

POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

CLINICAL BENEFIT	 MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. 			
Effective Date:	7/1/2024			
POLICY	PRODUCT VARIATIONS DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND			

<u>POLICY</u> <u>RATIONALE</u> <u>DISCLAIMER</u> <u>POLICY HISTORY</u> PRODUCT VARIATIONS DEFINITIONS CODING INFORMATION DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND BENEFIT VARIATIONS REFERENCES

I. POLICY

•

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation Urinary Incontinence and Non-obstructive Retention

- A. A trial period of sacral nerve neuromodulation with either percutaneous nerve stimulation or a temporarily implanted lead may be considered **medically necessary** in members who meet all the following criteria:
 - There is a diagnosis of at least one of the following:
 - Urge incontinence
 - o Urgency-frequency syndrome
 - Non-obstructive urinary retention
 - Overactive bladder
 - There is documented failure or intolerance to at least two conventional conservative therapies (e.g., behavioral training such as bladder training, prompted voiding, or pelvic muscle exercise training, pharmacologic treatment for at least a sufficient duration to fully assess its efficacy, and/or surgical corrective therapy).
 - The member is an appropriate surgical candidate.
 - o Incontinence is not related to a neurologic condition.
- B. Permanent implantation of a sacral nerve neuromodulation device may be considered **medically necessary** in members who meet all the following criteria:
 - All of criteria in A. above are met.
 - A trial stimulation period demonstrates at least 50% improvement in symptoms over a period of at least 48 hours.

Other urinary/voiding applications of sacral nerve neuromodulation are considered **investigational**, including but not limited to treatment of stress incontinence or urge incontinence due to a neurologic condition (e.g., detrusor hyperreflexia, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injury, or other types of chronic voiding dysfunction). There is insufficient evidence to



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Fecal Incontinence

- A. A trial period of sacral nerve neuromodulation with either percutaneous nerve stimulation or a temporarily implanted lead may be considered **medically necessary** in members who meet all the following criteria:
 - There is a diagnosis of chronic fecal incontinence of greater than two (2) incontinent episodes on average per week with duration greater than six (6) months or for more than 12 months after vaginal childbirth.
 - There is documented failure or intolerance to conventional conservative therapy (e.g., dietary modification, the addition of bulking and pharmacologic treatment) for at least a sufficient duration to fully assess its efficacy.
 - The member is an appropriate surgical candidate.
 - The condition is not related to an anorectal malformation (e.g., congenital anorectal malformation; defects of the external anal sphincter over 60 degrees; visible sequelae of pelvic radiation; active anal abscesses and fistulae) or chronic inflammatory bowel disease.
 - Incontinence is not related to a neurologic condition.
 - The member has not had rectal surgery in the previous 12 months, or, in the case of cancer, the member has not had rectal surgery in the past 24 months.
- B. Permanent implantation of a sacral nerve neuromodulation device may be considered **medically necessary** in patients who meet all the following criteria:
 - All of criteria in A. above are met.
 - A trial stimulation period demonstrates at least 50% improvement in symptoms over a period of at least 48 hours.

Sacral nerve neuromodulation is **investigational** in the treatment of chronic constipation or chronic pelvic pain. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Pelvic Floor Stimulation

Non-invasive *electrical* pelvic floor stimulation devices for the treatment of stress and/or urge urinary incontinence may be considered **medically necessary** when the following criteria are met:

- The member is cognitively intact;
- The member has failed a documented trial of pelvic muscle exercise (PME) training*.

***Note**: A failed trial of PME training is defined as no clinically significant improvement in urinary continence after completing 4 weeks of an ordered plan of pelvic muscle exercises designed to increase periurethral muscle strength.

MP 2.064 Biofeedback and Neurofe

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <u>https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-</u>guidelines/medical-policies

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation

Urinary and Fecal Incontinence

Urge incontinence is defined as leakage of urine when there is a strong urge to void. Urgencyfrequency is an uncontrollable urge to urinate, resulting in very frequent, small volumes and is a prominent symptom of interstitial cystitis (also called bladder pain syndrome). Urinary retention is the inability to empty the bladder of urine completely. Fecal incontinence can arise from a variety of mechanisms, including rectal wall compliance, efferent and afferent neural pathways, central and peripheral nervous systems, and voluntary and involuntary muscles. Fecal incontinence is more common in women, due mainly to muscular and neural damage that may occur during vaginal delivery.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

Non-invasive *electrical* stimulator devices used for the treatment of fecal incontinence are considered **investigational**.

Non-invasive *magnetic* pelvic stimulator devices used for the treatment of urinary and fecal incontinence are considered **investigational.**

There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures.

Policy Guidelines

The International Continence Society has defined overactive bladder syndrome (OAB) as "urinary urgency, usually accompanied by increased daytime frequency and/or nocturia, with urinary incontinence (OAB-wet) or without (OAB-dry), in the absence of urinary tract infection or other detectable disease" (available at

https://www.ics.org/glossary/symptom/overactivebladderoaburgencysyndrome).

Cross-references:

MP 1.134 Percutaneous and Implantable Tibial Nerve Stimulation **MP 2.064** Biofeedback and Neurofeedback Therapy



<u>Top</u>

Тор



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

Treatment

Treatment using sacral nerve neuromodulation, also known as indirect sacral nerve stimulation, is one of several alternative modalities for patients with urinary or fecal incontinence (urge incontinence, significant symptoms of urgency-frequency, nonobstructive urinary retention) who have failed behavioral (e.g., prompted voiding) and/or pharmacologic therapies.

The sacral nerve neuromodulation device consists of an implantable pulse generator that delivers controlled electrical impulses. This pulse generator is attached to wire leads that connect to the sacral nerves, most commonly the S3 nerve root. Two external components of the system help control the electrical stimulation. A control magnet, kept by the patient, is used to turn the device on or off. A console programmer is kept by the physician and used to adjust the settings of the pulse generator.

Before implantation of the permanent device, patients undergo an initial testing phase to estimate potential response to treatment. The first type of testing developed was percutaneous nerve evaluation (PNE). This procedure is done with the patient under local anesthesia, using a test needle to identify the appropriate sacral nerve(s). Once identified, a temporary wire lead is inserted through the test needle and left in place for 4 to 7 days. This lead is connected to an external stimulator, which is carried by patients in their pocket or on their belt. The results of this test phase are used to determine whether patients are appropriate candidates for the permanent device. If patients show a 50% or greater reduction in symptom frequency, they are deemed eligible for the permanent device.

The second type of testing is a 2-stage surgical procedure. In the first stage, a quadripolar-tined lead is implanted (stage 1). The testing phase can last as long as several weeks, and if patients show a 50% or greater reduction in symptom frequency, they can proceed to stage 2 of the surgery, which is permanent implantation of the neuromodulation device. The 2-stage surgical procedure has been used in various ways. They include its use instead of PNE, for patients who failed PNE, for patients with an inconclusive PNE, or for patients who had a successful PNE to refine patient selection further.

The permanent device is implanted with the patient under general anesthesia. The electrical leads are placed in contact with the sacral nerve root(s) via an incision in the lower back, and the wire leads are extended through a second incision underneath the skin, across the flank to the lower abdomen. Finally, a third incision is made in the lower abdomen where the pulse generator is inserted and connected to the wire leads. Following implantation, the physician programs the pulse generator to the optimal settings for that patient. The patient can switch the pulse generator on and off by placing the control magnet over the area of the pulse generator for 1 to 2 seconds.

This review does not address devices that provide direct sacral nerve stimulation in patients with spinal cord injuries.

Regulatory Status

In 1997, the InterStim® Sacral Nerve Stimulation system (Medtronic) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the premarket approval process for the indication



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

of urinary urge incontinence in patients who have failed or could not tolerate more conservative treatments. In 1999, the device received FDA approval for the additional indications of urgency-frequency and urinary retention in patients without mechanical obstruction. In 2006, the InterStim II® System (Medtronic) was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for treatment of intractable cases of overactive bladder and urinary retention. The new device is smaller and lighter than the original and is reported to be suited for those with lower energy requirements or small stature. The device also includes updated software and programming options.

In 2011, the InterStim® System was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process for the indication of chronic fecal incontinence in patients who have failed or could not tolerate more conservative treatments.

The InterStim® device has not been specifically approved by FDA for treatment of chronic pelvic pain.

In 2020, the InterStim X^{TM} device was approved by the FDA. This latest generation of the InterStim device does not require recharging and has a battery life of at least 10 years and up to 15 years if used at a low-energy setting.

The InterStim device has not been specifically approved by the FDA for the treatment of chronic pelvic pain.

In 2019, the Axonics® Sacral Neuromodulation System (Axonics) received premarket approval from the FDA for both fecal incontinence and treatment of urinary retention and symptoms of overactive bladder. This system has a rechargeable battery that has a device life of 15 years after implantation.

In 2023, the Virtis[™] Sacral Neuromodulation System (Nuvectra) was approved by the FDA for treatment of urinary retention and symptoms of overactive bladder, including urinary urge incontinence and significant symptoms of urgency-frequency in patients who have failed more conservative treatments.

FDA product code: EZW.

Pelvic Floor Stimulation

Incontinence

Incontinence, especially urinary, is a common condition and can have a substantial impact on quality of life. Estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics have suggested that, among noninstitutionalized persons 65 years of age and older, 44% have reported issues with urinary incontinence. Urinary incontinence in women is common, with some estimates citing a 50% incidence. Factors that increase a woman's risk include older age, obesity, parity, vaginal delivery, and family history.

Urinary incontinence is less common in men, with estimates ranging from 11% to 34% in men greater than 65 years. Factors that increase a man's risk include older age, prostate disease,



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

urinary tract infection history, impaired activities of daily living, neurologic disease, constipation, diabetes, and sleep apnea.

Treatment

Nonsurgical treatment options for incontinence may include pharmacologic therapy, pelvic floor muscle exercises, bowel or bladder training exercises, electrical stimulation, and neuromodulation.

Pelvic Floor Stimulation

Pelvic floor stimulation (PFS) involves electrical stimulation of pelvic floor muscles using either a probe wired to a device for controlling the electrical stimulation or, more recently, extracorporeal electromagnetic (also called magnetic) pulses. Stimulation of the pudendal nerve to activate the pelvic floor musculature may improve urethral closure. In addition, PFS is thought to improve partially denervated urethral and pelvic floor musculature by enhancing the process of reinnervation. Methods of electrical PFS have varied in location (e.g., vaginal, rectal), stimulus frequency, stimulus intensity or amplitude, pulse duration, pulse to rest ratio, treatments per day, number of treatment days per week, length of time for each treatment session, and overall time period for device use between clinical and home settings. Variations in the amplitude and frequency of the electrical pulse are used to mimic and stimulate the different physiologic mechanisms of the voiding response, depending on the etiology of the incontinence (e.g., either detrusor instability, stress incontinence, or a mixed pattern). Magnetic PFS does not require an internal electrode; instead, patients sit fully clothed on a specialized chair with an embedded magnet.

Patients receiving electrical PFS may undergo treatment in a physician's office or physical therapy facility, or patients may undergo initial training in a physician's office followed by home treatment with a rented or purchased pelvic floor stimulator. Magnetic PFS may be administered in the physician's office.

Regulatory Status

Several electrical stimulators have been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In 2006, the MyoTrac Infiniti[™] (Thought Technology) and in 2015, the ApexM (InControl Medical), nonimplanted electrical stimulators for treating urinary incontinence, were cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. Predicate devices also used to treat urinary incontinence, include the Pathway[™] CTS 2000 (Prometheus Group) and the InCare® PRS (Hollister). In 2011, the itouch Sure Pelvic Floor Exerciser (TensCare) was cleared for marketing. This product is being marketed in the United States as EmbaGYN® (Everett Laboratories).

In 2000, the NeoControl® Pelvic Floor Therapy System (Neotonus) cleared through the FDA 510(k) process for treating urinary incontinence in women. This device, formerly known as the Neotonus Model 1000 Magnetic Stimulator, provides noninvasive electromagnetic stimulation of pelvic floor musculature. The magnetic system is embedded in a chair seat; patients sit on the chair fully clothed and receive the treatment. The magnetic fields are controlled by a separate power unit.



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

In 2014, the InTone®MV (InControl Medical), a nonimplantable device that provides electrical stimulation and/or biofeedback via manometry, was cleared by FDA. The device is intended to treat male and female urinary and fecal incontinence.

FDA product code: KPI.

IV. RATIONALE

Top

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation

Summary of Evidence

For individuals with urinary incontinence who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes RCTs, systematic reviews, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Results from the RCTs and case series with long-term follow-up have suggested that SNM reduces symptoms of urge incontinence, urgency-frequency syndrome, nonobstructive urinary retention, and overactive bladder in selected patients. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with fecal incontinence who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Although relatively small, the available trials had a low risk of bias and demonstrated improvements in incontinence relative to alternatives. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with constipation who have failed conservative treatment who receive SNM, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. The available trials have not consistently reported improvements in outcomes with SNM. Additional studies are needed to demonstrate the health benefits of this technology. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with chronic pelvic pain who receive SNM, the evidence is limited to case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Pelvic Floor Stimulation

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have urinary incontinence who receive electrical PFS, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Findings from multiple RCTs have not found that electrical PFS used to treat urinary incontinence in women consistently improves the net health outcome compared with placebo or other conservative treatments. Meta-analyses of these RCTs have also reported inconsistent findings. Moreover, meta-analyses of RCTs have not found a significant benefit of electrical PFS in men with postprostatectomy incontinence



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

compared with a control intervention. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence has issued guidance on the management of urinary incontinence of women. While electrical stimulation should not be routinely used to treat women with urinary incontinence it can be considered in women who cannot actively contract pelvic floor muscles to aid motivation and adherence to therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have fecal incontinence who receive electrical PFS, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Among the RCTs that have evaluated electrical PFS as a treatment for fecal incontinence only one trial was sham-controlled, and it did not find that electrical stimulation improved the net health outcome. Systematic reviews of RCTs have not found that electrical stimulation is superior to control interventions for treating fecal incontinence. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have urinary incontinence who receive magnetic PFS, the evidence includes RCTs and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review of RCTs on magnetic PFS for urinary incontinence in women concluded that the evidence was insufficient due to the following factors: a low number of trials with short-term follow-up, methodologic limitations, as well as heterogeneity in patient populations, interventions, and outcomes reported. One RCT evaluating magnetic stimulation for treating men with postprostatectomy urinary incontinence reported short-term results favoring magnetic PFS; however, the trial was small and lacked a sham comparator. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have fecal incontinence who receive magnetic PFS, the evidence includes no RCTs or non-RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

V. **DEFINITIONS**

<u>Top</u>

EXTRACORPOREAL MAGNETIC INNERVATION (ExMI[™]) is a technology used to deliver noninvasive electromagnetic stimulation to the muscles of pelvic floor to strengthen and improve neuromuscular control for the treatment of urinary incontinence in women.

PUDENDAL refers to external female genitalia.

INNERVATION is defined as nerve supply to a specific part of the body.

STRESS INCONTINENCE is the involuntary leaking of urine during activities that increase pressure inside the abdomen, such as coughing, sneezing, or jogging.



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

URGE INCONTINENCE is defined as leakage of urine when there is a strong urge to void.

URGENCY-FREQUENCY is an uncontrollable urge to urinate, resulting in very frequent, small volumes.

URINARY RETENTION is the inability to completely empty the bladder of urine.

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits, and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital Blue Cross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

Capital Blue Cross' medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital Blue Cross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Procedure Codes								
64561	64581	64585	64590	64595	95970	95971	95972	A4290
C1767	C1778	C1787	C1883	C1897	E0740	E0745	E1399	L8679
L8680	L8681	L8682	L8684	L8685	L8686	L8687	L8688	0786T
0787T	0788T	0789T						

Covered when medically necessary:

Effective 7/1/2024

<u>Top</u>

<u>Top</u>

Тор



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
N32.81	Overactive bladder
N39.41	Urge incontinence
N39.46	Mixed incontinence
R15.9	Full incontinence of feces
R33.8	Other retention of urine
R33.9	Retention of urine, unspecified
R35.0	Frequency of micturition
R39.14	Feeling of incomplete bladder emptying
R39.15	Urgency of urination

IX. REFERENCES

<u>Top</u>

Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation /Stimulation

- 1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Sacral nerve stimulation for the treatment of urge incontinence. TEC Assessments 1998;Volume 13:Tab 18
- 2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Sacral nerve stimulation for the treatment of refractory urinary urgency/frequency in adults. TEC Assessments. 2000;Volume 15:Tab 7
- 3. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness: Medtronic Interstim System for Urinary Control
- 4. Weil EH, Ruiz-Cerda JL, Eerdmans PH, et al. Sacral root neuromodulation in the treatment of refractory urinary urge incontinence: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Eur Urol. Feb 2000;37(2):161-171. PMID 10705194
- 5. Siegel S, Noblett K, Mangel J, et al. Results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter study evaluating sacral neuromodulation with InterStim therapy compared to standard medical therapy at 6-months in subjects with mild symptoms of overactive bladder. Neurourol Urodyn. Mar 2015;34(3):224-230. PMID 24415559
- Noblett K, Siegel S, Mangel J, et al. Results of a prospective, multicenter study evaluating quality of life, safety, and efficacy of sacral neuromodulation at twelve months in subjects with symptoms of overactive bladder. Neurourol Urodyn. Feb 2016;35(2):246-251. PMID 25546568
- 7. Amundsen CL, Richter HE, Menefee SA, et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA vs sacral neuromodulation on refractory urgency urinary incontinence in women: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. Oct 04 2016;316(13):1366-1374. PMID 27701661



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- Groen J, Blok BF, Bosch JL. Sacral neuromodulation as treatment for refractory idiopathic urge urinary incontinence: 5-year results of a longitudinal study in 60 women. J Urol. Sep 2011;186(3):954-959. PMID 21791355
- White WM, Mobley JD, 3rd, Doggweiler R, et al. Incidence and predictors of complications with sacral neuromodulation. Urology. Apr 2009;73(4):731-735. PMID 19193415
- 10. Thaha MA, Abukar AA, Thin NN, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence and constipation in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Aug 24 2015(8):CD004464. PMID 26299888
- Thin NN, Horrocks EJ, Hotouras A, et al. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of neuromodulation in the treatment of faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. Oct 2013;100(11):1430-1447. PMID 24037562
- Tan E, Ngo NT, Darzi A, et al. Meta-analysis: sacral nerve stimulation versus conservative therapy in the treatment of faecal incontinence. Int J Colorectal Dis. Mar 2011;26(3):275-294. PMID 21279370
- 13. Maeda Y, Matzel K, Lundby L, et al. Postoperative issues of sacral nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence and constipation: a systematic literature review and treatment guideline. Dis Colon Rectum. Nov 2011;54(11):1443-1460. PMID 21979192
- 14. Tjandra JJ, Chan MK, Yeh CH, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation is more effective than optimal medical therapy for severe fecal incontinence: a randomized, controlled study. Dis Colon Rectum. May 2008;51(5):494-502. PMID 18278532
- 15. Leroi AM, Parc Y, Lehur PA, et al. Efficacy of sacral nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence: results of a multicenter double-blind crossover study. Ann Surg. Nov 2005;242(5):662-669. PMID 16244539
- Wexner SD, Coller JA, Devroede G, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence: results of a 120-patient prospective multicenter study. Ann Surg. Mar 2010;251(3):441-449. PMID 20160636
- 17. Mellgren A, Wexner SD, Coller JA, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of sacral nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. Sep 2011;54(9):1065-1075. PMID 21825885
- Hull T, Giese C, Wexner SD, et al. Long-term durability of sacral nerve stimulation therapy for chronic fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. Feb 2013;56(2):234-245. PMID 23303153
- Altomare DF, Giuratrabocchetta S, Knowles CH, et al. Long-term outcomes of sacral nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. Mar 2015;102(4):407-415. PMID 25644687
- 20. Thomas GP, Dudding TC, Rahbour G, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation for constipation. Br J Surg. Jan 2013;100(2):174-181. PMID 23124687
- 21. Knowles CH, Thin N, Gill K, et al. Prospective randomized double-blind study of temporary sacral nerve stimulation in patients with rectal evacuatory dysfunction and rectal hyposensitivity. Ann Surg. Apr 2012;255(4):643-649. PMID 22418005



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- 22. Zerbib F, Siproudhis L, Lehur PA, et al. Randomized clinical trial of sacral nerve stimulation for refractory constipation. Br J Surg. Feb 2017;104(3):205-213. PMID 27779312
- 23. Dinning PG, Hunt L, Patton V, et al. Treatment efficacy of sacral nerve stimulation in slow transit constipation: a two-phase, double-blind randomized controlled crossover study. Am J Gastroenterol. May 2015;110(5):733-740. PMID 25895520
- 24. Kamm MA, Dudding TC, Melenhorst J, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation for intractable constipation. Gut. Mar 2010;59(3):333-340. PMID 20207638
- 25. Maeda Y, Lundby L, Buntzen S, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation for constipation: suboptimal outcome and adverse events. Dis Colon Rectum. Jul 2010;53(7):995-999. PMID 20551750
- 26. Tirlapur SA, Vlismas A, Ball E, et al. Nerve stimulation for chronic pelvic pain and bladder pain syndrome: a systematic review. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. Aug 2013;92(8):881-887. PMID 23710833
- 27. Martellucci J, Naldini G, Carriero A. Sacral nerve modulation in the treatment of chronic pelvic pain. Int J Colorectal Dis. Jul 2012;27(7):921-926. PMID 22203519
- 28. Siegel S, Paszkiewicz E, Kirkpatrick C, et al. Sacral nerve stimulation in patients with chronic intractable pelvic pain. J Urol. Nov 2001;166(5):1742-1745. PMID 11586214
- 29. Baxter C, Kim JH. Contrasting the percutaneous nerve evaluation versus staged implantation in sacral neuromodulation. Curr Urol Rep. Sep 2010;11(5):310-314. PMID 20535593
- 30. Leong RK, De Wachter SG, Nieman FH, et al. PNE versus 1st stage tined lead procedure: a direct comparison to select the most sensitive test method to identify patients suitable for sacral neuromodulation therapy. Neurourol Urodyn. Sep 2011;30(7):1249-1252. PMID 21404317
- 31. Scheepens WA, Van Koeveringe GA, De Bie RA, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety results of the two-stage implantation technique in sacral neuromodulation. BJU Int. Dec 2002;90(9):840-845. PMID 12460343
- 32. Marcelissen TA, Leong RK, de Bie RA, et al. Long-term results of sacral neuromodulation with the tined lead procedure. J Urol. Nov 2010;184(5):1997-2000. PMID 20850820
- 33. Borawski KM, Foster RT, Webster GD, et al. Predicting implantation with a neuromodulator using two different test stimulation techniques: A prospective randomized study in urge incontinent women. Neurourol Urodyn. Nov 2007;26(1):14-18. PMID 17123297
- 34. Bannowsky A, Wefer B, Braun PM, et al. Urodynamic changes and response rates in patients treated with permanent electrodes compared to conventional wire electrodes in the peripheral nerve evaluation test. World J Urol. Dec 2008;26(6):623-626. PMID 18629503
- 35. Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Faraday M, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Overactive Bladder (Non-Neurogenic) in Adults: AUA/SUFU Guideline Amendment. J Urol. May 2015;193(5):1572-1580. PMID 25623739



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- 36. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Urinary incontinence in women. Obstet Gynecol. Jun 2005;105(6):1533-1545. PMID 15932869
- 37. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 155: Urinary Incontinence in Women. Obstet Gynecol. Nov 2015;126(5):e66-81. PMID 26488524
- 38. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Faecal incontinence in adults: management [CG49]. 2007
- 39. Pilkington SA, Emmett C, Knowles CH, et al. Surgery for constipation: systematic review and practice recommendations: Results V: Sacral Nerve Stimulation. Colorectal Dis. Sep 2017;19(Suppl 3):92-100. PMID 28960926
- 40. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for SACRAL NERVE Stimulation For Urinary Incontinence (230.18). 2002
- 41. Chartier-Kastler E, Normand LL, Ruffion A, et al. Sacral Neuromodulation with the InterStim System for Overactive Bladder: 3-Year Results from the French Prospective, Multicenter, Observational SOUNDS Study. Eur Urol Focus. Sep 2022; 8(5): 1399-1407. PMID 34334342
- 42. Pezzella A, McCrery R, Lane F, et al. Two-year outcomes of the ARTISAN-SNM study for the treatment of urinary urgency incontinence using the Axonics rechargeable sacral neuromodulation system. Neurourol Urodyn. Feb 2021; 40(2): 714-721. PMID 33508155
- 43. Blok B, Van Kerrebroeck P, de Wachter S, et al. Two-year safety and efficacy outcomes for the treatment of overactive bladder using a long-lived rechargeable sacral neuromodulation system. Neurourol Urodyn. Apr 2020; 39(4): 1108-1114. PMID 32243625
- 44. Leo CA, Thomas GP, Bradshaw E, et al. Long-term outcome of sacral nerve stimulation for faecal incontinence. Colorectal Dis. Dec 2020; 22(12): 2191-2198. PMID 32954658
- 45. Desprez C, Damon H, Meurette G, et al. Ten-year Evaluation of a Large Retrospective Cohort Treated by Sacral Nerve Modulation for Fecal Incontinence: Results of a French Multicenter Study. Ann Surg. Apr 01 2022; 275(4): 735-742. PMID 32740249
- 46. Desprez C, Damon H, Meurette G, et al. Ten-year Evaluation of a Large Retrospective Cohort Treated by Sacral Nerve Modulation for Fecal Incontinence: Results of a French Multicenter Study. Ann Surg. Apr 01 2022; 275(4): 735-742. PMID 32740249
- 47. Picciariello A, Rinaldi M, Dibra R, et al. Ageing with sacral nerve modulation for fecal incontinence: how many patients get benefit after more than 10 years?. Updates Surg. Feb 2022; 74(1): 185-191. PMID 3498241
- 48. Jottard K, Van den Broeck S, Komen N, et al. Treatment of Fecal Incontinence With a Rechargeable Sacral Neuromodulation System: Efficacy, Clinical Outcome, and Ease of Use-Six-Month Follow-Up. Neuromodulation. Oct 2021; 24(7): 1284-1288. PMID 33107663
- 49. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Axonics sacral neuromodulation system for treating refractory overactive bladder
- 50. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 210: Fecal Incontinence. Obstet Gynecol. Apr 2019; 133(4): e260-e273. PMID 30913197
- 51. Chronic Pelvic Pain: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number 218. Obstet Gynecol. Mar 2020; 135(3): e98-e109. PMID 32080051



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- 52. O'Shea, M., Amundsen, C.L. Neuromodulation in the Older Woman: An Advanced Treatment Option for Urgency Urinary Incontinence. Curr Geri Rep 12, 7–12 (2023).
- 53. Greig J, Mak Q, Furrer MA, Sahai A, Raison N. Sacral neuromodulation in the management of chronic pelvic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurourol Urodyn. 2023;42(4):822-836. PMID 36877182
- 54. Lembo E. Fecal incontinence in adults: Management. In: UpToDate Online Journal [serial online]. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; updated November 2023. Literature review current through February 2024.
- 55. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 7.01.69, Sacral Nerve Neuromodulation/Stimulation. May 2023

Pelvic Floor Stimulation as a Treatment of Urinary and Fecal Incontinence

- 1. Gorina Y, Schappert S, Bercovitz A, et al. Prevalence of incontinence among older Americans. Vital Health Stat 3. Jun 2014(36):1-33. PMID 24964267
- 2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Pelvic floor electrical stimulation in the treatment of urinary incontinence in adults. TEC Assessments. 2000;Volume 15:Tab 2
- 3. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Magnetic stimulation in the treatment of urinary incontinence in adults. TEC Assessments. 2000;Volume 15:Tab 8
- 4. Imamura M, Abrams P, Bain C, et al. Systematic review and economic modelling of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of non-surgical treatments for women with stress urinary incontinence. Health Technol Assess. Aug 2010;14(40):1-188, iii-iv. PMID 20738930
- 5. Shamliyan T, Wyman J, Kane R. Nonsurgical Treatments for Urinary Incontinence in Adult Women: Diagnosis and Comparative Effectiveness (Comparative Effectiveness Review 36). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012 Apr
- 6. Moroni RM, Magnani PS, Haddad JM, et al. Conservative treatment of stress urinary incontinence: a systematic review with meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. Feb 2016;38(2):97-111. PMID 26883864
- 7. Goode PS, Burgio KL, Locher JL, et al. Effect of behavioral training with or without pelvic floor electrical stimulation on stress incontinence in women: a randomized controlled trial. Jama. Jul 16 2003;290(3):345-352. PMID 12865375
- 8. Castro RA, Arruda RM, Zanetti MR, et al. Single-blind, randomized, controlled trial of pelvic floor muscle training, electrical stimulation, vaginal cones, and no active treatment in the management of stress urinary incontinence. Clinics (Sao Paulo). Aug 2008;63(4):465-472. PMID 18719756
- Abdelbary AM, El-Dessoukey AA, Massoud AM, et al. Combined vaginal pelvic floor electrical stimulation (pfs) and local vaginal estrogen for treatment of overactive bladder (OAB) in perimenopausal females. Randomized controlled trial (RCT). Urology. Sep 2015;86(3):482-486. PMID 26135813



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- Berghmans B, Hendriks E, Bernards A, et al. Electrical stimulation with non-implanted electrodes for urinary incontinence in men. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;6:CD001202. PMID 23740763
- Zhu YP, Yao XD, Zhang SL, et al. Pelvic floor electrical stimulation for postprostatectomy urinary incontinence: a meta-analysis. Urology. Mar 2012;79(3):552-555. PMID 22386394
- Goode PS, Burgio KL, Johnson TM, 2nd, et al. Behavioral therapy with or without biofeedback and pelvic floor electrical stimulation for persistent postprostatectomy incontinence: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. Jan 12 2011;305(2):151-159. PMID 21224456
- 13. Yamanishi T, Mizuno T, Watanabe M, et al. Randomized, placebo controlled study of electrical stimulation with pelvic floor muscle training for severe urinary incontinence after radical prostatectomy. J Urol. Nov 2010;184(5):2007-2012. PMID 20850831
- 14. Hosker G, Cody JD, Norton CC. Electrical stimulation for faecal incontinence in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007(3):CD001310. PMID 17636665
- Vonthein R, Heimerl T, Schwandner T, et al. Electrical stimulation and biofeedback for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a systematic review. Int J Colorectal Dis. Nov 2013;28(11):1567-1577. PMID 23900652
- 16. Schwandner T, Konig IR, Heimerl T, et al. Triple target treatment (3T) is more effective than biofeedback alone for anal incontinence: the 3T-AI study. Dis Colon Rectum. Jul 2010;53(7):1007-1016. PMID 20551752
- 17. Schwandner T, Hemmelmann C, Heimerl T, et al. Triple-target treatment versus lowfrequency electrostimulation for anal incontinence: a randomized, controlled trial. Dtsch Arztebl Int. Sep 2011;108(39):653-660. PMID 22013492
- 18. Norton C, Gibbs A, Kamm MA. Randomized, controlled trial of anal electrical stimulation for fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. Feb 2006;49(2):190-196. PMID 16362803
- Cohen-Zubary N, Gingold-Belfer R, Lambort I, et al. Home electrical stimulation for women with fecal incontinence: a preliminary randomized controlled trial. Int J Colorectal Dis. Apr 2015;30(4):521-528. PMID 25619464
- 20. Lim R, Lee SW, Tan PY, et al. Efficacy of electromagnetic therapy for urinary incontinence: A systematic review. Neurourol Urodyn. Nov 2015;34(8):713-722. PMID 25251335
- 21. Yamanishi T, Homma Y, Nishizawa O, et al. Multicenter, randomized, sham-controlled study on the efficacy of magnetic stimulation for women with urgency urinary incontinence. Int J Urol. Apr 2014;21(4):395-400. PMID 24118165
- 22. Gilling PJ, Wilson LC, Westenberg AM, et al. A double-blind randomized controlled trial of electromagnetic stimulation of the pelvic floor vs sham therapy in the treatment of women with stress urinary incontinence. BJU Int. May 2009;103(10):1386-1390. PMID 19154474
- 23. Yokoyama T, Nishiguchi J, Watanabe T, et al. Comparative study of effects of extracorporeal magnetic innervation versus electrical stimulation for urinary incontinence after radical prostatectomy. Urology. Feb 2004;63(2):264-267. PMID 14972468



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- 24. Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Burgio KL, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Non-Neurogenic Overactive Bladder (OAB) in Adults (2019): AUA/SUFU Guideline
- 25. Nambiar AK, Bosch R, Cruz F, et al. EAU guidelines on assessment and nonsurgical management of urinary incontinence. Eur Urol. Apr 2018;73(4):596-609. PMID 29398262
- 26. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse in women: management [NG123]. 2019.
- 27. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Faecal incontinence in adults: management [CG49]. 2007
- 28. Qaseem A, Dallas P, Forciea MA, et al. Nonsurgical management of urinary incontinence in women: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. Sep 16 2014;161(6):429-440. PMID 25222388
- 29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). CMS Manual System: Pub 100-03 Medicare National Coverage Determinations; Transmittal 48. 2006
- 30. Markland AD, Goode PS, Redden DT, et al. Prevalence of urinary incontinence in men: results from the national health and nutrition examination survey. J Urol. Sep 2010; 184(3): 1022-7. PMID 20643440
- Abdelbary AM, El-Dessoukey AA, Massoud AM, et al. Combined Vaginal Pelvic Floor Electrical Stimulation (PFS) and Local Vaginal Estrogen for Treatment of Overactive Bladder (OAB) in Perimenopausal Females. Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT). Urology. Sep 2015; 86(3): 482-6. PMID 26135813
- 32. Leonardo K, Seno DH, Mirza H, et al. Biofeedback-assisted pelvic floor muscle training and pelvic electrical stimulation in women with overactive bladder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Neurourol Urodyn. Jun 10 2022. PMID 35686543
- Stewart F, Berghmans B, Bo K, et al. Electrical stimulation with non-implanted devices for stress urinary incontinence in women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 22 2017; 12: CD012390. PMID 29271482
- 34. Lightner DJ, Gomelsky A, Souter L, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Overactive Bladder (Non-Neurogenic) in Adults: AUA/SUFU Guideline Amendment 2019. J Urol. Sep 2019; 202(3): 558-563. PMID 31039103
- 35. Sandhu JS, Breyer B, Comiter C, et al. Incontinence after Prostate Treatment: AUA/SUFU Guideline. J Urol. Aug 2019; 202(2): 369-378. PMID 31059663
- 36. Paquette IM, Varma MG, Kaiser AM, et al. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons' Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Fecal Incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. Jul 2015; 58(7): 623-36. PMID 26200676
- Wald A, Bharucha AE, Limketkai B, et al. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Management of Benign Anorectal Disorders. Am J Gastroenterol. Oct 01 2021; 116(10): 1987-2008. PMID 34618700
- Benedetto G, Simone B, Gaia L, Mirko J, Ottavia B, Marco T. The added value of devices to pelvic floor muscle training in radical post-prostatectomy stress urinary incontinence: A systematic review with metanalysis. PLoS One. 2023;18(9):e0289636. Published 2023 Sep 28. PMID 37768987 PMCID PMC10538711



POLICY TITLE	SACRAL NERVE NEUROMODULATION/STIMULATION AND PELVIC FLOOR STIMULATION DEVICES
POLICY NUMBER	MP 1.033

- 39. Lukacz E. Urgency urinary incontinence/overactive bladder (OAB) in females: Treatment. In: UpToDate Online Journal [serial online]. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; updated December 2023. Literature review current through February 2024.
- 40. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 1.01.17, Pelvic Floor Stimulation as a Treatment of Urinary and Fecal Incontinence. September 2023

X. POLICY HISTORY

<u>**TOP**</u>

MP 6.024	04/30/2020 Consensus Review . Description/Background, Rationale and Reference updated. Coding reviewed. No change to policy statement.
	04/15/2021 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. References reviewed and updated. Coding reviewed.
	12/14/2022 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. References, policy guidelines and rationale reviewed and updated. FEP statement updated. Coding reviewed.
	05/26/2023 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. References and background updated. Coding reviewed.
	11/29/2023 Administrative Update. New Codes added effective 1/1/24.
	03/25/2024 Consensus Review. No change in policy statement. References updated. Coding reviewed with no coding changes.

<u>TOP</u>

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital Blue Cross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company[®], Capital Advantage Assurance Company[®] and Keystone Health Plan[®] Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital Blue Cross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.