Ambry Genetics offers multiple tests (CMA and NGS) designed for diagnosing ASD and neurodevelopmental disorders. As of July 2017, the CMA offered by Ambry Genetics includes over 2.6 million probes for copy number and 750,000 SNV probes. The expanded NGS panel for neurodevelopmental disorders includes assesses 196 genes.

LabCorp offers the Reveal® SNP Microarray-Pediatric for individuals with nonsyndromic congenital anomalies, dysmorphic features, DD/ID, and/or ASD. The Reveal® microarray has 2695 million probes as of July 2017.

Next-Generation Sequencing

A variety of commercial and academic laboratories offer NGS panels designed for the evaluation of ASD, DD/ID, and congenital anomalies, which vary in terms of the numbers of and specific genes tested.

Emory Genetics Laboratory offers an NGS ASD panel of genes targeting genetic syndromes that include autism or autistic features.

Greenwood Genetics Center offers an NGS panel for syndromic autism that includes 83 genes.

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have DD/ID, ASD, or multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome who receive CMA testing, the evidence includes primarily case series. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, changes in reproductive decision making, morbid events, and resource utilization. The available evidence supports test accuracy and validity. Although systematic studies of the impact of CMA on patient outcomes are lacking, the improvement in diagnostic yield over karyotyping has been well-demonstrated. Direct evidence of improved outcomes with CMA compared with karyotyping is lacking. However, for at least a subset of the disorders potentially diagnosed with CMA testing in this patient population, there are well-defined and accepted management steps associated with positive test results. Further, there is evidence of changes in reproductive decision making as a result of positive test results. The information derived from CMA testing can accomplish the following: it could end a long diagnostic odyssey; or reduce morbidity for certain conditions by initiating surveillance/management of associated comorbidities; or it could potentially impact future reproductive decision making for parents. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have DD/ID, ASD, or multiple congenital anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome who receive next-generation sequencing panel testing, the evidence includes primarily case series. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, changes in reproductive decision making, morbid events, and resource utilization. The rates of variants of uncertain significance associated with next-generation sequencing panel testing in this previously described patient population are not well-characterized. The yield of testing and likelihood of an uncertain result is variable, based on gene panel, gene tested, and patient population; additionally, there are risks of uninterpretable and incidental results. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

ANALYTIC VALIDITY of a genetic test defines its ability to accurately and reliably measure the genotype of interest.

CHROMOSOME is one of the threadlike “packages” of genes and other DNA in the nucleus of a cell.

CLINICAL VALIDITY of a genetic test defines its ability to detect or predict the associated disorder (phenotype).

COMPARATIVE GENOMIC HYBRIDIZATION (CGH) also referred to as chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA), and array CGH (aCGH) is a technique which produces a map of DNA

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

sequence copy number as a function of chromosomal location throughout the entire genetic genome, and allows the detection of genetic deletions, duplications, and amplifications.

DNA a large nucleic acid molecule, found principally in the chromosomes of the nucleus of a cell, that is the carrier of genetic information.

FIRST-DEGREE RELATIVE refers to a parent, sibling or child.

GENE is the basic unit of heredity, made of DNA, the code for a specific protein.

GENOTYPE is the specific genetic makeup of an individual, usually in the form of DNA.

KARYOTYPE is the chromosomal complement of an individual, including the number of chromosomes and any abnormalities.

MICROARRAY is a tool for analyzing gene expression that consists of a small membrane or glass slide containing samples of many genes arranged in a regular pattern. Each spot on an array is associated with a particular gene. Each color in an array represents either healthy (control) or diseased (sample) tissue. Depending on the type of array used, the location and intensity of a color will indicate whether the gene, or mutation, is present in either the control and/or sample DNA. It will also provide an estimate of the expression level of the gene(s) in the sample and control DNA.

MITOCHONDRIA are intracellular organelles that are responsible for energy production and cellular respiration.

MITOCHONDRIAL DISEASE refers to one of hundreds of congenital illnesses that result from mutations in mitochondrial DNA. As a result, the mitochondria are unable to completely burn food and oxygen in order to generate energy.

MUTATION is a permanent structural alteration in DNA.

PHENOTYPE is the physical characteristics of an organism or the presence of a disease that may or may not be genetic.

RNA is a chemical similar to a single strand of DNA

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

excluded, which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital BlueCross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital BlueCross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital BlueCross's medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital BlueCross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital BlueCross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Covered when medically necessary:

CPT Codes®							
0156U	81228	81229	81470	81471			

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

HCPCS Code	Description
S3870	Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) microarray testing for developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder and/or mental retardation

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
F70	Mild intellectual disabilities
F71	Moderate intellectual disabilities
F72	Severe intellectual disabilities

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
F73	Profound intellectual disabilities
F78	Other intellectual disabilities
F80.0	Phonological disorder
F82	Specific developmental disorder of motor function
F84.0	Autistic disorder
F84.2	Rett's syndrome
F84.3	Other childhood disintegrative disorder
F84.5	Asperger's syndrome
F84.8	Other pervasive developmental disorders
F88	Other disorders of psychological development
Q89.7	Multiple congenital malformations, not elsewhere classified

Investigational, therefore not covered.

CPT Codes®							
0170U	0209U						

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. Moeschler JB, Shevell M. *Comprehensive evaluation of the child with intellectual disability or global developmental delays. Pediatrics.* Sep 2014;134(3):e903-918. PMID 25157020
2. Shevell M, Ashwal S, Donley D, et al. *Practice parameter: evaluation of the child with global developmental delay: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and The Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. Neurology.* Feb 11 2003;60(3):367-380. PMID 12578916
3. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition: DSM-5.* Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
4. Michelson DJ, Shevell MI, Sherr EH, et al. *Evidence report: Genetic and metabolic testing on children with global developmental delay: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. Neurology.* Oct 25 2011;77(17):1629-1635. PMID 21956720
5. Srouf M, Shevell M. *Genetics and the investigation of developmental delay/intellectual disability. Arch Dis Child.* Apr 2014;99(4):386-389. PMID 24344174
6. Willemsen MH, Kleefstra T. *Making headway with genetic diagnostics of intellectual disabilities. Clin Genet.* Feb 2014;85(2):101-110. PMID 23895455
7. Moeschler JB. *Genetic evaluation of intellectual disabilities. Semin Pediatr Neurol.* Mar 2008;15(1):2-9. PMID 18342255

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

8. *Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year Principal Investigators. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years - autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2010. MMWR Surveill Summ. Mar 28 2014;63(2):1-21. PMID 24670961*
9. *Brandler WM, Sebat J. From de novo mutations to personalized therapeutic interventions in autism. Annu Rev Med. Jan 14 2015;66:487-507. PMID 25587659*
10. *Yuen RK, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Merico D, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of quartet families with autism spectrum disorder. Nat Med. Jan 26 2015;21(2):185-191. PMID 25621899*
11. *Gaugler T, Klei L, Sanders SJ, et al. Most genetic risk for autism resides with common variation. Nat Genet. Aug 2014;46(8):881-885. PMID 25038753*
12. *Schaefer GB, Mendelsohn NJ. Genetics evaluation for the etiologic diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders. Genet Med. Jan 2008;10(1):4-12. PMID 18197051*
13. *Butler MG, Dasouki MJ, Zhou XP, et al. Subset of individuals with autism spectrum disorders and extreme macrocephaly associated with germline PTEN tumour suppressor gene mutations. J Med Genet. Apr 2005;42(4):318-321. PMID 15805158*
14. *Mikhail FM, Lose EJ, Robin NH, et al. Clinically relevant single gene or intragenic deletions encompassing critical neurodevelopmental genes in patients with developmental delay, mental retardation, and/or autism spectrum disorders. Am J Med Genet A. Oct 2011;155A(10):2386-2396. PMID 22031302*
15. *Stankiewicz P, Beaudet AL. Use of array CGH in the evaluation of dysmorphology, malformations, developmental delay, and idiopathic mental retardation. Curr Opin Genet Dev. Jun 2007;17(3):182-192. PMID 17467974*
16. *Stuart SW, King CH, Pai GS. Autism spectrum disorder, Klinefelter syndrome, and chromosome 3p21.31 duplication: a case report. MedGenMed. Dec 18 2007;9(4):60. PMID 18311409*
17. *Moeschler JB. Medical genetics diagnostic evaluation of the child with global developmental delay or intellectual disability. Curr Opin Neurol. Apr 2008;21(2):117-122. PMID 18317267*
18. *Schaefer GB, Mendelsohn NJ, Professional P, et al. Clinical genetics evaluation in identifying the etiology of autism spectrum disorders. Genet Med. Apr 2008;10(4):301-305. PMID 18414214*
19. *Korf BR, Rehm HL. New approaches to molecular diagnosis. JAMA. Apr 10 2013;309(14):1511-1521. PMID 23571590*
20. *Kearney HM, South ST, Wolff DJ, et al. American College of Medical Genetics recommendations for the design and performance expectations for clinical genomic copy number microarrays intended for use in the postnatal setting for detection of constitutional abnormalities. Genet Med. Jul 2011;13(7):676-679. PMID 21681105*
21. *Rodriguez-Revenga L, Vallespin E, Madrigal I, et al. A parallel study of different array-CGH platforms in a set of Spanish patients with developmental delay and intellectual disability. Gene. May 25 2013;521(1):82-86. PMID 23524024*

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

22. Kloosterman WP, Hochstenbach R. Deciphering the pathogenic consequences of chromosomal aberrations in human genetic disease. *Mol Cytogenet.* Dec 19 2014;7(1):100. PMID 25606056
23. Kearney HM, Thorland EC, Brown KK, et al. American College of Medical Genetics standards and guidelines for interpretation and reporting of postnatal constitutional copy number variants. *Genet Med.* Jul 2011;13(7):680-685. PMID 21681106
24. Riggs ER, Church DM, Hanson K, et al. Towards an evidence-based process for the clinical interpretation of copy number variation. *Clin Genet.* May 2012;81(5):403-412. PMID 22097934
25. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). TEC Special Report: Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH) for the Genetic Evaluation of Patients with Developmental Delay/Mental Retardation and Autism Spectrum Disorder. TEC Assessments. 2009;Volume 24;Tab 10.
26. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association. Special Report: Chromosomal Microarray for the Genetic Evaluation of Patients With Global Developmental Delay, Intellectual Disability, and Autism Spectrum Disorder. TEC Assessments. 2015;Volume 30;Tab 2.
27. Wright CF, Fitzgerald TW, Jones WD, et al. Genetic diagnosis of developmental disorders in the DDD study: a scalable analysis of genome-wide research data. *Lancet.* Dec 16 2015;385(9975):1305-1314. PMID 25529582
28. Aradhya S, Manning MA, Splendore A, et al. Whole-genome array-CGH identifies novel contiguous gene deletions and duplications associated with developmental delay, mental retardation, and dysmorphic features. *Am J Med Genet A.* Jul 1 2007;143A(13):1431-1441. PMID 17568414
29. Baldwin EL, Lee JY, Blake DM, et al. Enhanced detection of clinically relevant genomic imbalances using a targeted plus whole genome oligonucleotide microarray. *Genet Med.* Jun 2008;10(6):415-429. PMID 18496225
30. Ballif BC, Sulpizio SG, Lloyd RM, et al. The clinical utility of enhanced subtelomeric coverage in array CGH. *Am J Med Genet A.* Aug 15 2007;143A(16):1850-1857. PMID 17632771
31. Ballif BC, Rorem EA, Sundin K, et al. Detection of low-level mosaicism by array CGH in routine diagnostic specimens. *Am J Med Genet A.* Dec 15 2006;140(24):2757-2767. PMID 17103431
32. Bartnik M, Nowakowska B, Derwinska K, et al. Application of array comparative genomic hybridization in 256 patients with developmental delay or intellectual disability. *J Appl Genet.* Feb 2014;55(1):125-144. PMID 24297458
33. Bartnik M, Wisniewiecka-Kowalnik B, Nowakowska B, et al. The usefulness of array comparative genomic hybridization in clinical diagnostics of intellectual disability in children. *Dev Period Med.* Jul-Sep 2014;18(3):307-317. PMID 25182394
34. Battaglia A, Doccini V, Bernardini L, et al. Confirmation of chromosomal microarray as a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental delay, intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorders and dysmorphic features. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* Nov 2013;17(6):589-599. PMID 23711909

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

35. Bremer A, Giacobini M, Eriksson M, et al. Copy number variation characteristics in subpopulations of patients with autism spectrum disorders. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* Mar 2011;156(2):115-124. PMID 21302340
36. Bruno DL, Ganesamoorthy D, Schoumans J, et al. Detection of cryptic pathogenic copy number variations and constitutional loss of heterozygosity using high resolution SNP microarray analysis in 117 patients referred for cytogenetic analysis and impact on clinical practice. *J Med Genet.* Feb 2009;46(2):123-131. PMID 19015223
37. Chong WW, Lo IF, Lam ST, et al. Performance of chromosomal microarray for patients with intellectual disabilities/developmental delay, autism, and multiple congenital anomalies in a Chinese cohort. *Mol Cytogenet.* 2014;7:34. PMID 24926319
38. Christian SL, Brune CW, Sudi J, et al. Novel submicroscopic chromosomal abnormalities detected in autism spectrum disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* Jun 15 2008;63(12):1111-1117. PMID 18374305
39. Coulter ME, Miller DT, Harris DJ, et al. Chromosomal microarray testing influences medical management. *Genet Med.* Sep 2011;13(9):770-776. PMID 21716121
40. D'Amours G, Langlois M, Mathonnet G, et al. SNP arrays: comparing diagnostic yields for four platforms in children with developmental delay. *BMC Med Genomics.* Dec 24 2014;7(1):70. PMID 25539807
41. de Vries BB, Pfundt R, Leisink M, et al. Diagnostic genome profiling in mental retardation. *Am J Hum Genet.* Oct 2005;77(4):606-616. PMID 16175506
42. Eriksson MA, Lieden A, Westerlund J, et al. Rare copy number variants are common in young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Acta Paediatr.* Jun 2015;104(6):610-618. PMID 25661985
43. Filges I, Suda L, Weber P, et al. High resolution array in the clinical approach to chromosomal phenotypes. *Gene.* Mar 10 2012;495(2):163-169. PMID 22240311
44. Friedman JM, Baross A, Delaney AD, et al. Oligonucleotide microarray analysis of genomic imbalance in children with mental retardation. *Am J Hum Genet.* Sep 2006;79(3):500-513. PMID 16909388
45. Friedman J, Adam S, Arbour L, et al. Detection of pathogenic copy number variants in children with idiopathic intellectual disability using 500 K SNP array genomic hybridization. *BMC Genomics.* Nov 2009;10:526. PMID 19917086
46. Froyen G, Van Esch H, Bauters M, et al. Detection of genomic copy number changes in patients with idiopathic mental retardation by high-resolution X-array-CGH: important role for increased gene dosage of XLMR genes. *Hum Mutat.* Oct 2007;28(10):1034-1042. PMID 17546640
47. Harada N, Hatchwell E, Okamoto N, et al. Subtelomere specific microarray based comparative genomic hybridisation: a rapid detection system for cryptic rearrangements in idiopathic mental retardation. *J Med Genet.* Feb 2004;41(2):130-136. PMID 14757861
48. Henderson LB, Applegate CD, Wohler E, et al. The impact of chromosomal microarray on clinical management: a retrospective analysis. *Genet Med.* Sep 2014;16(9):657-664. PMID 24625444

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

49. Hoyer J, Dreweke A, Becker C, et al. Molecular karyotyping in patients with mental retardation using 100K single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. *J Med Genet.* Oct 2007;44(10):629-636. PMID 17601928
50. Iourov IY, Vorsanova SG, Kurinnaia OS, et al. Molecular karyotyping by array CGH in a Russian cohort of children with intellectual disability, autism, epilepsy and congenital anomalies. *Mol Cytogenet.* Jan 2012;5(1):46. PMID 23272938
51. Jacquemont ML, Sanlaville D, Redon R, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridisation identifies high frequency of cryptic chromosomal rearrangements in patients with syndromic autism spectrum disorders. *J Med Genet.* Nov 2006;43(11):843-849. PMID 16840569
52. Krepischi-Santos AC, Vianna-Morgante AM, Jehee FS, et al. Whole-genome array-CGH screening in undiagnosed syndromic patients: old syndromes revisited and new alterations. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* 2006;115(3-4):254-261. PMID 17124408
53. Lay-Son G, Espinoza K, Vial C, et al. Chromosomal microarrays testing in children with developmental disabilities and congenital anomalies. *J Pediatr (Rio J).* Oct 30 2015;91(2):189-195. PMID 25458876
54. Lee CG, Park SJ, Yun JN, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization in 190 Korean patients with developmental delay and/or intellectual disability: a single tertiary care university center study. *Yonsei Med J.* Nov 2013;54(6):1463-1470. PMID 24142652
55. Lu X, Shaw CA, Patel A, et al. Clinical implementation of chromosomal microarray analysis: summary of 2513 postnatal cases. *PLoS One.* Mar 28 2007;2(3):e327. PMID 17389918
56. Lugtenberg D, de Brouwer AP, Kleefstra T, et al. Chromosomal copy number changes in patients with non-syndromic X linked mental retardation detected by array CGH. *J Med Genet.* Apr 2006;43(4):362-370. PMID 16169931
57. Madrigal I, Rodriguez-Revenga L, Armengol L, et al. X-chromosome tiling path array detection of copy number variants in patients with chromosome X-linked mental retardation. *BMC Genomics.* Nov 29 2007;8:443. PMID 18047645
58. Manolakos E, Vetro A, Kefalas K, et al. The use of array-CGH in a cohort of Greek children with developmental delay. *Mol Cytogenet.* Nov 2010;3:22. PMID 21062444
59. Marshall CR, Noor A, Vincent JB, et al. Structural variation of chromosomes in autism spectrum disorder. *Am J Hum Genet.* Feb 2008;82(2):477-488. PMID 18252227
60. McGrew SG, Peters BR, Crittendon JA, et al. Diagnostic yield of chromosomal microarray analysis in an autism primary care practice: which guidelines to implement? *J Autism Dev Disord.* Aug 2012;42(8):1582-1591. PMID 22089167
61. Menten B, Maas N, Thienpont B, et al. Emerging patterns of cryptic chromosomal imbalance in patients with idiopathic mental retardation and multiple congenital anomalies: a new series of 140 patients and review of published reports. *J Med Genet.* Aug 2006;43(8):625-633. PMID 16490798
62. Miyake N, Shimokawa O, Harada N, et al. BAC array CGH reveals genomic aberrations in idiopathic mental retardation. *Am J Med Genet A.* Feb 1 2006;140(3):205-211. PMID 16419101

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

63. Nava C, Keren B, Mignot C, et al. Prospective diagnostic analysis of copy number variants using SNP microarrays in individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Eur J Hum Genet.* Jan 2014;22(1):71-78. PMID 23632794
64. Nicholl J, Waters W, Mulley JC, et al. Cognitive deficit and autism spectrum disorders: prospective diagnosis by array CGH. *Pathology.* Jan 2014;46(1):41-45. PMID 24300712
65. Palmer E, Speirs H, Taylor PJ, et al. Changing interpretation of chromosomal microarray over time in a community cohort with intellectual disability. *Am J Med Genet A.* Feb 2014;164A(2):377-385. PMID 24311194
66. Pickering DL, Eudy JD, Olney AH, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization analysis of 1176 consecutive clinical genetics investigations. *Genet Med.* Apr 2008;10(4):262-266. PMID 18414209
67. Preiksaitiene E, Molyte A, Kasnauskiene J, et al. Considering specific clinical features as evidence of pathogenic copy number variants. *J Appl Genet.* May 2014;55(2):189-196. PMID 24535828
68. Redin C, Gerard B, Lauer J, et al. Efficient strategy for the molecular diagnosis of intellectual disability using targeted high-throughput sequencing. *J Med Genet.* Nov 2014;51(11):724-736. PMID 25167861
69. Roberts JL, Hovanes K, Dasouki M, et al. Chromosomal microarray analysis of consecutive individuals with autism spectrum disorders or learning disability presenting for genetic services. *Gene.* Feb 1 2014;535(1):70-78. PMID 24188901
70. Rosenberg C, Knijnenburg J, Bakker E, et al. Array-CGH detection of micro rearrangements in mentally retarded individuals: clinical significance of imbalances present both in affected children and normal parents. *J Med Genet.* Feb 2006;43(2):180-186. PMID 15980116
71. Rosenfeld JA, Ballif BC, Torchia BS, et al. Copy number variations associated with autism spectrum disorders contribute to a spectrum of neurodevelopmental disorders. *Genet Med.* Nov 2010;12(11):694-702. PMID 20808228
72. Saam J, Gudgeon J, Aston E, et al. How physicians use array comparative genomic hybridization results to guide patient management in children with developmental delay. *Genet Med.* Mar 2008;10(3):181-186. PMID 18344707
73. Schaefer GB, Starr L, Pickering D, et al. Array comparative genomic hybridization findings in a cohort referred for an autism evaluation. *J Child Neurol.* Dec 2010;25(12):1498-1503. PMID 20729506
74. Schoumans J, Ruivenkamp C, Holmberg E, et al. Detection of chromosomal imbalances in children with idiopathic mental retardation by array based comparative genomic hybridisation (array-CGH). *J Med Genet.* Sep 2005;42(9):699-705. PMID 16141005
75. Sebat J, Lakshmi B, Malhotra D, et al. Strong association of de novo copy number mutations with autism. *Science.* Apr 20 2007;316(5823):445-449. PMID 17363630
76. Shaffer LG, Kashork CD, Saleki R, et al. Targeted genomic microarray analysis for identification of chromosome abnormalities in 1500 consecutive clinical cases. *J Pediatr.* Jul 2006;149(1):98-102. PMID 16860135

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

77. Sharp AJ, Hansen S, Selzer RR, et al. Discovery of previously unidentified genomic disorders from the duplication architecture of the human genome. *Nat Genet.* Sep 2006;38(9):1038-1042. PMID 16906162
78. Shaw-Smith C, Redon R, Rickman L, et al. Microarray based comparative genomic hybridisation (array-CGH) detects submicroscopic chromosomal deletions and duplications in patients with learning disability/mental retardation and dysmorphic features. *J Med Genet.* Apr 2004;41(4):241-248. PMID 15060094
79. Shen Y, Irons M, Miller DT, et al. Development of a focused oligonucleotide-array comparative genomic hybridization chip for clinical diagnosis of genomic imbalance. *Clin Chem.* Dec 2007;53(12):2051-2059. PMID 17901113
80. Shen Y, Dies KA, Holm IA, et al. Clinical genetic testing for patients with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics.* Apr 2010;125(4):e727-735. PMID 20231187
81. Shevell MI, Bejjani BA, Srour M, et al. Array comparative genomic hybridization in global developmental delay. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* Oct 5 2008;147B(7):1101-1108. PMID 18361433
82. Shoukier M, Klein N, Auber B, et al. Array CGH in patients with developmental delay or intellectual disability: are there phenotypic clues to pathogenic copy number variants? *Clin Genet.* Jan 2013;83(1):53-65. PMID 22283495
83. Sorte HS, Gjevik E, Sponheim E, et al. Copy number variation findings among 50 children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Psychiatr Genet.* Apr 2013;23(2):61-69. PMID 23277134
84. Stobbe G, Liu Y, Wu R, et al. Diagnostic yield of array comparative genomic hybridization in adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Genet Med.* Jan 2014;16(1):70-77. PMID 23765050
85. Tao VQ, Chan KY, Chu YW, et al. The clinical impact of chromosomal microarray on paediatric care in Hong Kong. *PLoS One.* Oct 15 2014;9(10):e109629. PMID 25333781
86. Thuresson AC, Bondeson ML, Edeby C, et al. Whole-genome array-CGH for detection of submicroscopic chromosomal imbalances in children with mental retardation. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* Sep 2007;118(1):1-7. PMID 17901693
87. Tyson C, Harvard C, Locker R, et al. Submicroscopic deletions and duplications in individuals with intellectual disability detected by array-CGH. *Am J Med Genet A.* Dec 15 2005;139(3):173-185. PMID 16283669
88. Tzetis M, Kitsiou-Tzeli S, Frysira H, et al. The clinical utility of molecular karyotyping using high-resolution array-comparative genomic hybridization. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn.* Jun 2012;12(5):449-457. PMID 22702362
89. Utine GE, Haliloglu G, Volkan-Salanci B, et al. Etiological yield of SNP microarrays in idiopathic intellectual disability. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* May 2014;18(3):327-337. PMID 24508361
90. Uwineza A, Caberg JH, Hitayezu J, et al. Array-CGH analysis in Rwandan patients presenting development delay/intellectual disability with multiple congenital anomalies. *BMC Med Genet.* Jul 2014;15:79. PMID 25016475

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

91. Vissers LE, de Vries BB, Osoegawa K, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization for the genomewide detection of submicroscopic chromosomal abnormalities. *Am J Hum Genet.* Dec 2003;73(6):1261-1270. PMID 14628292
92. Wagenstaller J, Spranger S, Lorenz-Depiereux B, et al. Copy-number variations measured by single-nucleotide-polymorphism oligonucleotide arrays in patients with mental retardation. *Am J Hum Genet.* Oct 2007;81(4):768-779. PMID 17847001
93. Wincent J, Anderlid BM, Lagerberg M, et al. High-resolution molecular karyotyping in patients with developmental delay and/or multiple congenital anomalies in a clinical setting. *Clin Genet.* Feb 2011;79(2):147-157. PMID 20486943
94. Xu M, Ji Y, Zhang T, et al. Clinical application of chromosome microarray analysis in han chinese children with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Neurosci Bull.* Jun 9 2018. PMID 29948840
95. Sansovic I, Ivankov AM, Bobinec A, et al. Chromosomal microarray in clinical diagnosis: a study of 337 patients with congenital anomalies and developmental delays or intellectual disability. *Croat Med J.* Jun 14 2017;58(3):231-238. PMID 28613040
96. Ho KS, Twede H, Vanzo R, et al. Clinical performance of an ultrahigh resolution chromosomal microarray optimized for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Biomed Res Int.* Dec 2016;2016:3284534. PMID 27975050
97. Ho KS, Wassman ER, Baxter AL, et al. Chromosomal microarray analysis of consecutive individuals with autism spectrum disorders using an ultra-high resolution chromosomal microarray optimized for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Int J Mol Sci.* Dec 09 2016;17(12). PMID 27941670
98. Hu G, Fan Y, Wang L, et al. Copy number variations in 119 Chinese children with idiopathic short stature identified by the custom genome-wide microarray. *Mol Cytogenet.* 2016;9:16. PMID 26884814
99. Lu XY, Phung MT, Shaw CA, et al. Genomic imbalances in neonates with birth defects: high detection rates by using chromosomal microarray analysis. *Pediatrics.* Dec 2008;122(6):1310-1318. PMID 19047251
100. Gogarty B. *Parents as partners. A report and guidelines on the investigation of children with developmental delay; by parents, for professionals* Cambridge: Cambridge Genetics Knowledge Park; 2006
101. Mroch AR, Flanagan JD, Stein QP. Solving the puzzle: case examples of array comparative genomic hybridization as a tool to end the diagnostic odyssey. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care.* Mar 2012;42(3):74-78. PMID 22325475
102. Turner G, Boyle J, Partington MW, et al. Restoring reproductive confidence in families with X-linked mental retardation by finding the causal mutation. *Clin Genet.* Feb 2008;73(2):188-190. PMID 18070138
103. Linggen M, Albers L, Borchers M, et al. Obtaining a genetic diagnosis in a child with disability: impact on parental quality of life. *Clin Genet.* Feb 2016;89(2):258-266. PMID 26084449

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

104. Hayeems RZ, Hoang N, Chenier S, et al. Capturing the clinical utility of genomic testing: medical recommendations following pediatric microarray. *Eur J Hum Genet. Sep 2015;23(9):1135-1141. PMID 25491637*
105. Ellison JW, Ravnán JB, Rosenfeld JA, et al. Clinical utility of chromosomal microarray analysis. *Pediatrics. Nov 2012;130(5):e1085-1095. PMID 23071206*
106. Hoffmann TJ, Windham GC, Anderson M, et al. Evidence of reproductive stoppage in families with autism spectrum disorder: a large, population-based cohort study. *JAMA Psychiatry. Aug 2014;71(8):943-951. PMID 24942798*
107. Grozeva D, Carss K, Spasic-Boskovic O, et al. Targeted next-generation sequencing analysis of 1,000 individuals with intellectual disability. *Hum Mutat. Dec 2015;36(12):1197-1204. PMID 26350204*
108. Kalsner L, Twachtman-Bassett J, Tokarski K, et al. Genetic testing including targeted gene panel in a diverse clinical population of children with autism spectrum disorder: Findings and implications. *Mol Genet Genomic Med. Mar 2018;6(2):171-185. PMID 29271092*
109. Moeschler JB, Shevell M, Committee on G. Comprehensive evaluation of the child with intellectual disability or global developmental delays. *Pediatrics. Sep 2014;134(3):e903-918. PMID 25157020*
110. Volkmar F, Siegel M, Woodbury-Smith M, et al. Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Feb 2014;53(2):237-257. PMID 24472258*
111. Manning M, Hudgins L. Array-based technology and recommendations for utilization in medical genetics practice for detection of chromosomal abnormalities. *Genet Med. Nov 2010;12(11):742-745. PMID 20962661*
112. South ST, Lee C, Lamb AN, et al. ACMG Standards and Guidelines for constitutional cytogenomic microarray analysis, including postnatal and prenatal applications: revision 2013. *Genet Med. Nov 2013;15(11):901-909. PMID 24071793*
113. Schaefer GB, Mendelsohn NJ, Professional P, et al. Clinical genetics evaluation in identifying the etiology of autism spectrum disorders: 2013 guideline revisions. *Genet Med. May 2013;15(5):399-407. PMID 23519317*
114. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 2.04.59, Genetic Testing for Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and Congenital Anomalies, November 2019.

X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

MP 2.242	CAC 4/26/11 New Policy, Adopt BCBSA. Information regarding aCGH for other conditions contained in MP-2.232 Genetic Testing for Inheritable Disease, No change to policy statement. Remains investigational.
	CAC 2/28/11 Term “array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH)” changed to “chromosomal microarray (CMA) analysis” in title, policy statements, and text. Policy statements changed to medically necessary for infants and children with

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

	developmental delay, intellectual disability, or autism spectrum disorder under certain conditions; investigational for all other conditions.
	CAC 3/26/13 Consensus. No change to policy statements. References updated. Codes reviewed. Removed many deleted codes.
	CAC 1/28/14 Consensus. No change to policy statements. References updated. Rationale section added. Changed Medicare variation to (LCD) L30538 Cytogenetic Analysis and (LCD) L33640 Biomarkers Overview.
	5/14 Administrative posting. Medicare variation revised to remove Novitas Solutions Local Coverage Determination (LCD) Cytogenetic Analysis (L30538) as policy was retired May 2014.
	01/2015 New 2015 CPT codes added to policy.
	CAC 3/24/15 Minor revision. Policy statement added that chromosomal microarray analysis to confirm the diagnosis of a disorder or syndrome that is routinely diagnosed based on clinical evaluation alone is not medically necessary. Policy title revised to "Genetic Testing, Including Chromosomal Microarray Analysis and Next-Generation Sequencing Panels, for the Evaluation of Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and/or Congenital Anomalies". Prenatal testing removed from this policy and added to new policy MP-2.278 Invasive Prenatal (Fetal) Diagnostic Testing. References and rationale updated. Policy coded.
	11/2/15 Administrative change. LCD number changed from L 33638 to L35062 due to Novitas update to ICD-10. Also DSM IV changed to DSM V.
	CAC 3/29/16 Minor revision. Policy statements changed that CMA may be considered medically necessary for apparently nonsyndromic developmental delay/intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorder, and multiple anomalies not specific to a well-delineated genetic syndrome. Panel testing using next-generation sequencing added as investigational. Background, references, and rationale revised. Appendix added. Coding reviewed.
	1/1/17 Administrative update. Variations reformatted.
	CAC 5/23/17 Consensus. No change to policy statements. References and rationale updated. Changed title. Genetic Testing for Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and Congenital Anomalies (formerly Genetic Testing, Including Chromosomal Microarray Analysis and Next-Generation Sequencing Panels, for the Evaluation of Developmental Delay/Intellectual Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder, and/or Congenital Anomalies) Coding Reviewed.
	1/1/18 Administrative update. Medicare variations removed from Commercial Policies.

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY/INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY, AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND CONGENITAL ANOMALIES
POLICY NUMBER	MP-2.242

	<p>2/7/18 Minor review. The first policy statement was revised to remove the “postnatal” term; a second statement was added that chromosomal microarray analysis is investigational for the evaluation of all other conditions of delayed development, including but not limited to idiopathic growth or language delay. In policy guidelines added statement “Use of CMA testing as outlined in this policy is not intended for use in the prenatal period”. Background, rationale and references updated. Coding reviewed.</p>
	<p>2/5/19 Consensus review. Updated background. Updated References. Condensed rationale.</p>
	<p>1/1/20 Administrative update. New code 0156U added.</p>
	<p>2/26/20 Consensus review. No changes to policy statement. Coding reviewed. New code 0170U added as investigational, effective 4/1/20.</p>
	<p>10/1/20 Administrative update. New code 0209U added, effective 10-1-20.</p>

[Top](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital BlueCross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital BlueCross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.