

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

CLINICAL BENEFIT	<input type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE SAFETY RISK OR CONCERN. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MINIMIZE HARMFUL OR INEFFECTIVE INTERVENTIONS. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF CARE. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE DURATION OF SERVICE FOR INTERVENTIONS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSURE THAT RECOMMENDED MEDICAL PREREQUISITES HAVE BEEN MET. <input type="checkbox"/> ASSURE APPROPRIATE SITE OF TREATMENT OR SERVICE.
Effective Date:	5/1/2025

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)
[DISCLAIMER](#)
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)
[DEFINITIONS](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Genetic testing (pharmacogenomic Multi-Gene Panels) for the management of mental health disorders may be considered **medically necessary** when ALL of the following criteria are met:

- The individual has a diagnosis of major depressive disorder or generalized anxiety disorder; and
- The individual has not been adequately treated with, or has been intolerant to, at least one prior medication; and
- The Multi-Gene Panel uses combinatorial genetics, and the reports include only FDA reviewed guidance as well as drug/gene and drug/drug interaction.

Covered test Multi-gene Panels under this policy are: LifeKit® PreScript®

Pharmacogenomic screening in the general population is considered **not medically necessary**.

Genetic testing for diagnosis of mental health disorders is considered **investigational** in all situations, including but not limited to the following:

- To confirm a diagnosis of a mental health disorder in an individual with symptoms; **or**
- To predict future risk of a mental health disorder in an asymptomatic individual.

There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

Genetic testing panels for mental health disorders, including but not limited to the Genecept Assay, STA²R test, the GeneSight Psychotropic panel, the Proove Narcotic Risk assay, the MindX blood tests for mood disorders, and the Mental Health DNA Insight Panel, are considered **investigational** for all indications. There is insufficient evidence to support a general conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with this procedure.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

Policy Guidelines

Pharmacogenomics Nomenclature Update

The International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH), a world-wide consortium of regulatory agencies, has defined “pharmacogenomics” as the study of variations of DNA and RNA characteristics as related to drug response, and “pharmacogenetics” as the study of variations in DNA sequence as related to drug response.

Pharmacogenetics adopted a “star” nomenclature (e.g. *CYP2C19*2*) to describe variants in genes (sometimes termed “pharmacogenes”) underlying variability in drug response. Some star alleles may include more than one variant; for example, *TPMT*3A* designates an allele defined by the presence of two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and distinguishing this allele from those carrying only one of the SNPs can be challenging. While the star nomenclature persists, attempts are being made to reconcile the notation with alternate variant nomenclature such as the conventional “rs” designation.

The field is also adopting a standard set of definitions of pharmacogenetic phenotypes; for pharmacokinetic genes, these include “normal metabolizers” (NMs), “poor metabolizers” (PMs, carrying two loss-of-function alleles), “intermediate metabolizers” (IMs, carrying one loss-of-function allele), and “ultrapid metabolizers” (UMs, carrying gain-of-function alleles or gene duplications), and for pharmacodynamic genes, designations such as positive or negative for high risk alleles. These are convenient shorthand designations and there is often some overlap in drug response.

Cross-References:

MP 2.234 Cytochrome p450 Genotype Guided Treatment Strategy

MP 2.253 Genetic Testing for Inherited Thrombophilia

MP 2.323 General Approach to Evaluating the Utility of Genetic Panels

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital Blue Cross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

FEP PPO - Refer to FEP Medical Policy Manual. The FEP Medical Policy manual can be found at: <https://www.fepblue.org/benefit-plans/medical-policies-and-utilization-management-guidelines/medical-policies>

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[TOP](#)

Mental Health Disorders

Psychiatric disorders cover a wide range of clinical phenotypes and are generally classified by symptomatology in systems such as the classification outlined in the American Psychiatric Association’s *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5). In

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

In addition to counseling and other forms of behavioral treatment, treatment commonly involves one or more psychotropic medications that are aimed at alleviating symptoms of the disorder. Although there are a wide variety of effective medications, treatment of psychiatric disease is characterized by relatively high rates of inadequate response. This often necessitates numerous trials of individual agents and combinations of medications in order to achieve optimal response.

Knowledge of the physiologic and genetic underpinnings of mental health disorders is advancing rapidly and may substantially alter the way these disorders are classified and treated. Genetic testing could be used in several ways, including stratifying patients' risks of developing a particular disorder, aiding diagnosis, targeting medication therapy, and optimally dosing medication.

Drug Efficacy and Toxicity

Drug efficacy and toxicity vary substantially across individuals. Because drugs and doses are typically adjusted, if needed, by trial-and-error, clinical consequences may include a prolonged time to optimal therapy. In some cases, serious adverse events may result.

Multiple factors may influence the variability of drug effects, including age, liver function, concomitant diseases, nutrition, smoking, and drug-drug interactions. Inherited (germline) DNA sequence variation in genes coding for drug metabolizing enzymes, drug receptors, drug transporters, and molecules involved in signal transduction pathways also may have major effects on the activity of those molecules and thus on the efficacy or toxicity of a drug.

Pharmacogenomics studies how an individual's genetic inheritance affects the body's response to drugs. It may be possible to predict therapeutic failures or severe adverse drug reactions in individual patients by testing for important DNA variants (genotyping) in genes related to the metabolic pathway (pharmacokinetics) or signal transduction pathway (pharmacodynamics) of the drug. Potentially, test results could be used to optimize drug choice and/or dose for more effective therapy, avoid serious adverse events, and decrease medical costs.

REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The tests discussed in this section are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Examples of commercially available panels include the following:

- Genecept™ Assay (Genomind);

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

- STA²R test (SureGene Test for Antipsychotic and Antidepressant Response; Clinical Reference Laboratory). Specific variants included in the panel were not easily identified from the manufacturer's website.
- GeneSight® Psychotropic panel (Assurex Health);
- Mental Health DNA Insight™ panel (Pathway Genomics);
- IDgenetix-branded tests (AltheaDx).

Also, many labs offer genetic testing for individual genes, including *MTFHR* (GeneSight Rx and other laboratories), cytochrome P450 variants, and *SULT4A1*.

AltheaDx offers a number of IDgenetix-branded tests, which include several panels focusing on variants that affect medication pharmacokinetics for a variety of disorders, including psychiatric disorders.

IV. RATIONALE

[TOP](#)

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The Pharmacogenomics Knowledge for Personalized Medicine database (PharmGKB) is a NIH-funded resource that provides information about how human genetic variation affects response to medications, and provides a centralized resource of international gene-drug professional society prescribing guidelines, FDA label information on gene-drug recommendations, and evidence based clinical curations (Whirl-Carillo et al., 2012, 2021).

Table 1 lists genes that can inform antidepressants and antipsychotics that are found in PharmGKB with an evidence level of 2B (moderate evidence of an association) or better (PharmGKB, 2019a and 2019b).

Table 1: Antidepressant, Antipsychotic Drugs, and Associated Genes

Drug	Genes	Selected Associated References
Citalopram	CYP2C19, SLC6A4, GRIK4, HTR2A, FKBP5, COMT, TXNRD2	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (Hicks et al., 2015)
		Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 Show Interactive Effects in Predicting Remission to Antidepressant Treatment (Horstmann et al., 2010)
Escitalopram	CYP2C19, SLC6A4, COMT, TXNRD2	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (Hicks et al., 2015)
		Interaction between serotonin transporter gene variants and life events predicts response to antidepressants in the GENDEP project (Keers et al., 2011)
Fluoxetine	FKBP5, COMT, TXNRD2	Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 Show Interactive Effects in Predicting Remission to Antidepressant Treatment (Horstmann et al., 2010)

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

Paroxetine	CYP2D6, HTR1A, FKBP5, COMT, TXNRD2	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (Hicks et al., 2015)
		Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 Show Interactive Effects in Predicting Remission to Antidepressant Treatment (Horstmann, et al., 2010)
		SSRI response and HTR1A (Yevtushenko et al., 2010)
Fluvoxamine	CYP2D6, COMT, TXNRD2	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (Hicks et al., 2015)
Venlafaxine	CYP2D6, FKBP5	Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 Show Interactive Effects in Predicting Remission to Antidepressant Treatment (Horstmann et al., 2010)
Amitriptyline	CYP2C19, 2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)
Nortriptyline	CYP2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)
Clomipramine	CYP2C19, 2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (Hicks et al., 2015)
Doxepin	CYP2C19, 2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)
Imipramine	CYP2C19, 2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)
Olanzapine	ANKK1, DRD2, MCR4, HTR2C	Genetic variation and the D2 dopamine receptor: implications for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disease (Mickey et al., 2016)
		Pharmacogenetic Associations of Antipsychotic Drug-Related Weight Gain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (Zhang et al., 2016)
Clozapine	ANKK1, DRD2, MCR4, HTR2C	Genetic variation and the D2 dopamine receptor: implications for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disease (Mickey et al., 2016)
		The combined effect of CYP2D6 and DRD2 Taq1A polymorphisms on the antipsychotics daily doses and hospital stay duration in schizophrenia inpatients (Kurylev et al., 2018)

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

		Pharmacogenetic Associations of Antipsychotic Drug-Related Weight Gain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (Zhang et al., 2016)
Risperidone	CYP2D6, ANKK1, DRD2, MCR4, HTR2C	DPWG Guideline for risperidone and CYP2D6 (Swen et al., 2011)
		Genetic variation and the D2 dopamine receptor: implications for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disease (Mickey et al., 2016)
		Pharmacogenetic Associations of Antipsychotic Drug-Related Weight Gain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (Zhang et al., 2016)
Mirtazapine	CYP2D6, FKBP5	Multicenter study on the clinical effectiveness, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacogenetics of mirtazapine in depression (Jaquenoud Sirot et al., 2012) Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 Show Interactive Effects in Predicting Remission to Antidepressant Treatment (Horstmann et al., 2010)
Desipramine	CYP2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)
Trimipramine	CYP2C19, 2D6	CPIC Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants (Hicks et al., 2017)

Up to 42% of variance in therapy response for major depressive disorders (MDD) can be explained by genetic variation, which has led to the development of pharmacogenetic tests to inform the use of certain psychiatric medications. Prospective randomized clinical trials have been performed to validate the clinical validity and utility of a number of pharmacogenetics (PGx) multi-gene panels.

In a prospective evaluation of pharmacogenetic guided treatment decisions for major depressive disorder, Brown et al. (2020) et al. calculated the overall mean effect of symptom improvement and relative risk ratio (RR) of response and remission referencing four studies and 1,556 patients. When care was guided by combinatorial pharmacogenetics results, significant patient outcomes were reported as $\Delta=10.08\%$, 95% CI: 1.67-18.50; $p=0.019$; response RR=1.40, 95% CI: 1.17-1.67; $p<0.001$; remission RR=1.49, 95% CI: 1.17-1.89; $p=0.001$). The authors summarized that for MDD patients who have had at least one medication failure, guided treatment demonstrated significant clinical utility.

Bousman et al. (2019) conducted a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis of prospective, randomized controlled (RCT) trials on the use of PGx multi-gene panels that had included a decision support tool to guide clinicians in the use of the results for MDD. RCTs were evaluated using the Cochrane criteria. A total of five RCTs representing 1737 patients were identified. Individuals receiving PGx testing with physicians utilizing a guided decision support

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

tool (n=887) were 1.17 times more likely (p=.005) than the treatment as usual (TAU) group (n=850) to report symptom remission. Similarly, Rosenblat et al. (2018) conducted a meta-analysis on the use of PGx multi-gene panels to guide treatment of MDD. Article databases were searched up to December 2017 on the human clinical utility of pharmacogenetics for the treatment of MDD. Four randomized clinical trials and two open-label controlled cohort studies were included. The outcomes analyzed were response and remission between PGx and TAU groups. The pooled risk ratio for overall treatment response was 1.36 in favor of PGx guided treatment compared to TAU, and 1.74 for PGx for remission when compared to TAU. The studies were heterogeneous across population, criteria, and PGx testing used.

Menchon et al. (2019) examined the influence of patient characteristics such as age, baseline severity, and duration of episode on the clinical utility of PGx testing for psychiatric drugs from the AB-GEN study, a randomized 12-week long study comparing TAU to PGx guided therapy selection in 280 adults with MDD. The primary outcomes analyzed were the Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) scale and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D17). Patients generally showed no difference in sustained response at the 12-week end point between the TAU and PGx group (Perez, et al., 2017). However, the PGx group had a higher response rate than TAU, and when subjects were removed whose physicians did not follow the genetic testing recommendations, the response rate improved further. Side effects were less in the PGx group by 6 weeks, and this was maintained at week 12. The primary dependent variable identified was the number of previously failed medication trials. In the Menchon et al. (2019) reanalysis by patient demographics, additional important variables were identified. Age was important as PGx testing significantly improved outcomes in those under age 60, but not over age 60. Outcomes were also improved in those with moderate to severe depression, but not those with mild depression. Genetic testing improved PGI-I in one year or less from diagnosis, but not HAM-D17. The effect on HAM-D17 was not significant until the cutoff from time of diagnosis was increased to 5 years. After this, however, a null effect was seen, and individuals who were more than 5 years from their diagnosis were actually worse off in the PGx arm than TAU. To determine which type of patient is most likely to benefit from pharmacogenetic testing for psychiatric therapies, more prospective, randomized trials are needed.

GUIDED is a 24-week RCT conducted between April 2014 and February 2017 comparing active treatment groups guided by PGx information, to active treatment groups receiving usual care (TAU) for MDD (Greden et al., 2019). Sixty sites participated, and patients were referred to the study when it was self-, or clinician reported to have inadequate response to at least one antidepressant. The average number of medications failed in the cohort was three, making this a difficult to treat population. Genotyping was for eight genes, *CYP1A2*, *CYP2C9*, *CYP2C19*, *CYP3A4*, *CYP2B6*, *CYP2D6*, *HTR2A*, and *SLC6A4*, and results were evaluated and reported using a proprietary pharmacogenetic algorithm from Assurex Health. Participants were blinded to the study arm, but clinicians were not, since they needed to consult the PGx results to guide treatment. Using the results to guide treatment was not mandated. Patients were assessed at 4, 8, 12, and 24 weeks using the HAM-D17, which was administered by blinded raters. A total of 1167 enrolled patients made it through week 8 with 607 in TAU and 560 in PGx guided. HAM-

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

D17 scores decreased in the TAU arm by 24% and in the PGx arm by 27%, but the difference was not statistically significant. Treatment response, defined as $\geq 50\%$ decrease in depression, was greater in the PGx arm (26%) than TAU (20%). The depression remission rate, defined as score of ≤ 7 for HAM-D17, was 10% with TAW and 15% with PGx ($p=.007$). Additionally, at week 8, there was no difference between the groups in reported side effects. When patients taking incongruent medications were evaluated as a separate cohort, those who switched to congruent medications by week 8 experienced significantly fewer side effects. Medication prescriptions that aligned with PGx results at baseline were 77% in the TAU group and 79% in the PGx group. By week 8, the PGx group increased to 91%, and the TAU group was unchanged. After 8 weeks, clinicians in the TAU arm were unblinded and could use the PGx results if they chose. A total of 913 participants completed through week 24 with 456 in TAU and 457 in the PGx guided arm. Overall, in the PGx group, HAM-D17 scores decreased by 43% at week 24 relative to baseline. Response and remission increased by 70% and 100%, respectively, from week 8 to week 24. While the primary outcome being analyzed, symptom improvement at week 8, was not different between the two groups, there was significant difference in response and remission in the PGx group on other measures.

A panel of ten genes with select polymorphisms combined with a proprietary algorithm, the NeuroIDgenetix® _Test, was the subject of a RCT to evaluate clinical utility for guiding treatment for depression and anxiety (Bradley et al., 2018). Genes included *CYP1A2*, *CYP2C9*, *CYP2C19*, *CYP2D6*, *CYP3A4*, *CYP3A5*, *SLC6A4*, *COMT*, *HTR2A*, and *MTHFR*. Participants were identified from 20 independent clinical sites in the US that represented psychiatry, internal medicine, family medicine, and obstetrics and gynecology. A total of 685 patients were included in the study, ranging in age from 19 to 87, and all had a diagnosis of depression or anxiety using the DSM-V criteria and verified by the MINI Psychiatric Interview. Most were female (73%) with diagnoses of depression ($n=246$), anxiety ($n=235$) or both ($n=204$) Participants were either 'New to Treatment' (newly diagnosed or taking medications for less than 6 weeks) or 'Inadequately Controlled' with medications as defined by lack of efficacy or treatment discontinuation due to adverse events or intolerability, although the authors did not report the distribution. PGx testing was performed in all subjects but was only shared with the physicians of those in the PGx arm. Patients were assessed at 4, 8, and 12 weeks using the HAM-D17 and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HAM-A), with their physicians blinded to the results. Adverse events were captured via the Adverse Drug Event form developed by external psychiatric consultants, and a blinded clinician ranked the adverse events on a severity scale. The PGx testing group showed a greater response and remission rate with odds ratios of 4.72 and 3.54 respectively, than the TAU group at 12 weeks. In the anxiety group, those that received testing had a higher response rate at 8 and 12 weeks with an odds ratio of 1.76, compared to the TAU group. Physicians made at least one medication change in 81% of those receiving testing than the control group (64%) at the two-week time point when results were returned to physicians. No difference was found in adverse drug events between the two treatment groups. In a post-hoc analysis on the 'Inadequately Controlled' cohort remission rates (42% vs. 27%, $p = 0.03$) and response rates (62% vs. 44%, $p=0.01$) response rates were greater with PGx than TAU.

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

Jung et al. (2017) conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) in Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) to identify potential predictors of venlafaxine XR treatment outcome. Ninety-eight European American patients participated in a venlafaxine XR clinical trial for GAD, with Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAM-A) response/remission at 24 weeks as the primary outcome measure. All participants were genotyped with the Illumina PsychChip, and 266,820 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed. Although no SNPs reached genome-wide significance, eight SNPs were marginally associated with treatment response/remission and HAM-A reduction at week 12 and 24 ($p < 0.00001$). The authors concluded that several identified genes may indicate markers crossing neuropsychiatric diagnostic categories. The authors acknowledged that the limitations of this study include small sample size and the lack of statistical power for a GWAS. Areas for future research include the replication of results with larger samples sizes to increase statistical power and further elucidate the treatment effects of antidepressant venlafaxine XR on GAD.

Researchers enrolled 528 (outpatients and inpatients) from 18 hospitals and associated mental health centers in Spain from July 2014 to June 2015 in the AB-GEN study, a 12-week, double-blind, parallel, multi-center RCT to evaluate the effectiveness of PGx testing for drug therapy guidance for MDD. Patients with a CGI-S ≥ 4 and requiring antidepressant medication de novo or changes in their medication were randomized to a PGx or TAU group. PGx testing was conducted by Neuropharmagen, and results were reported using their web-based clinical decision support tool. Thirty genes and relevant single nucleotide polymorphisms were analyzed. The primary endpoint was measuring a sustained response on the Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) of ≤ 2 within the 12-week follow-up. Follow up was conducted by phone, and the interviewer was blinded to the participant's study arm. A patient was considered to have a sustained response with a PGI-I score of 2 or less if they reported their condition to be "much better" or "very much better." Only 280 of 528 patients completed the study. A difference in sustained response was not observed between PGx and TAU at 12 weeks. Overall, the PGx group had a much higher response rate, and this improved when removing the patients whose physicians did not follow the PGx recommendations. Effects were greatest in patients who had failed up to three prior medications. Of those who reported side effects at baseline, the PGx group was more likely to report fewer side effects than the TAU group (Perez et al., 2017). This study is interesting as it uses real world practices and clinicians, a heterogeneous population with variable disease states and prior treatment failures, and clinicians could choose to not follow the PGx recommendations. Additional studies are needed to replicate these findings across larger, ethnically diverse study groups.

Perlis et al. (2017) reported on a propensity-score matched case-control analysis of health claims data from a US payer that examined the longitudinal claims of individuals with a mood or anxiety disorder. Claims from individuals who had received the Genecept pharmacogenetic ten gene test from Genomind were compared to case-matched controls who matched on gender, age, and diagnosis who did not receive testing. Diagnoses that were included were depressive disorders, any anxiety diagnosis, bipolar disorder, and any substance abuse diagnosis. Co-morbidities that were accounted for in the analysis included hyperlipidemia, low back pain, hypertension, migraine and other headaches, diabetes mellitus, and any mental health visit. Of

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

the 1639 individuals who received genetic testing, it was possible to match 817. Patients who had PGx testing had 40% fewer emergency room visit for any cause and 58% fewer hospitalizations for any cause. There was no difference between the groups in the number of psychiatric medications prescribed, or mood disorder related inpatient hospitalizations. Selection bias, since this was an observational study, was a physician that ordered genetic testing might, in theory, be more aggressive in patient management. The study's authors concluded that randomized prospective clinical trials are needed to further validate the clinical utility of genetic testing for psychiatric disorders.

V. DEFINITIONS

[TOP](#)

N/A

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[TOP](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations are based on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. Members and providers should consult the member's health benefit plan for information or contact Capital Blue Cross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[TOP](#)

Capital Blue Cross' medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member's benefits. These medical policies do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member's benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member's plan of benefits, please contact Capital Blue Cross' Provider Services or Member Services. Capital Blue Cross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[TOP](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Covered when medically necessary for LifeKit® PreScript®

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

Procedure Codes								
0392U	0460U	81599						

Genetic testing for mutations associated with mental health disorders, including but not limited to the Genecept Assay, STA2R test, the GeneSight Psychotropic panel, the Proove Narcotic Risk assay, MindX blood tests, and the Mental Health DNA Insight Panel, are Investigational; therefore, not covered:

Procedure Codes								
0032U	0033U	0175U	0290U	0291U	0292U	0293U	0345U	0347U
0348U	0349U	0411U	0419U	0423U	0434U	0437U	0438U	0461U
81225	81226	81230	81231	81291	81401	81479		

IX. REFERENCES

[TOP](#)

1. Aminkeng F, Ross CJ, Rassekh SR, et al. Recommendations for genetic testing to reduce the incidence of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2016; 82(3):683–695
2. Antidepressants. PharmGKB.
3. Antipsychotics. PharmGKB.
4. Billings J, Racska PN, Bordenave K, et al. The impact of real-world cardiovascular-related pharmacogenetic testing in an insured population. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2018 Jun; 72(6):e13088
5. Borobia AM, Dapia I, Tong HY, et al. Clinical implementation of pharmacogenetic testing in a hospital of the Spanish National Health System: Strategy and experience over 3 years. *Clin Transl Sci*. 2018 Mar; 11(2):189-199
6. Bousman CA, Arandjelovic K, Mancuso SG, et al. Pharmacogenetic tests and depressive symptom remission: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2019 Jan; 20(1):37-47
7. Bradley P, Shiekh M, Mehra V, et al. Improved efficacy with targeted pharmacogenetic-guided treatment of patients with depression and anxiety: a randomized clinical trial demonstrating clinical utility. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2018 Jan; 96:100-107
8. Brown L, Vranjkovic O, Li J, et al. The clinical utility of combinatorial pharmacogenomics testing for patients with depression: a meta-analysis. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2020 Jun; 21(8):559-569
9. Greden JF, Parikh SV, Rothschild AJ, et al. Impact of pharmacogenomics on clinical outcomes in major depressive disorder in the GUIDED trial: a large, patient- and rater-blinded, randomized, controlled study. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2019 Jan 4; 111:59-67
10. Hicks JK, Bishop JR, Sangkuhl K, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2015; 98(2):127–134
11. Hicks J K, Sangkuhl K, Swen J et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

- Consortium Guideline (CPIC) for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants: 2016 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017 Jul; 102(1):37-44*
12. Horstmann S, Lucae S, Menke A, et al. Polymorphisms in GRIK4, HTR2A, and FKBP5 show interactive effects in predicting remission to antidepressant treatment. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010; 35(3):727-740
 13. Jaquenoud Sirot E, Harenberg S, Vandael P, et al. Multicenter study on the clinical effectiveness, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacogenetics of mirtazapine in depression. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2012 Oct; 32(5):622-9
 14. Jung J, Tawa EA, Muench C, et al. Genome-wide association study of treatment response to venlafaxine XR in generalized anxiety disorder. *Psychiatry Res*. 2017 Aug; 254:8-11
 15. Keers R, Uher R, Huezio-Diaz P, et al. Interaction between serotonin transporter gene variants and life events predicts response to antidepressants in the GENDEP project. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2011 Apr; 11(2):138-45
 16. Kim K, Magness JW, Nelson R, et al. Clinical utility of pharmacogenetic testing and a clinical decision support tool to enhance the identification of drug therapy problems through medication therapy management in polypharmacy patients. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2018 Dec; 24(12):1250-1259
 17. Kurylev AA, Brodyansky VM, Andreev BV, et al. The combined effect of CYP2D6 and DRD2 Taq1A polymorphisms on the antipsychotics daily doses and hospital stay duration in schizophrenia inpatients (observational naturalistic study). *Psychiatr Danub*. 2018 Jun; 30(2):157-163
 18. Menchón JM, Espadaler J, Tuson M, et al. Patient characteristics driving clinical utility in psychiatric pharmacogenetics: a reanalysis from the AB-GEN multicentric trial. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)*. 2019 Jan; 126(1):95-99
 19. Mickey BJ. Genetic variation and the D2 dopamine receptor: implications for the treatment of neuropsychiatric disease. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2016 Jul; 17(11):1207-1210
 20. Muriel J, Margarit C, Barrachina J, et al. Pharmacogenetics and prediction of adverse events in prescription opioid use disorder patients. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2019 Apr; 124(4):439-448
 21. National Academy for Clinical Biochemistry, (the Academy of the American Association for Clinical Chemistry) *Laboratory Medicine Practice Guidelines: Laboratory Analysis and Application of Pharmacogenetics to Clinical Practice 2010*
 22. O'Donnell PH, Danahey K, Jacobs M, et al. Adoption of a clinical pharmacogenomics implementation program during outpatient care—initial results of The University of Chicago “1200 Patients Project.” *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C, Seminars in Medical Genetics*. 2014; 166(1):68-75
 23. Pérez V, Salavert A, Espadaler J, et al. Efficacy of prospective pharmacogenetic testing in the treatment of major depressive disorder: results of a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2017 Jul 14; 17(1):250
 24. Perlis RH, Mehta R, Edwards AM, et al. Pharmacogenetic testing among patients with mood and anxiety disorders is associated with decreased utilization and cost: a propensity-score matched study. *Depress Anxiety*. 2018 Oct; 35(10):946-952
 25. Pyzocha N, *GeneSight Psychotropic Genetic Testing for Psychiatric Medication*

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

- Selection. American Family Physician 2021. Jul; 104(1):89-90*
26. Rosenblat JD, Lee Y, McIntyre RS. The effect of pharmacogenomic testing on response and remission rates in the acute treatment of major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2018 Dec 1; 241:484-491
 27. Sági JC, Egyed B, Kelemen A, et al. Possible roles of genetic variations in chemotherapy related cardiotoxicity in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia and osteosarcoma. *BMC Cancer.* 2018; 18(1):704. Published 2018 Jul 3
 28. Swen JJ, Nijenhuis M, de Boer A, et al. Pharmacogenetics: from bench to byte--an update of guidelines. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2011 May; 89(5):662-73
 29. Whirl-Carrillo M, McDonagh EM, Hebert, EM, et al. Pharmacogenomics knowledge for personalized medicine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2012 Oct; 92(4):414-7
 30. Yevtushenko OO, Oros MM, Reynolds GP. Early response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in panic disorder is associated with a functional 5-HT1A receptor gene polymorphism. *J Affect Disord.* 2010 Jun; 123(1-3):308-11
 31. Zhang JP, Lencz T, Zhang RX, et al. Pharmacogenetic Associations of Antipsychotic Drug-Related weight gain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr Bull.* 2016; 42(6):1418–1437
 32. Bousman CA, Bengesser SA, Aitchison KJ, et al. Review and Consensus on Pharmacogenomic Testing in Psychiatry. *Pharmacopsychiatry.* Jan 2021; 54(1): 5-17. PMID 33147643
 33. International Society of Psychiatric Genetics. Genetic Testing and Psychiatric Disorders: A Statement from the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics
 34. Koyama E, Zai CC, Bryushkova L, et al. Predicting risk of suicidal ideation in youth using a multigene panel for impulsive aggression. *Psychiatry Res.* Mar 2020;285: 112726. PMID 31870620
 35. Ghafouri-Fard S, Taheri M, Omrani MD, et al. Application of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Preliminary Study with Artificial Neural Networks. *J Mol Neurosci.* Aug 2019; 68(4): 515-521. PMID 30937628
 36. Ran L, Ai M, Wang W, et al. Rare variants in SLC6A4 cause susceptibility to major depressive disorder with suicidal ideation in Han Chinese adolescents and young adults. *Gene.* Feb 05 2020;726: 144147. PMID 31629822
 37. Schroter K, Brum M, Brunkhorst-Kanaan N, et al. Longitudinal multi-level biomarker analysis of BDNF in major depression and bipolar disorder. *Eur Arch Psychiatry ClinNeurosci.* Mar 2020; 270(2): 169-181
 38. Bonin L. Pediatric unipolar depression: Epidemiology, clinical features, assessment, and diagnosis. 2021
 39. Brown VK. DNA test for antidepressants raises questions from FDA. *Bloomberg.* August 14 2019
 40. Rohan KJ, Rough JN, Evans M, et al. A protocol for the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: Item scoring rules, Rater training, and outcome accuracy with data on its application in a clinical trial. *J Affect Disord.* Aug 2016; 200: 111-8. PMID 27130960
 41. Costantini L, Pasquarella C, Odone A, et al. Screening for depression in primary care with Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9): A systematic review. *J Affect Disord.* Jan 15 2021; 279: 473-483. PMID 33126078

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

42. Oslin DW, Lynch KG, Shih MC, et al. Effect of Pharmacogenomic Testing for Drug-Gene Interactions on Medication Selection and Remission of Symptoms in Major Depressive Disorder: The PRIME Care Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. Jul 12 2022; 328(2): 151-161. PMID 35819423
43. Tiwari AK, Zai CC, Altar CA, et al. Clinical utility of combinatorial pharmacogenomic testing in depression: A Canadian patient- and rater-blinded, randomized, controlled trial. *Transl Psychiatry*. Mar 14 2022; 12(1): 101. PMID 35288545
44. Vilches S, Tuson M, Vieta E, et al. Effectiveness of a Pharmacogenetic Tool at Improving Treatment Efficacy in Major Depressive Disorder: A Meta-Analysis of Three Clinical Studies. *Pharmaceutics*. Sep 02 2019; 11(9). PMID 31480800
45. Hartwell EE, Feinn R, Morris PE, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the moderating effect of rs1799971 in OPRM1, the mu-opioid receptor gene, on response to naltrexone treatment of alcohol use disorder. *Addiction*. Aug 2020; 115(8): 1426-1437. PMID 31961981
46. Bousman CA, Stevenson JM, Ramsey LB, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2B6, SLC6A4, and HTR2A Genotypes and Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor Antidepressants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. Jul 2023; 114(1): 51-68. PMID 37032427
47. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Medical Policy Reference Manual. 2.04.110, Genetic Testing for Diagnosis and Management of Mental Health Conditions. August 2023.

X. POLICY HISTORY

[TOP](#)

MP 2.264	04/21/2020 Consensus Review. Policy statement unchanged. Policy guideline, Background, Rationale, and References updated. Coding reviewed.
	05/20/2020 Administrative Update. New code 0175U added to the policy. Product Variation, Benefit Variation, and Disclaimer updated.
	02/25/2021 Major Review. Policy statement updated to include LifeKit Prescript as MN. Rationale, Background, coding, and references updated.
	12/01/2021 Administrative Update. Added 0290U-0293U
	04/07/2022 Minor Review. MindX blood tests for mood disorders added to policy as INV. Policy guidelines, Product Variations, and Summary of Evidence updated. References added.
	09/12/2022 Administrative Update. New Codes 0345U, 0347U, 0348U & 0349U added
	03/16/2023 Administrative Update. New Code 0380U added.
	06/13/2023 Administrative Update. New Code 0392U added.
	08/11/2023 Consensus Review. Regulatory status updated. References reviewed and updated. Coding reviewed.
	09/07/2023 Administrative Update. New Codes 0411U, 0419U added.
	12/13/2023 Administrative Update. New codes added:0423U, 0437U, 0434U, & 0438U

MEDICAL POLICY

POLICY TITLE	GENETIC TESTING FOR DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS
POLICY NUMBER	MP 2.264

	01/19/2024 Administrative Update. Clinical benefit added.
	06/10/2024 Administrative Update. Added 0460U and 0461U. Effective 07/01/2024.
	10/15/2024 Consensus Review. No change to policy statement. References updated.
	12/10/2024 Administrative Update. Removed code 0380U, effective 01/01/2025

[TOP](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital Blue Cross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company[®], Capital Advantage Assurance Company[®] and Keystone Health Plan[®] Central. Independent licensees of the Blue Cross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital Blue Cross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.