

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

CLINICAL BENEFIT	<input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	9/1/2024

[POLICY RATIONALE DISCLAIMER POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS DEFINITIONS CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND BENEFIT VARIATIONS REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Intracranial stent placement may be considered **medically necessary** as part of the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms for individuals when surgical treatment is not appropriate and standard endovascular techniques do not allow for complete isolation of the aneurysm, e.g., wide-neck aneurysm (≥4mm) or a sack-to-neck ratio less than 2:1.

Intracranial flow diverting stents with U. S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms may be considered **medically necessary** as part of the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms that meet anatomic criteria (see “Policy Guidelines”) and are not amenable to surgical treatment or standard endovascular therapy.

Intracranial stent placement is considered **investigational** in the treatment of intracranial aneurysms except as noted above. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures.

Intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting is considered **investigational** in the treatment of atherosclerotic cerebrovascular diseases. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures. (For Humanitarian Device Exceptions, please see MP 2.383 Orphan Drugs and Humanitarian Use Device).

The use of endovascular mechanical embolectomy using a device with FDA approval for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke may be considered **medically necessary** as part of the treatment of acute ischemic stroke for patients who meet all of the following criteria:

- Have a demonstrated occlusion within the proximal intracranial anterior circulation (intracranial internal carotid artery, or M1 or M2 segments of the middle cerebral artery, or A1 or A2 segments of the anterior cerebral artery); **AND**

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

- Can receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy within 12 hours of symptom onset OR within 24 hours of symptom onset if there is evidence of a mismatch between specific clinical imaging criteria (see Policy Guidelines); **AND**
- Have evidence of substantial and clinically significant neurological deficits (see Policy Guidelines); **AND**
- Have evidence of salvageable brain tissue in the affected vascular territory (see Policy Guidelines); **AND**
- Have no evidence of intracranial hemorrhage or arterial dissection on computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) imaging.

Endovascular interventions are considered **investigational** for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke when the above criteria are not met. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these procedures.

Policy Guidelines

Selection of Individuals for Endovascular Mechanical Embolectomy for Acute Ischemic Stroke

The major randomized controlled trials (RCTs) demonstrating a benefit with endovascular mechanical embolectomy vary in criteria for selecting individuals based on the presence or absence of salvageable brain tissue. Several RCTs use the Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score, which is a 10-point quantitative computed tomography (CT) score to assess the presence of early ischemic changes. MR CLEAN (Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke in the Netherlands) (Berkhemer et al, 2015) did not specify imaging criteria to demonstrate salvageable brain tissue. Table PG1 lists the criteria used by other trials.

Table PG1. Trial Selection Criteria for Salvageable Brain Tissue

Trial	Inclusion or Exclusion	Criteria
REVASCAT (Jovin et al, 2015)	Exclusion	Hypodensity on CT or restricted diffusion demonstrated by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An ASPECTS <7 on CT, CT perfusion CBV, CTA source imaging; OR • An ASPECTS <6 on DWI MRI
ESCAPE (Goyal et al, 2015)	Exclusion	Baseline non-contrast CT with extensive early ischemic changes of ASPECTS of 0-5 in the territory of symptomatic intracranial occlusion; OR other confirmation of a moderate-to-large core defined 1 of 3 ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On a single phase, multiphase, or dynamic CTA: no or minimal collaterals in a region greater than 50% of the MCA territory when compared with pial filling on the contralateral side (multiphase/dynamic CTA preferred); OR

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On CT perfusion (>8 cm coverage): a low CBV and very low CBF, ASPECTS <6 AND in the symptomatic MCA territory; OR On CT perfusion (<8 cm coverage): a region of low CBV and very low CBF greater than one-third of the CT perfusion-imaged symptomatic MCA territory
EXTEND-IA (Campbell et al, 2015)	Inclusion	Based on CT perfusion imaging using CT or MRI with a Tmax more than 6-s delay perfusion volume and either CT regional CBF or DWI infarct core volume as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mismatch ratio >1.2; AND Absolute mismatch volume >10 mL; AND Infarct core lesion volume <70 mL
SWIFT-PRIME (Saver et al, 2015)	Exclusion	Related to imaging-demonstrated core infarct and hypoperfusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MRI-assessed core infarct lesion greater than: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50 cm³ for subjects age 18-79 y; 20 cm³ for subjects age 80-85 y; CT-assessed core infarct lesion greater than: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40 cm³ for subjects age 18-79 y; 15 cm³ for subjects age 80-85 y; For all subjects, severe hypoperfusion lesion (³10-s Tmax lesion >100 cm³); For all subjects, ischemic penumbra of ≥15 cm³ and mismatch ratio >1.8

ASPECTS: Alberta Stroke Program Early Computed Tomography Score; CBF: cerebral blood flow; CBV: cerebral blood volume; CT: computed tomography; CTA: computed tomography angiography; DWI: diffusion-weighted imaging; ESCAPE: Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Proximal Occlusion Ischemic Stroke; EXTEND-IA: Extending the Time for Thrombolysis in Emergency Neurological Deficits – Intra-Arterial; MCA: middle cerebral artery; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging. REVASCAT: Endovascular Revascularization With Solitaire Device Versus Best Medical Therapy in Anterior Circulation Stroke Within 8 Hours; SWIFT-PRIME: Solitaire With the Intention For Thrombectomy as PRIMary Endovascular Treatment; Tmax: time to maximum.

The RCTs demonstrating a benefit to endovascular mechanical embolectomy in acute stroke generally had some inclusion criteria to reflect stroke severity with the exception of the EXTEND-IA (Extending the Time for Thrombolysis in Emergency Neurological Deficits – Intra-Arterial) trial. The REVASCAT (Endovascular Revascularization With Solitaire Device Versus Best Medical Therapy in Anterior Circulation Stroke Within 8 Hours) and ESCAPE (Endovascular Treatment for Small Core and Proximal Occlusion Ischemic Stroke) trials both required a baseline (poststroke) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score of 6 or higher. MR CLEAN specified a clinical diagnosis of acute stroke with a deficit on the NIHSS score of 2 points or more; SWIFT-PRIME (Solitaire With the Intention For Thrombectomy as PRIMary Endovascular Treatment) specified an NIHSS score of 8 or more and less than 30 at the time of randomization.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

The DAWN (Clinical Mismatch in the Triage of Wake Up and Late Presenting Strokes Undergoing Neurointervention With Trevo) and DEFUSE 3 (Endovascular Therapy Following Imaging Evaluation for Ischemic Stroke 3) studies enrolled individuals from 6 up to 24 hours of the time last time known to be well if there was evidence of a mismatch between specific clinical and imaging criteria (infarct size and volume was assessed with the use of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging or perfusion CT) (see Table PG2).

Table PG2. Trial Selection Criteria for Patients 6 to 25 Hours Post Infarct

Trial	Inclusion or Exclusion	Criteria
DAWN Trial (Nogueira et al, 2018)	Inclusion	6 to 24 hours related to mismatch between severity of clinical deficit and infarct volume: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥80 years of age, score ≥10 on the NIHSS, and had an infarct volume <21 mL; OR • ≤80 years age, score of ≥10 on the NIHSS, and had an infarct volume <31 mL; OR • ≤80 years of age, had a score ≥20 on the NIHSS, and had an infarct volume of 31 to <51 mL
DEFUSE 3 Trial (Albers et al, 2018)	Inclusion	6 to 16 hours related to mismatch between severity of clinical deficit and infarct volume: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infarct size of <70 mL; AND • Ratio of ischemic tissue volume to infarct volume of ≥1.8; AND • Ischemic penumbra of ≥15 cm³

DAWN: Clinical Mismatch in the Triage of Wake Up and Late Presenting Strokes Undergoing Neurointervention With Trevo; DEFUSE 3: Endovascular Therapy Following Imaging Evaluation for Ischemic Stroke 3; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

Other Policy Guidelines

Flow-diverting stents are indicated for the treatment of large or giant wide-necked intracranial aneurysms, with a size of 10 mm or more and a neck diameter of 4 mm or more, in the internal carotid artery from the petrous to the superior hypophyseal segments.

This policy only addresses endovascular therapies used on intracranial vessels.

These policy statements are not intended to address the use of rescue endovascular therapies, including intra-arterial vasodilator infusion and intracranial percutaneous transluminal angiography, in delayed cerebral ischemia after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.

Cross-references:

MP 2.383 Orphan Drugs and Humanitarian Use Device

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO- Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>.

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

Intracranial arterial disease includes thromboembolic events, vascular stenoses, and aneurysms. Endovascular techniques have been investigated for the treatment of intracranial arterial disease. Endovascular therapy is used as an alternative or adjunct to intravenous tissue plasminogen activator and supportive care for acute stenosis and as an adjunct to risk-factor modification for chronic stenosis. For cerebral aneurysms, stent-assisted coiling and the use of flow-diverting stents have been evaluated as an alternative to endovascular coiling in patients whose anatomy is not amenable to simple coiling.

Cerebrovascular Diseases

Cerebrovascular diseases include a range of processes affecting the cerebral vascular system, including arterial thromboembolism, arterial stenosis, and arterial aneurysms, all of which can restrict cerebral blood flow due to ischemia or hemorrhage. Endovascular techniques, including endovascular mechanical embolectomy with various types of devices (i.e., stents), and angioplasty with or without stenting have been investigated for treatment of cerebrovascular diseases.

Acute Stroke

Acute stroke is the fifth leading cause of death in the United States; further it is the leading cause of adult disability. The risk of stroke among Black patients is nearly double the risk among White patients, and Black patients have a higher risk of death due to stroke than other racial groups. Eighty-seven percent of strokes are ischemic and 13% hemorrhagic. Differentiation between the 2 types of stroke is necessary to determine the appropriate treatment. Ischemic stroke occurs when an artery to the brain is blocked by a blood clot, which forms in the artery (thrombotic), or when another substance (i.e., plaque, fatty material) travels to an artery in the brain causing a blockage (embolism). Recanalization of the artery, particularly in the first few hours after occlusion, reduces rates of disability and death.

Racial differences in the utilization of endovascular therapy for acute stroke have been reported. Sheriff et al (2022) analyzed the Get With The Guidelines-Stroke database; between 2015 and 2019, Black patients had lower odds of receiving endovascular therapy compared to non-Hispanic Whites (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 0.83; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.76 to 0.90). At 3 months, functional independence as assessed by the modified Rankin Scale was less common

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

among Black (aOR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.95) and Asian (aOR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.98) individuals compared to non-Hispanic Whites. de Havenon et al (2021) found that Black patients were less likely to receive endovascular therapy compared to White patients (odds ratio [OR], 0.75; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.81) according to National Inpatient Sample data from 2016 to 2018. Kim et al (2022) conducted a retrospective study of 40,814 acute ischemic strokes that occurred in Texas during 2019 which found that Black patients received endovascular therapy less frequently than White patients (4.1% vs. 5.3%, respectively; adjusted relative risk [aRR], 0.76; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.88; $p < .001$) despite similar rates of hospital admission. The rate of receipt of endovascular therapy was similar between White and Hispanic patients.

Intracranial Arterial Stenosis

It is estimated that intracranial atherosclerosis causes about 8% of all ischemic strokes. Intracranial stenosis may contribute to stroke in 2 ways: either due to embolism or low-flow ischemia in the absence of collateral circulation. Recurrent annual stroke rates are estimated at 4% to 12% per year with atherosclerosis of the intracranial anterior circulation and 2.5% to 15% per year with lesions of the posterior (vertebrobasilar) circulation.

Intracranial Aneurysms

Compared with acute ischemic stroke, cerebral aneurysms have a much lower incidence in the United States, with prevalence between 0.5% and 6% of the population. However, they are associated with significant morbidity and mortality due to subarachnoid hemorrhage resulting from aneurysm rupture.

REGULATORY STATUS

Several devices for endovascular treatment of intracranial arterial disease were cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process or the humanitarian device exemption (HDE) process. By indication, approved devices are as follows.

Acute Stroke

Table 1 summarizes the first-generation devices with FDA clearance for the endovascular treatment of acute stroke and subsequent approval of stent retrievers.

Table 1. FDA-Cleared Mechanical Embolectomy Devices for Acute Stroke

Device	510(k) No. for Original Device	Approval Date for Original Device	Indications
Penumbra System® (Reperfusion Catheter RED™ 43)	K222808	Dec 2022	Patients with acute ischemic stroke secondary to intracranial large vessel occlusive disease within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

Esperance™ Aspiration Catheter System (Wallaby Medical)	K211697	Nov 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
Embotrap® III Revascularization Device (Neuravi Ltd)	K211338	July 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
ZOOM™ 71 Reperfusion Catheter (Imperative Care, Inc)	K211476	June 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
ZOOM Reperfusion Catheter (Imperative Care, Inc)	K210996	April 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
Tigertriever™ and Tigertriever 17 Revascularization Devices (Rapid Medical, Ltd)	K203592	Mar 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
Merci® Retriever (Concentric Medical; acquired by Stryker Neurovascular in 2011)	K033736	Aug 2004 (modified device approved May 2006)	Patients with acute ischemic stroke and who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA therapy
Penumbra System® (Penumbra)	K072718	Dec 2007	Patients with acute ischemic stroke secondary to intracranial large vessel occlusive disease within 8h of symptom onset
Stent retrievers			
Solitaire™ FR Revascularization Device (Covidien/ev3 Neurovascular)	K113455	Mar 2012	Patients with acute ischemic stroke due to large intracranial vessel occlusion who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
Trevo® NXT ProVue Retriever (Stryker Neurovascular)	K210502	Aug 2021	Patients with acute ischemic stroke within 6 h of symptom onset who fail IV tPA; patients with acute ischemic stroke within 8 h of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA; patients with smaller core infarcts may start therapy as late as 24 h after last seen well
Trevo® Retriever device (Stryker Neurovascular)	K122478	Aug 2012	Patients with acute ischemic stroke due to large intracranial vessel occlusion who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

EmboTrap® II Revascularization Device	K173452	May 2018	Patients with ischemic stroke within 8 hours of symptom onset who are ineligible for or who fail IV tPA
--	---------	----------	---

IV: intravenous; tPA: tissue plasminogen activator.

Intracranial Arterial Stenosis

Two devices were approved by FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process for atherosclerotic disease. This form of FDA approval is available for devices used to treat conditions with an incident rate of 4000 or fewer incidents per year; FDA only requires data showing “probable safety and effectiveness.” Devices with their labeled indications are as follows:

- Neurolink System®**
 “The Neurolink system [Guidant] is indicated for the treatment of patients with recurrent intracranial stroke attributable to atherosclerotic disease refractory to medical therapy in intracranial vessels ranging from 2.5 to 4.5 mm in diameter with ≥50% stenosis and that are accessible to the stent system.”
- Wingspan™ Stent System**
 “The Wingspan Stent System [Boston Scientific] with Gateway PTA [percutaneous transluminal angioplasty] Balloon Catheter is indicated for use in improving cerebral artery lumen diameter in patients with intracranial atherosclerotic disease, refractory to medical therapy, in intracranial vessels with ≥50% stenosis that are accessible to the system.”

Intracranial Aneurysms

In 2011, the Pipeline® Embolization Device (Covidien/eV3 Neurovascular), an intracranial aneurysm flow diverter, was approved by FDA through the premarket approval process (P100018) for the endovascular treatment of adults (≥22 years) with large or giant wide-necked intracranial aneurysms in the internal carotid artery from the petrous to the superior hypophyseal segments. Approval was based on the Pipeline for Uncoilable for Failed Aneurysms Study, a single-arm, open-label feasibility study, reported by Becske et al (2013) that included 108 patients, ages 30 to 75 years, with unruptured large and giant wide-necked aneurysms.

In 2018, Surpass Streamline™ Flow Diverter (Stryker Neurovascular) was approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process (P170024) for use in the endovascular treatment of patients (18 years of age and older) with unruptured large or giant saccular wide-neck (neck width ≥ 4 mm or dome-to-neck ratio < 2) or fusiform intracranial aneurysms in the internal carotid artery from the petrous segment to the terminus arising from a parent vessel with a diameter ≥ 2.5 mm and ≤ 5.3 mm. The approval was based on 1-year results of the Surpass Intracranial Aneurysm Embolization System Pivotal Trial to Treat Large or Giant Wide Neck Aneurysms (SCENT) study. The SCENT study is continuing follow-up to 5 years post-procedure as a post-approval study.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

The following stents have been approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process for treatment of intracranial aneurysms.

- Neuroform™ Microdelivery Stent System**
 In 2002, based on a series of approximately 30 patients with 6-month follow-up, the Neuroform Microdelivery Stent System (Stryker) was approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process (H020002) for use with embolic coils for treatment of wide-neck intracranial aneurysms that cannot be treated by surgical clipping.
- Neuroform™ Atlas Stent System**
 In 2019, the Neuroform Atlas Stent System (Stryker) was approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process (P190031) based on the pivotal ATLAS study including 201 patients with up to 12 months of follow-up. The approved indication is "for use with neurovascular embolization coils in the anterior circulation of the neurovasculature for the endovascular treatment of patients greater or equal to 18 years of age with saccular wide-necked (neck width greater or equal to 4 mm or a dome-to-neck ratio of < 2) intracranial aneurysms arising from a parent vessel with a diameter of greater or equal to 2.0 mm and less than or equal to 4.5 mm." Product Code: QCA.
- Enterprise™ Vascular Reconstruction Device and Delivery System**
 In 2007, based on a series of approximately 30 patients with 6-month follow-up, the Enterprise Vascular Reconstruction Device and Delivery (Cordis Neurovascular) was approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process (H060001) for use with embolic coils for the treatment of wide-neck, intracranial, saccular or fusiform aneurysms.
- The Low-Profile Visualized Intraluminal Support Device**
 In July 2014, the Low-Profile Visualized Intraluminal Support Device (LVIS™ and LVIS™ Jr.; MicroVention) was approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process (H130005) for use with embolic coils for the treatment of unruptured, wide neck (neck, ≥ 4 mm or dome to neck ratio, <2), intracranial, saccular aneurysms arising from a parent vessel with a diameter of 2.5 mm or greater and 4.5 mm or smaller. In 2018, the LVIS™ and LVIS™ Jr. were approved through the premarket approval process process (P170013).
- PulseRider Aneurysm Neck Reconstruction Device**
 In 2017, the PulseRider Aneurysm Neck Reconstruction Device (Pulsar Vascular, Inc.) was approved by the FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process (H160002) for use with neurovascular embolic coils for treatment of unruptured wide-necked intracranial aneurysms with neck width at least 4 mm or dome to neck ratio greater than 2.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have acute ischemic stroke due to occlusion of an anterior circulation vessel who receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy, the evidence includes randomized clinical trials (RCTs) comparing endovascular therapy with standard care and systematic reviews of these RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. From 2013 to 2015, 8 RCTs were published comparing endovascular therapies with noninterventional care for acute stroke in patients with anterior circulation occlusions. Several trials that were ongoing at the time of publication of these 8 RCTs were stopped early and results with the limited enrollment have been published. Trials published from 2014 to 2015 demonstrated a significant benefit regarding reduced disability at 90 days posttreatment. The trials that demonstrated a benefit for endovascular therapy either exclusively used stent retriever devices or allowed the treating physician to select a device, mostly a stent retriever device, and had high rates of mechanical embolectomy device use in patients randomized to endovascular therapy. Studies that demonstrated a benefit for endovascular therapy required demonstration of a large vessel, anterior circulation occlusion for enrollment. Also, they were characterized by fast time-to-treatment. Not all studies published after 2015 have shown a benefit of endovascular therapy in major clinical outcomes, possibly due to small sample sizes and lack of power to detect differences, but systematic reviews have found significant effects. Two trials published in 2018 demonstrated that it was possible to extend the window for mechanical thrombectomy up to about 24 hours for select patients. To achieve results in real-world settings similar to those in clinical trials, treatment times, clinical protocols, and patient selection criteria should be similar to those in RCTs. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have an acute ischemic stroke due to basilar artery occlusion who receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy, the evidence includes 4 RCTs and systematic reviews of these RCTs and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Results among these studies are inconsistent for functional outcomes and 90-day mortality. Systematic reviews of both RCTs and observational studies support the efficacy of endovascular therapy for improving functional outcomes and reducing mortality, but rates of symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage are higher with endovascular intervention than with medical therapy. The generalizability of the RCT results may be limited due to lack of inclusion of any U.S. populations. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis due to atherosclerosis who receive intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting the evidence includes systematic reviews and 3 major RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. All available RCTs have demonstrated no significant benefit with endovascular therapy. In particular, the Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) trial was stopped early due to harms, because the rate of stroke or death at 30 days posttreatment was higher in the endovascular arm, which received percutaneous

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

angioplasty with stenting. Follow-up of SAMMPRIS subjects has demonstrated no long-term benefit from endovascular therapy. Although some nonrandomized studies have suggested a benefit from endovascular therapy, the available evidence from 3 RCTs does not suggest that intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting improves outcomes for individuals with symptomatic intracranial stenosis. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have intracranial aneurysm(s) who receive endovascular coiling with intracranial stent placement or intracranial placement of a flow-diverting stent, the evidence includes RCTs, several nonrandomized comparative studies, and multiple single-arm studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available nonrandomized comparative studies have reported occlusion rates for stent-assisted coiling that are similar to or higher than coiling alone and recurrence rates that may be lower than those for coiling alone. For stent-assisted coiling with self-expanding stents, some evidence has also shown that adverse event rates are relatively high, and a nonrandomized comparative trial has reported that mortality is higher with stent-assisted coiling than with coiling alone. For placement of flow-diverting stents, a pragmatic RCT and registry study have compared flow diversion with standard management (observation, coil embolization, or parent vessel occlusion) in patients for whom flow diversion was considered a promising treatment. The pragmatic study was stopped early after crossing a predefined safety boundary when 16% of patients treated with flow diversion were dead or dependent at 3 months or later. Flow diversion was also not as effective as the investigators had hypothesized. A systematic review comparing the flow-diverting stents with endovascular coiling for intracranial aneurysms has demonstrated higher rates of aneurysm obliteration in those treated with the Pipeline endovascular device than those treated with coiling, with similar rates of good clinical outcomes. The evidence does not provide high certainty whether stent-assisted coiling or placement of a flow-diverting stent improves outcomes for patients with intracranial aneurysms because the risk-benefit ratio cannot be adequately defined. One randomized study demonstrated adequate aneurysm occlusion with the Suprass flow diverter device. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

ANASTOMOSIS refers to a natural communication between two vessels; may be direct or by means of connecting channels.

ANGIOPLASTY refers to any endovascular procedure that reopens narrowed blood vessels and restores forward blood flow.

ATHERECTOMY is a technique using high-speed drills to remove atheromatous (fatty) plaques from arteries.

PERCUTANEOUS refers to that which is passed or effected through the skin.

STENOSIS is a constriction or narrowing of a passage or orifice.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

STENT is any material or device used to hold tissue in place, to maintain open blood vessels, or to provide support for a graft or anastomoses while healing is taking place.

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member's health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits, and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital Blue Cross. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital Blue Cross' medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital Blue Cross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting is considered investigational in the treatment of atherosclerotic cerebrovascular diseases; therefore, not covered:

Procedure Codes							
61630							

Covered when medically necessary endovascular mechanical embolectomy:

Procedure Codes							
61645							

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
I63.031	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of right carotid artery
I63.032	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of left carotid artery
I63.112	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of left vertebral artery
I63.113	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of bilateral vertebral arteries
I63.119	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of unspecified vertebral artery
I63.12	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of basilar artery
I63.131	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of right carotid artery
I63.132	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of left carotid artery
I63.133	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of bilateral carotid arteries
I63.139	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of unspecified carotid artery
I63.19	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of other precerebral artery
I63.20	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of unspecified precerebral arteries
I63.211	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of right vertebral artery
I63.212	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of left vertebral artery
I63.213	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of bilateral vertebral arteries
I63.219	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of unspecified vertebral artery
I63.22	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of basilar artery
I63.231	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of right carotid arteries
I63.232	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of left carotid arteries
I63.233	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of bilateral carotid arteries
I63.239	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of unspecified carotid artery
I63.29	Cerebral infarction due to unspecified occlusion or stenosis of other precerebral arteries
I63.30	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of unspecified cerebral artery
I63.311	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of right middle cerebral artery
I63.312	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of left middle cerebral artery
I63.313	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of bilateral middle cerebral arteries
I63.319	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of unspecified middle cerebral artery
I63.321	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of right anterior cerebral artery
I63.322	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of left anterior cerebral artery
I63.323	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of bilateral anterior cerebral arteries
I63.329	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of unspecified anterior cerebral artery

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
I63.331	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of right posterior cerebral artery
I63.332	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of left posterior cerebral artery
I63.333	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of bilateral posterior cerebral arteries
I63.339	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of unspecified posterior cerebral artery
I63.341	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of right cerebellar artery
I63.342	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of left cerebellar artery
I63.343	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of bilateral cerebellar arteries
I63.349	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of unspecified cerebellar artery
I63.39	Cerebral infarction due to thrombosis of other cerebral artery
I63.411	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of right middle cerebral artery
I63.412	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of left middle cerebral artery
I63.421	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of right anterior cerebral artery
I63.422	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of left anterior cerebral artery
I63.49	Cerebral infarction due to embolism of other cerebral artery
I67.89	Other cerebrovascular disease

Covered when medically necessary intracranial stenting:

Procedure Codes							
61624	61635						

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes	Description
I67.0	Dissection of cerebral arteries, nonrupture
I67.1	Cerebral aneurysm, nonruptured
I67.2	Cerebral atherosclerosis
I67.3	Progressive vascular leukoencephalopathy
I67.4	Hypertensive encephalopathy
I67.5	Moyamoya disease
I67.6	Nonpyogenic thrombosis of intracranial venous system
I67.7	Cerebral arteritis, not elsewhere classified
I67.81	Acute cerebrovascular insufficiency
I67.82	Cerebral ischemia
I67.83	Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome
I67.841	Reversible cerebrovascular vasoconstriction syndrome
I67.848	Other cerebrovascular vasospasm and vasoconstriction
I67.850	Cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

I67.858	Other hereditary cerebrovascular disease
I67.89	Other cerebrovascular disease
I67.9	Cerebrovascular disease, unspecified
Q28.3	Other malformations of cerebral vessels

IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Stroke facts. May 4, 2023
2. Rha JH, Saver JL. The impact of recanalization on ischemic stroke outcome: a meta-analysis. Stroke. Mar 2007; 38(3): 967-73. PMID 17272772
3. Sheriff F, Xu H, Maud A, et al. Temporal Trends in Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Endovascular Therapy in Acute Ischemic Stroke. J Am Heart Assoc. Mar 15 2022; 11(6): e023212. PMID 35229659
4. de Havenon A, Sheth K, Johnston KC, et al. Acute Ischemic Stroke Interventions in the United States and Racial, Socioeconomic, and Geographic Disparities. Neurology. Dec 07 2021; 97(23): e2292-e2303. PMID 34649872
5. Kim Y, Sharrief A, Kwak MJ, et al. Underutilization of Endovascular Therapy in Black Patients With Ischemic Stroke: An Analysis of State and Nationwide Cohorts. Stroke. Mar 2022; 53(3): 855-863. PMID 35067099
6. Meyers PM, Schumacher HC, Higashida RT, et al. Indications for the performance of intracranial endovascular neurointerventional procedures: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention, Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, Interdisciplinary Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Interdisciplinary Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Circulation. Apr 28 2009; 119(16): 2235-49. PMID 19349327
7. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness: Pipeline™ Embolization Device. 2011
8. Becske T, Kallmes DF, Saatci I, et al. Pipeline for uncoilable or failed aneurysms: results from a multicenter clinical trial. Radiology. Jun 2013; 267(3): 858-68. PMID 23418004
9. Kahles T, Garcia-Esperon C, Zeller S, et al. Mechanical Thrombectomy Using the New ERIC Retrieval Device Is Feasible, Efficient, and Safe in Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Swiss Stroke Center Experience. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. Jan 2016; 37(1): 114-9. PMID 26294644
10. Vizient. Vascular technologies. Coronary, peripheral, and neurovascular devices. Technology watch. 2019
11. Abruzzo T, Moran C, Blackham KA, et al. Invasive interventional management of post-hemorrhagic cerebral vasospasm in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Neurointerv Surg. May 2012; 4(3): 169-77. PMID 22374130
12. Diring MN, Bleck TP, Claude Hemphill J, et al. Critical care management of patients following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: recommendations from the Neurocritical Care Society's Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference. Neurocrit Care. Sep 2011; 15(2): 211-40. PMID 21773873
13. Schwamm LH, Ali SF, Reeves MJ, et al. Temporal trends in patient characteristics and treatment with intravenous thrombolysis among acute ischemic stroke patients at Get With The Guidelines-Stroke hospitals. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. Sep 01 2013; 6(5): 543-9. PMID 24046398

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

14. Bhatia R, Hill MD, Shobha N, et al. Low rates of acute recanalization with intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in ischemic stroke: real-world experience and a call for action. *Stroke*. Oct 2010; 41(10): 2254-8. PMID 20829513
15. Badhiwala JH, Nassiri F, Alhazzani W, et al. Endovascular Thrombectomy for Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA*. Nov 03 2015; 314(17): 1832-43. PMID 26529161
16. Ciccone A, Valvassori L, Nichelatti M, et al. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 07 2013; 368(10): 904-13. PMID 23387822
17. Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J, et al. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 07 2013; 368(10): 914-23. PMID 23394476
18. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM, et al. Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 07 2013; 368(10): 893-903. PMID 23390923
19. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Jan 01 2015; 372(1): 11-20. PMID 25517348
20. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 12 2015; 372(11): 1019-30. PMID 25671798
21. Campbell BC, Mitchell PJ, Kleinig TJ, et al. Endovascular therapy for ischemic stroke with perfusion-imaging selection. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 12 2015; 372(11): 1009-18. PMID 25671797
22. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Jun 11 2015; 372(24): 2285-95. PMID 25882376
23. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, et al. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. Jun 11 2015; 372(24): 2296-306. PMID 25882510
24. Chen CJ, Ding D, Starke RM, et al. Endovascular vs medical management of acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology*. Dec 01 2015; 85(22): 1980-90. PMID 26537058
25. Roaldsen MB, Jusufovic M, Berge E, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy and intra-arterial interventions for acute ischaemic stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jun 14 2021; 6(6): CD007574. PMID 34125952
26. Bush CK, Kurimella D, Cross LJ, et al. Endovascular Treatment with Stent-Retriever Devices for Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *PLoS One*. 2016; 11(1): e0147287. PMID 26807742
27. Hong KS, Ko SB, Lee JS, et al. Endovascular Recanalization Therapy in Acute Ischemic Stroke: Updated Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Stroke*. Sep 2015; 17(3): 268-81. PMID 26437993
28. Kennedy SA, Baerlocher MO, Baerlocher F, et al. Meta-Analysis of Local Endovascular Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Mar 2016; 27(3): 307-21.e2. PMID 26803573
29. Grech R, Schembri M, Thornton J. Stent-based thrombectomy versus intravenous tissue plasminogen activator in acute ischaemic stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Interv Neuroradiol*. Dec 2015; 21(6): 684-90. PMID 26490828
30. Marmagkiolis K, Hakeem A, Cilingiroglu M, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Stent Retrievers for the Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke: Comprehensive Review and Meta-Analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. Nov 2015; 8(13): 1758-65. PMID 26476611
31. Touma L, Filion KB, Sterling LH, et al. Stent Retrievers for the Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Neurol*. Mar 2016; 73(3): 275-81. PMID 26810499

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

32. Bai X, Zhang X, Gong H, et al. Different types of percutaneous endovascular interventions for acute ischemic stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* May 30 2023; 5(5): CD014676. PMID 37249304
33. Zaidat OO, Ikeme S, Sheth SA, et al. MASTRO I: Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review of thrombectomy stent retriever outcomes: comparing functional, safety and recanalization outcomes between EmboTrap, Solitaire and Trevo in acute ischemic stroke. *J Comp Eff Res.* May 2023; 12(5): e230001. PMID 37039285
34. Martins SO, Mont'Alverne F, Rebello LC, et al. Thrombectomy for Stroke in the Public Health Care System of Brazil. *N Engl J Med.* Jun 11 2020; 382(24): 2316-2326. PMID 32521133
35. Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, et al. Thrombectomy for Stroke at 6 to 16 Hours with Selection by Perfusion Imaging. *N Engl J Med.* Feb 22 2018; 378(8): 708-718. PMID 29364767
36. Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC, et al. Thrombectomy 6 to 24 Hours after Stroke with a Mismatch between Deficit and Infarct. *N Engl J Med.* Jan 04 2018; 378(1): 11-21. PMID 29129157
37. Khoury NN, Darsaut TE, Ghostine J, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy and medical therapy versus medical therapy alone in acute stroke: A randomized care trial. *J Neuroradiol.* Jun 2017; 44(3): 198-202. PMID 28238522
38. Muir KW, Ford GA, Messow CM, et al. Endovascular therapy for acute ischaemic stroke: the Pragmatic Ischaemic Stroke Thrombectomy Evaluation (PISTE) randomised, controlled trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* Jan 2017; 88(1): 38-44. PMID 27756804
39. Mocco J, Zaidat OO, von Kummer R, et al. Aspiration Thrombectomy After Intravenous Alteplase Versus Intravenous Alteplase Alone. *Stroke.* Sep 2016; 47(9): 2331-8. PMID 27486173
40. Bracard S, Ducrocq X, Mas JL, et al. Mechanical thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus alteplase alone after stroke (THRACE): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* Oct 2016; 15(11): 1138-47. PMID 27567239
41. Tomsick TA, Yeatts SD, Liebeskind DS, et al. Endovascular revascularization results in IMS III: intracranial ICA and M1 occlusions. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Nov 2015; 7(11): 795-802. PMID 25342652
42. Demchuk AM, Goyal M, Yeatts SD, et al. Recanalization and clinical outcome of occlusion sites at baseline CT angiography in the Interventional Management of Stroke III trial. *Radiology.* Oct 2014; 273(1): 202-10. PMID 24895878
43. Tekle WG, Hassan AE, Jadhav AP, et al. Impact of Periprocedural and Technical Factors and Patient Characteristics on Revascularization and Outcome in the DAWN Trial. *Stroke.* Jan 2020; 51(1): 247-253. PMID 31744425
44. Jovin TG, Nogueira RG, Lansberg MG, et al. Thrombectomy for anterior circulation stroke beyond 6 h from time last known well (AURORA): a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Lancet.* Jan 15 2022; 399(10321): 249-258. PMID 34774198
45. Saver JL, Jahan R, Levy EI, et al. Solitaire flow restoration device versus the Merci Retriever in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (SWIFT): a randomised, parallel-group, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* Oct 06 2012; 380(9849): 1241-9. PMID 22932715
46. Akins PT, Amar AP, Pakbaz RS, et al. Complications of endovascular treatment for acute stroke in the SWIFT trial with solitaire and Merci devices. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Mar 2014; 35(3): 524-8. PMID 24029392

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

47. Nogueira RG, Lutsep HL, Gupta R, et al. Trevo versus Merci retrievers for thrombectomy revascularisation of large vessel occlusions in acute ischaemic stroke (TREVO 2): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. Oct 06 2012; 380(9849): 1231-40. PMID 22932714
48. Saposnik G, Lebovic G, Demchuk A, et al. Added Benefit of Stent Retriever Technology for Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Pooled Analysis of the NINDS tPA, SWIFT, and STAR Trials. *Neurosurgery*. Sep 2015; 77(3): 454-61. PMID 26280825
49. Pereira VM, Gralla J, Davalos A, et al. Prospective, multicenter, single-arm study of mechanical thrombectomy using Solitaire Flow Restoration in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. Oct 2013; 44(10): 2802-7. PMID 23908066
50. Nogueira RG, Frei D, Kirmani JF, et al. Safety and Efficacy of a 3-Dimensional Stent Retriever With Aspiration-Based Thrombectomy vs Aspiration-Based Thrombectomy Alone in Acute Ischemic Stroke Intervention: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurol*. Mar 01 2018; 75(3): 304-311. PMID 29296999
51. Cao J, Lin H, Lin M, et al. RECO Flow Restoration Device Versus Solitaire FR With the Intention for Thrombectomy Study (REDIRECT): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg*. Jun 05 2020; 134(5): 1569-1577. PMID 32502991
52. Nogueira RG, Lobsien D, Klisch J, et al. Thrombectomy With the pRESET vs Solitaire Stent Retrievers as First-Line Large Vessel Occlusion Stroke Treatment: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurol*. Feb 01 2024; 81(2): 170-178. PMID 38165690
53. Mattle HP, Arnold M, Lindsberg PJ, et al. Basilar artery occlusion. *Lancet Neurol*. Nov 2011; 10(11): 1002-14. PMID 22014435
54. Schonewille WJ, Wijman CA, Michel P, et al. Treatment and outcomes of acute basilar artery occlusion in the Basilar Artery International Cooperation Study (BASICS): a prospective registry study. *Lancet Neurol*. Aug 2009; 8(8): 724-30. PMID 19577962
55. Adusumilli G, Kobeissi H, Ghozy S, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after acute ischemic stroke of the basilar artery: a meta-analysis of four randomized controlled trials. *J Neurointerv Surg*. Dec 21 2023; 15(e3): e446-e451. PMID 36597942
56. Yu Y, Lou Y, Cui R, et al. Endovascular treatment versus standard medical treatment for basilar artery occlusion: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Neurosurg*. Sep 01 2023; 139(3): 732-740. PMID 36738467
57. Jovin TG, Li C, Wu L, et al. Trial of Thrombectomy 6 to 24 Hours after Stroke Due to Basilar-Artery Occlusion. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 13 2022; 387(15): 1373-1384. PMID 36239645
58. Tao C, Nogueira RG, Zhu Y, et al. Trial of Endovascular Treatment of Acute Basilar-Artery Occlusion. *N Engl J Med*. Oct 13 2022; 387(15): 1361-1372. PMID 36239644
59. Langezaal LCM, van der Hoeven EJ RJ, Mont'Alverne FJA, et al. Endovascular Therapy for Stroke Due to Basilar-Artery Occlusion. *N Engl J Med*. May 20 2021; 384(20): 1910-1920. PMID 34010530
60. Liu X, Dai Q, Ye R, et al. Endovascular treatment versus standard medical treatment for vertebrobasilar artery occlusion (BEST): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. Feb 2020; 19(2): 115-122. PMID 31831388
61. Bose A, Hartmann M, Henkes H, et al. A novel, self-expanding, nitinol stent in medically refractory intracranial atherosclerotic stenoses: the Wingspan study. *Stroke*. May 2007; 38(5): 1531-7. PMID 17395864

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

62. Chimowitz MI, Lynn MJ, Howlett-Smith H, et al. Comparison of warfarin and aspirin for symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 31 2005; 352(13): 1305-16. PMID 15800226
63. EC/IC Bypass Study Group. Failure of extracranial-intracranial arterial bypass to reduce the risk of ischemic stroke. Results of an international randomized trial. *N Engl J Med.* Nov 07 1985; 313(19): 1191-200. PMID 2865674
64. Luo J, Wang T, Yang K, et al. Endovascular therapy versus medical treatment for symptomatic intracranial artery stenosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Feb 03 2023; 2(2): CD013267. PMID 36738471
65. Yeo JYP, Yau CE, Ong NY, et al. Comparing the Impact of Stenting vs. Medical Therapy for Intracranial Arterial Stenosis : A Systematic Review and One-stage and Two-stage Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Clin Neuroradiol.* Jan 03 2024. PMID 38172262
66. Shi T, Chen S, Long Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of stenting for symptomatic intracranial artery stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Pharmacol.* 2023; 14: 1122842. PMID 37361212
67. Gao P, Wang T, Wang D, et al. Effect of Stenting Plus Medical Therapy vs Medical Therapy Alone on Risk of Stroke and Death in Patients With Symptomatic Intracranial Stenosis: The CASSISS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* Aug 09 2022; 328(6): 534-542. PMID 35943472
68. Zaidat OO, Fitzsimmons BF, Woodward BK, et al. Effect of a balloon-expandable intracranial stent vs medical therapy on risk of stroke in patients with symptomatic intracranial stenosis: the VISSIT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* Mar 2015; 313(12): 1240-8. PMID 25803346
69. Chimowitz MI, Lynn MJ, Derdeyn CP, et al. Stenting versus aggressive medical therapy for intracranial arterial stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* Sep 15 2011; 365(11): 993-1003. PMID 21899409
70. Derdeyn CP, Chimowitz MI, Lynn MJ, et al. Aggressive medical treatment with or without stenting in high-risk patients with intracranial artery stenosis (SAMMPRIS): the final results of a randomised trial. *Lancet.* Jan 25 2014; 383(9914): 333-41. PMID 24168957
71. Lutsep HL, Barnwell SL, Larsen DT, et al. Outcome in patients previously on antithrombotic therapy in the SAMMPRIS trial: subgroup analysis. *Stroke.* Mar 2015; 46(3): 775-9. PMID 25593135
72. Lutsep HL, Lynn MJ, Cotsonis GA, et al. Does the Stenting Versus Aggressive Medical Therapy Trial Support Stenting for Subgroups With Intracranial Stenosis?. *Stroke.* Nov 2015; 46(11): 3282-4. PMID 26382173
73. Coward LJ, McCabe DJ, Ederle J, et al. Long-term outcome after angioplasty and stenting for symptomatic vertebral artery stenosis compared with medical treatment in the Carotid And Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study (CAVATAS): a randomized trial. *Stroke.* May 2007; 38(5): 1526-30. PMID 17395869
74. Qureshi AI, Chaudhry SA, Siddiq F, et al. A randomized trial comparing primary angioplasty versus stent placement for symptomatic intracranial stenosis. *J Vasc Interv Neurol.* Dec 2013; 6(2): 34-41. PMID 24358415
75. Alexander MJ, Zauner A, Chaloupka JC, et al. WEAVE Trial: Final Results in 152 On-Label Patients. *Stroke.* Apr 2019; 50(4): 889-894. PMID 31125298
76. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Executive Summary General Issues: Meeting to Discuss the Evaluation of Safety and Effectiveness of Endovascular Medical Devices Intended to Treat Intracranial Aneurysms

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

77. Darsaut TE, Findlay JM, Bojanowski MW, et al. A Pragmatic Randomized Trial Comparing Surgical Clipping and Endovascular Treatment of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Jun 2023; 44(6): 634-640. PMID 37169541
78. Hong Y, Wang YJ, Deng Z, et al. Stent-assisted coiling versus coiling in treatment of intracranial aneurysm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(1): e82311. PMID 24454690
79. Ryu CW, Park S, Shin HS, et al. Complications in Stent-Assisted Endovascular Therapy of Ruptured Intracranial Aneurysms and Relevance to Antiplatelet Administration: A Systematic Review. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Sep 2015; 36(9): 1682-8. PMID 26138136
80. Boisseau W, Darsaut TE, Fahed R, et al. Stent-Assisted Coiling in the Treatment of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Apr 2023; 44(4): 381-389. PMID 36927759
81. Piotin M, Blanc R, Spelle L, et al. Stent-assisted coiling of intracranial aneurysms: clinical and angiographic results in 216 consecutive aneurysms. *Stroke.* Jan 2010; 41(1): 110-5. PMID 19959540
82. Hetts SW, Turk A, English JD, et al. Stent-assisted coiling versus coiling alone in unruptured intracranial aneurysms in the matrix and platinum science trial: safety, efficacy, and mid-term outcomes. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Apr 2014; 35(4): 698-705. PMID 24184523
83. Consoli A, Vignoli C, Renieri L, et al. Assisted coiling of saccular wide-necked unruptured intracranial aneurysms: stent versus balloon. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Jan 2016; 8(1): 52-7. PMID 25428449
84. Liu YQ, Wang QJ, Zheng T, et al. Single-centre comparison of procedural complications, clinical outcome, and angiographic follow-up between coiling and stent-assisted coiling for posterior communicating artery aneurysms. *J Clin Neurosci.* Dec 2014; 21(12): 2140-4. PMID 25037315
85. King B, Vaziri S, Singla A, et al. Clinical and angiographic outcomes after stent-assisted coiling of cerebral aneurysms with Enterprise and Neuroform stents: a comparative analysis of the literature. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Dec 2015; 7(12): 905-9. PMID 25352581
86. Geyik S, Yavuz K, Yurttutan N, et al. Stent-assisted coiling in endovascular treatment of 500 consecutive cerebral aneurysms with long-term follow-up. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2013; 34(11): 2157-62. PMID 23886748
87. Lee KM, Jo KI, Jeon P, et al. Predictor and Prognosis of Procedural Rupture during Coil Embolization for Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysm. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* Jan 2016; 59(1): 6-10. PMID 26885280
88. Jankowitz BT, Hanel R, Jadhav AP, et al. Neuroform Atlas Stent System for the treatment of intracranial aneurysm: primary results of the Atlas Humanitarian Device Exemption cohort. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Aug 2019; 11(8): 801-806. PMID 30670625
89. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA (SSED): Neuroform Atlas Stent System (P180031). 2019
90. Fiorella D, Boulos A, Turk AS, et al. The safety and effectiveness of the LVIS stent system for the treatment of wide-necked cerebral aneurysms: final results of the pivotal US LVIS trial. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Apr 2019; 11(4): 357-361. PMID 30297543
91. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). SUMMARY OF SAFETY AND EFFECTIVENESS DATA (SSED): Low-Profile Visualized Intraluminal Support (LVIS) and LVIS Jr (P170013). 2018

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

92. Feng Z, Fang Y, Xu Y, et al. The safety and efficacy of low profile visualized intraluminal support (LVIS) stents in assisting coil embolization of intracranial saccular aneurysms: a single center experience. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Nov 2016; 8(11): 1192-1196. PMID 26747876
93. Aydin K, Arat A, Sencer S, et al. Stent-Assisted Coiling of Wide-Neck Intracranial Aneurysms Using Low-Profile LEO Baby Stents: Initial and Midterm Results. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Oct 2015; 36(10): 1934-41. PMID 26021624
94. Chalouhi N, Jabbour P, Starke RM, et al. Endovascular treatment of proximal and distal posterior inferior cerebellar artery aneurysms. *J Neurosurg.* May 2013; 118(5): 991-9. PMID 23350778
95. Chen Z, Yang Y, Miao H, et al. Endovascular treatment for large and giant fusiform aneurysms of the vertebrobasilar arteries. *Clin Imaging.* 2013; 37(2): 227-31. PMID 23465972
96. Gentric JC, Biondi A, Piotin M, et al. Safety and efficacy of neuroform for treatment of intracranial aneurysms: a prospective, consecutive, French multicentric study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2013; 34(6): 1203-8. PMID 23348764
97. Johnson AK, Heiferman DM, Lopes DK. Stent-assisted embolization of 100 middle cerebral artery aneurysms. *J Neurosurg.* May 2013; 118(5): 950-5. PMID 23394339
98. Kulcsár Z, Göricke SL, Gizewski ER, et al. Neuroform stent-assisted treatment of intracranial aneurysms: long-term follow-up study of aneurysm recurrence and in-stent stenosis rates. *Neuroradiology.* Mar 2013; 55(4): 459-65. PMID 23358878
99. Food and Drug Administration. PMA P170024: Summary of Safety and Effectiveness (SSED). Intracranial Aneurysm Flow Diverter. 2018
100. Zhou G, Zhu YQ, Su M, et al. Flow-Diverting Devices versus Coil Embolization for Intracranial Aneurysms: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2016; 88: 640-645. PMID 26585732
101. Xin WQ, Xin QQ, Yuan Y, et al. Comparison of Flow Diversion and Coiling for the Treatment of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms. *World Neurosurg.* Aug 2019; 128: 464-472. PMID 31132489
102. Raymond J, Gentric JC, Darsaut TE, et al. Flow diversion in the treatment of aneurysms: a randomized care trial and registry. *J Neurosurg.* Sep 2017; 127(3): 454-462. PMID 27813466
103. Kiselev R, Orlov K, Dubovoy A, et al. Flow diversion versus parent artery occlusion with bypass in the treatment of complex intracranial aneurysms: Immediate and short-term outcomes of the randomized trial. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Sep 2018; 172: 183-189. PMID 30053620
104. Kan P, Mohanty A, Meyers PM, et al. Treatment of large and giant posterior communicating artery aneurysms with the Surpass streamline flow diverter: results from the SCENT trial. *J Neurointerv Surg.* May 12 2022. PMID 35551072
105. Hanel RA, Cortez GM, Coon AL, et al. Surpass Intracranial Aneurysm Embolization System Pivotal Trial to Treat Large or Giant Wide-Neck Aneurysms - SCENT: 3-year outcomes. *J Neurointerv Surg.* Nov 14 2022. PMID 36375835
106. English JD, Yavagal DR, Gupta R, et al. Mechanical Thrombectomy-Ready Comprehensive Stroke Center Requirements and Endovascular Stroke Systems of Care: Recommendations from the Endovascular Stroke Standards Committee of the Society of Vascular and Interventional Neurology (SVIN). *Interv Neurol.* Mar 2016; 4(3-4): 138-50. PMID 27051410
107. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	ENDOVASCULAR PROCEDURES FOR INTRACRANIAL ARTERIAL DISEASE (ATHEROSCLEROSIS AND ANEURYSMS)
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.032

American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. Mar 2018; 49(3): e46-e110. PMID 29367334

108. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, et al. Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: 2019 Update to the 2018 Guidelines for the Early Management of Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. Dec 2019; 50(12): e344-e418. PMID 31662037
109. Thompson BG, Brown RD, Amin-Hanjani S, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. Aug 2015; 46(8): 2368-400. PMID 26089327
110. Ganesh A, Fraser JF, Gordon Perue GL, et al. Endovascular Treatment and Thrombolysis for Acute Ischemic Stroke in Patients With Premorbid Disability or Dementia: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. May 2022; 53(5): e204-e217. PMID 35343235
111. Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Decision memo for Intracranial Stenting and Angioplasty (CAG-0085R5). 2008
112. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 2.01.54 Endovascular Procedures for Intracranial Arterial Disease (Atherosclerosis and Aneurysms). May 2024

X. POLICY HISTORY

[Top](#)

MP-2.032	02/24/2020 Consensus Review. Policy statement unchanged. References updated. Coding updated.
	01/12/2021: Consensus Review. Policy statement unchanged. Background and references updated.
	05/31/2022 Consensus Review. No change to policy statements. FEP language revised. Background, Rationale and References updated.
	05/31/2023 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. Removed referenced policy 2.003 as it is retired. Added referenced policy 2.383. Policy Guidelines, Background, Rationale and References updated.
	05/17/2024 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. Background and Rationale updated. References added.

[TOP](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital Blue Cross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the Blue Cross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital Blue Cross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.