

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

CLINICAL BENEFIT	<input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	3/1/2024

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)
[DISCLAIMER](#)
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)
[DEFINITIONS](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Esophageal pH monitoring using a wireless or catheter-based system or multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring may be considered **medically necessary** for the following clinical indications in adults, adolescents and children able to report symptoms*:

- Documentation of abnormal acid exposure in endoscopy-negative individuals being considered for surgical antireflux repair;
- Evaluation of individuals after antireflux surgery who are suspected of having ongoing abnormal reflux;
- Evaluation of individuals with either normal or equivocal endoscopic findings and reflux symptoms refractory to proton pump inhibitor therapy;
- Evaluation of refractory reflux in individuals with chest pain after cardiac evaluation and after a 1-month trial of proton pump inhibitor therapy;
- Evaluation of suspected otolaryngologic manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease (i.e., laryngitis, pharyngitis, chronic cough) in individuals that have failed to respond to at least 4 weeks of proton pump inhibitor therapy;
- Evaluation of concomitant gastroesophageal reflux disease in individuals with adult-onset, nonallergic asthma suspected of having reflux-induced asthma.

Twenty-four-hour catheter-based esophageal pH monitoring or multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring may be considered **medically necessary** in infants or children who are unable to report or describe symptoms of reflux with any of the following:

- Unexplained apnea;
- Bradycardia;
- Refractory coughing or wheezing, stridor, or recurrent choking (aspiration);
- Persistent or recurrent laryngitis; and
- Recurrent pneumonia.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

*Esophageal pH monitoring systems should be used in accordance with FDA-approved indications and age ranges.

Cross-references:

MP 2.053 Procedures for the Treatment for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease

MP 5.033 Wireless Capsule Endoscopy for Gastrointestinal (GI) Disorders

MP 5.047 Ingestible pH and Pressure Capsule

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO: Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at:

<https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>.

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

Esophageal pH monitoring, using wired or wireless devices, can record the pH of the lower esophagus for a period of several days. Impedance pH monitoring measures electrical impedance in the esophagus to evaluate reflux episodes concurrent with changes in pH. These tests are used for certain clinical indications in the evaluation of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE

Acid reflux is the cause of heartburn and acid regurgitation esophagitis, which can lead to esophageal stricture. Acid reflux can also cause or contribute to some cases of asthma, posterior laryngitis, chronic cough, dental erosions, chronic hoarseness, pharyngitis, subglottic stenosis or stricture, nocturnal choking, and recurrent pneumonia.

Diagnosis

Gastroesophageal reflux disease is most commonly diagnosed by clinical evaluation and treated empirically with a trial of medical management. For patients who do not respond appropriately to medications, or who have recurrent chronic symptoms, endoscopy is indicated to confirm the diagnosis and assess the severity of reflux esophagitis. In some patients, endoscopy is nondiagnostic, or results are discordant with the clinical evaluation (in these cases, further diagnostic testing may be of benefit).

Monitoring

Esophageal monitoring is done using a tube with a pH electrode attached to its tip, which is then passed into the esophagus to approximately 5 cm above the upper margin of the lower esophageal sphincter. The electrode is attached to a data recorder worn on a waist belt or shoulder strap. Every instance of acid reflux, as well as its duration and pH, is recorded over a 24-hour period. Wireless pH monitoring is achieved using endoscopic or manometric guidance to attach the pH measuring capsule to the esophageal mucosa using a clip. The capsule

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL pH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

records pH levels for up to 96 hours and transmits them via radiofrequency telemetry to a receiver worn on the patient's belt. Data from the recorder are uploaded to a computer for analysis by a nurse or doctor.

Another technology closely related to pH monitoring is impedance pH monitoring, which incorporates pH monitoring with measurements of impedance, a method of measuring reflux of liquid or gas of any pH. Multiple electrodes are placed along the length of the esophageal catheter. The impedance pattern detected can determine the direction of flow and the substance (liquid or gas). Impedance monitoring can identify reflux events in which the liquid is only slightly acidic or nonacidic.

REGULATORY STATUS

Esophageal pH electrodes are considered class I devices by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and are exempt from 510(k) requirements.

Several wireless and catheter-based (wired) esophageal pH monitoring devices have been cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. Examples include the Bravo™ pH Monitoring System (Medtronic), the Sandhill Scientific PediaTec™ pH Probe (Sandhill Scientific), the ORION II Ambulatory pH Recorder (MMS, Medical Measurement Systems), and the TRIP CIC Catheter (Tonometrics). FDA product code: FFT. The ZepHr® Reflux Monitoring System (Diversatek) is an impedance device to detect reflux. FDA product code: FFX.

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have GERD who receive catheter-based pH monitoring, the evidence includes various cross-sectional studies evaluating test performance in different populations. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. Positive pH monitoring tests correlate with endoscopically defined GERD and with GERD symptoms, but because there is no reference standard for clinical GERD, diagnostic characteristics cannot be determined. There are no studies of clinical utility showing improved outcomes, and the chain of evidence supporting the utility of the test is weak. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have GERD who receive wireless pH monitoring, the evidence includes a systematic review and cross-sectional studies evaluating test performance and diagnostic yield in different populations. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. Positive wireless pH monitoring tests correlate with endoscopically defined GERD and GERD symptoms, but because there is no reference standard for clinical GERD, diagnostic characteristics cannot be determined. Some studies have shown higher positive test rates with prolonged wireless monitoring compared with catheter-based pH monitoring, but the effect of this finding on patient outcomes is uncertain. There are no studies of clinical utility showing improved outcomes, and the chain of evidence supporting the utility of the test is weak. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have GERD who receive impedance pH testing, the evidence includes cross-sectional studies evaluating test performance and diagnostic yield in different populations.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, and functional outcomes. Positive impedance pH tests correlate with endoscopically defined GERD and with GERD symptoms, but because there is no reference standard for clinical GERD, diagnostic characteristics cannot be determined. Some studies have shown higher positive test rates with impedance pH testing compared with pH testing alone, but the effect of this finding on patient outcomes is uncertain. There are no studies of clinical utility showing improved outcomes, and the chain of evidence supporting the utility of the test is weak. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Additional Information

Clinical input obtained in 2010 has suggested that catheter-based, wireless and multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring may aid in the diagnosis of GERD in patients who have an uncertain diagnosis after clinical evaluation and endoscopy. Esophageal pH monitoring is not considered a standard diagnostic test for most patients with GERD, but there is strong clinical support for its use in selected subpopulations for certain indications. Clinical guidelines support pH testing for patients with GERD being considered for surgical intervention. Wireless pH monitoring measurements appear to correlate closely to catheter-based monitoring and may be more comfortable for patients or may be an option for patients unable to tolerate catheter-based monitoring.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

N/A

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital Blue Cross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital Blue Cross's medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital Blue Cross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Covered when medically necessary:

Procedure Codes								
91034	91035	91037	91038					

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
J18.9	Pneumonia, unspecified organism
J31.2	Chronic pharyngitis
J37.0	Chronic laryngitis
J45.20	Mild intermittent asthma, uncomplicated
J45.21	Mild intermittent asthma with (acute) exacerbation
J45.22	Mild intermittent asthma with status asthmaticus
J45.30	Mild persistent asthma, uncomplicated
J45.31	Mild persistent asthma with (acute) exacerbation
J45.32	Mild persistent asthma with status asthmaticus
J45.40	Moderate persistent asthma, uncomplicated
J45.41	Moderate persistent asthma with (acute) exacerbation
J45.42	Moderate persistent asthma with status asthmaticus
J45.50	Severe persistent asthma, uncomplicated
J45.51	Severe persistent asthma with (acute) exacerbation
J45.52	Severe persistent asthma with status asthmaticus
J45.991	Cough variant asthma
J45.998	Other asthma
K21.0	Gastro-esophageal reflux disease with esophagitis
K21.00	Gastro-esophageal reflux disease with esophagitis, without bleeding
K21.01	Gastro-esophageal reflux disease with esophagitis, with bleeding
K21.9	Gastro-esophageal reflux disease without esophagitis
P24.80	Other neonatal aspiration without respiratory symptoms
P24.81	Other neonatal aspiration with respiratory symptoms
P28.40	Unspecified apnea of newborn
P284.9	Other apnea of newborn
P28.89	Other specified respiratory conditions of newborn

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
R00.1	Bradycardia, unspecified
R05	Cough
R05.1	Acute cough
R05.2	Subacute cough
R05.3	Chronic cough
R05.4	Cough syncope
R05.8	Other specified cough
R05.9	Cough, unspecified
R06.1	Stridor
R06.2	Wheezing
R06.81	Apnea, not elsewhere classified
R07.89	Other chest pain

IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. Kahrilas PJ, Quigley EM. Clinical esophageal pH recording: a technical review for practice guideline development. *Gastroenterology*. Jun 1996; 110(6): 1982-96. PMID 8964428
2. Kessels SJM, Newton SS, Morona JK, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Wireless pH Monitoring in Patients Suspected of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. Oct 2017; 51(9): 777-788. PMID 28877081
3. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Assicaiton Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Special Report: Wireless pH Monitoring. *TEC Assessments*. 2006; 21(2).
4. Hakanson BS, Berggren P, Granqvist S, et al. Comparison of wireless 48-h (Bravo) versus traditional ambulatory 24-h esophageal pH monitoring. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2009; 44(3): 276-83. PMID 19040176
5. Wenner J, Johansson J, Johnsson F, et al. Optimal thresholds and discriminatory power of 48-h wireless esophageal pH monitoring in the diagnosis of GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Sep 2007; 102(9): 1862-9. PMID 17509034
6. Schneider JH, Kramer KM, Konigsrainer A, et al. Ambulatory pH: monitoring with a wireless system. *Surg Endosc*. Nov 2007; 21(11): 2076-80. PMID 17484003
7. Grigolon A, Consonni D, Bravi I, et al. Diagnostic yield of 96-h wireless pH monitoring and usefulness in patients' management. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. May 2011; 46(5): 522-30. PMID 21366495
8. Sweis R, Fox M, Anggiansah A, et al. Prolonged, wireless pH-studies have a high diagnostic yield in patients with reflux symptoms and negative 24-h catheter-based pH-studies. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. May 2011; 23(5): 419-26. PMID 21235685
9. Garrean CP, Zhang Q, Gonsalves N, et al. Acid reflux detection and symptom-reflux association using 4-day wireless pH recording combining 48-hour periods off and on PPI therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jul 2008; 103(7): 1631-7. PMID 18557714

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

10. Scarpulla G, Camilleri S, Galante P, et al. The impact of prolonged pH measurements on the diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease: 4-day wireless pH studies. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Dec 2007; 102(12): 2642-7. PMID 17850412
11. Prakash C, Clouse RE. Value of extended recording time with wireless pH monitoring in evaluating gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Apr 2005; 3(4): 329-34. PMID 15822037
12. Bajbouj M, Becker V, Neuber M, et al. Combined pH-metry/impedance monitoring increases the diagnostic yield in patients with atypical gastroesophageal reflux symptoms. *Digestion.* 2007; 76(3-4): 223-8. PMID 18174685
13. Bredenoord AJ, Weusten BL, Timmer R, et al. Addition of esophageal impedance monitoring to pH monitoring increases the yield of symptom association analysis in patients off PPI therapy. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Mar 2006; 101(3): 453-9. PMID 16464226
14. Mainie I, Tutuian R, Shay S, et al. Acid and non-acid reflux in patients with persistent symptoms despite acid suppressive therapy: a multicentre study using combined ambulatory impedance-pH monitoring. *Gut.* Oct 2006; 55(10): 1398-402. PMID 16556669
15. Vela MF, Camacho-Lobato L, Srinivasan R, et al. Simultaneous intraesophageal impedance and pH measurement of acid and nonacid gastroesophageal reflux: effect of omeprazole. *Gastroenterology.* Jun 2001; 120(7): 1599-606. PMID 11375942
16. Gyawali CP, Tutuian R, Zerbib F, et al. Value of pH Impedance Monitoring While on Twice-Daily Proton Pump Inhibitor Therapy to Identify Need for Escalation of Reflux Management. *Gastroenterology.* Nov 2021; 161(5): 1412-1422. PMID 34270955
17. Gyawali CP, Carlson DA, Chen JW, et al. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Clinical Use of Esophageal Physiologic Testing. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Sep 2020; 115(9): 1412-1428. PMID 32769426
18. Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Mar 2013; 108(3): 308-28; quiz 329. PMID 23419381
19. Yadlapati R, Gyawali CP, Pandolfino JE, et al. AGA Clinical Practice Update on the Personalized Approach to the Evaluation and Management of GERD: Expert Review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* May 2022; 20(5): 984-994.e1. PMID 35123084
20. Yadlapati R, Gawron AJ, Gyawali CP, et al. Clinical role of ambulatory reflux monitoring in PPI non-responders: recommendation statements. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* Oct 2022; 56(8): 1274-1283. PMID 35971888
21. Gyawali CP, Kahrilas PJ, Savarino E, et al. Modern diagnosis of GERD: the Lyon Consensus. *Gut.* Jul 2018; 67(7): 1351-1362. PMID 29437910
22. Gyawali CP, Yadlapati R, Fass R, et al. Updates to the modern diagnosis of GERD: Lyon consensus 2.0. *Gut.* Sep 21 2023. PMID 37734911
23. Roman S, Gyawali CP, Savarino E, et al. Ambulatory reflux monitoring for diagnosis of gastro-esophageal reflux disease: Update of the Porto consensus and recommendations from an international consensus group. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* Oct 2017; 29(10): 1-15. PMID 28370768
24. Savarino E, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, et al. Expert consensus document: Advances in the physiological assessment and diagnosis of GERD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Nov 2017; 14(11): 665-676. PMID 28951582

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ESOPHAGEAL PH MONITORING
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.017

25. Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M, et al. Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Clinical Practice Guidelines: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* Mar 2018; 66(3): 516-554. PMID 29470322
26. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Catheterless esophageal pH monitoring [IPG187]. 2006
27. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in children and young people: diagnosis and management [NG1]. 2019
28. Hobbs P, Gyawali CP. The role of esophageal pH-impedance testing in clinical practice. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol.* 2018 Jul;34(4):249-257. PMID: 29846260.
29. Winter H. Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in children and adolescents. In: *UpToDate Online Journal [serial online]*. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; updated March 4, 2022. Literature review current through November 2023
30. Tutuian R. Esophageal multichannel intraluminal impedance testing. In: *UpToDate Online Journal [serial online]*. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; updated December 4, 2023. Literature review current through November 2023
31. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 2.01.20, Esophageal pH Monitoring. December, 2023

X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

MP 2.017	9/1/20 Administrative update. ICD 10 codes K 21.00 and K21.01 added.
	9/11/2020: Consensus Review. Policy Statement unchanged. Coding reviewed, no changes. Product Variation Statement updated. References reviewed, updated.
	3/4/2021: Consensus Review. Updated Summary of Evidence and references. No changes to coding.
	9/7/2021: Administrative review. Addition of new ICD-10 codes. Effective 10/1/2021.
	09/16/2022 Administrative update. Deleted ICD10 code P28.4 and added new ICD10 codes P28.40 and P28.49. Effective 10/01/2022
	12/12/2022 Minor review. Impedance pH monitoring changed from not medically necessary to medically necessary with criteria. Product variation and FEP language revised. Background and Rationale updated. References added.
	12/6/2023 Consensus review. No change to policy statement. Cross Referenced policies, Rationale, Definitions and References updated.

[TOP](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital Blue Cross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the Blue Cross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital Blue Cross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.