

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Original Issue Date (Created): | 7/1/2005 |
| Most Recent Review Date (Revised): | 9/30/2019 |
| Effective Date: | 11/1/2019 |

[POLICY RATIONALE](#)
[DISCLAIMER](#)
[POLICY HISTORY](#)

[PRODUCT VARIATIONS](#)
[DEFINITIONS](#)
[CODING INFORMATION](#)

[DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND](#)
[BENEFIT VARIATIONS](#)
[REFERENCES](#)

I. POLICY

Mechanical Stretching Devices

Dynamic Splinting Devices

Dynamic splinting devices for the knee, elbow, wrist or finger may be considered **medically necessary** for the following indications:

- As an adjunct to physical therapy when there are documented signs and symptoms of significant motion stiffness or loss in the sub-acute injury or post-operative period (i.e., at least three weeks after injury or surgery); or
- During the acute post-operative period where there is prior documented history of motion stiffness or loss in a joint when additional surgery or procedures are done to improve motion to that joint.

Continued use beyond an eight (8) week period will require medical director review.

Dynamic splinting devices for use in any other joint including the toes or any condition not listed above is considered **not medically necessary**.

The prophylactic use of dynamic splinting in the management of chronic contractures (no significant change in a motion for a four month period) and joint stiffness due to joint trauma, fractures, burns, head and spinal cord injuries, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, muscular dystrophy, or cerebral palsy is considered **not medically necessary**.

| | |
|---------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

Joint Active System splints are considered **investigational**, as there is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these devices.

Extensionator and flexionator devices are considered **investigational**, as there is insufficient evidence to support a conclusion concerning the health outcomes or benefits associated with these devices.

Continuous Passive Motion Device (CPM)

Use of continuous passive motion (CPM) in the home setting may be considered **medically necessary** as an adjunct to physical therapy in the following situations:

- During the non-weight bearing rehabilitation period following articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee (e.g., microfracture, osteochondral grafting, autologous chondrocyte implantation, treatment of osteochondritis dissecans, repair of tibial plateau fractures); **OR**
- Under conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises following a total knee arthroplasty (TKA), TKA revision or other major knee surgery. This may include patients with complex regional pain syndrome (reflex sympathetic dystrophy), extensive arthrofibrosis or tendon fibrosis, or physical, mental, or behavioral inability to participate in active physical therapy.

Use of the CPM device should commence within two (2) days of surgery and the maximum benefit is usually obtained within fourteen (14) days from the start of therapy. Continued use beyond 6 weeks following surgery of any type is generally considered **not medically necessary** or appropriate; including following knee arthroscopy with microfracture.

The use of a CPM device for other joints including, but not limited to, major hip joint and shoulder surgery is considered **not medically necessary**.

II. PRODUCT VARIATIONS

[Top](#)

This policy is only applicable to certain programs and products administered by Capital BlueCross and subject to benefit variations as discussed in Section VI. Please see additional information below.

The FEP program dictates that all drugs, devices or biological products approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) may not be considered investigational. Therefore, FDA-approved drugs, devices or biological products may be assessed on the basis of medical necessity.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

III. DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND

[Top](#)

Mechanical Stretching Devices

Joint stiffness, contracture and diminished range of motion can result from surgery, illness, trauma, immobilization or congenital abnormalities. Prefabricated or custom fabricated devices worn across a stiff or contracted joint to provide incremented tension in one or both directions can increase range of motion. These devices are manually controlled by the patient and can be used alone or in conjunction with physical therapy.

A dynamic splint is a custom fit, spring-loaded device designed to provide low intensity stretch force. These devices provide a low-load, prolonged stretch to joints while an individual is asleep or at rest. Dynamic splinting devices are available for the elbow, wrist, finger, shoulder, knee, ankle and toes. Examples of available product names for dynamic splinting include: Dynaspint™, Ultraflex™, LMB Pro-glide™, Advanced Bio Mechanics™, and SaebFlex.

The ERMI Shoulder Flexionater® is a device designed to isolate and treat decreased glenohumeral abduction and external rotation from excessive scar tissue. This is a customizable device with biomechanical and anatomically located pads, which focus treatment on the glenohumeral joint, without stressing the other shoulder joints. The shoulder flexionator can be used by the patient at home without assistance to perform serial stretching exercises. The knee/ankle flexionator (ERMI Knee/Ankle Flexionater®) is a self-contained device that aids recovery from decreased range of motion of the knee and/or ankle joints. The knee extensionator (ERMI Knee Extensionater®) and elbow extensionator (ERMI Shoulder Extensionater®) provide serial stretching, using a patient controlled pneumatic device that can deliver variable loads to the affected joint.

Joint Active Systems (JAS) Splints use static progressive stretch. The patient sets the device angle at the beginning of a session and every few minutes increases the angle. Sessions usually lasts thirty minutes and are repeated up to three times per day.

Continuous Passive Motion Devices

Physical therapy (PT) of joints following surgery focuses both on passive motion to restore mobility and on active exercises to restore strength. While passive motion can be administered by a therapist, continuous passive motion (CPM) devices have also been used. CPM is thought to improve recovery by stimulating the healing of articular tissues and the circulation of synovial fluid; reducing local edema; and preventing adhesions, joint stiffness or contractures, or cartilage degeneration. CPM has been investigated primarily in the knee, particularly after

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

total knee arthroplasty or ligamentous or cartilage repair. Acceptance of its use in the knee joint has created interest in CPM use for other weight-bearing joints (i.e., hip, ankle, metatarsals) as well as non-weight-bearing joints (i.e., shoulder, elbow, metacarpals, interphalangeal joints). Use of CPM in stroke and burn patients is also being explored.

The device used for the knee moves the joint (e.g., flexion and extension) without patient assistance, continuously for extended periods of time (i.e., up to 24 h/d). An electrical power unit is used to set the variable range of motion (ROM) and speed. The initial settings for ROM are based on a patient’s level of comfort and other factors assessed intraoperatively. The ROM is increased by 3° to 5° per day, as tolerated. The speed and ROM can be varied, depending on joint stability. The use of the device may be initiated in the immediate postoperative period and then continued at home for a variable period of time.

Over time, hospital lengths of stay have progressively shortened and, in some cases, surgical repair may be done either as an outpatient or with a length of stay of 1 to 2 days. As a result, there has been a considerable shift in the rehabilitation regimen, moving from an intensive in-hospital program to a less intensive outpatient program. Some providers may want patients to continue CPM in the home setting as a means of duplicating services offered with a longer (7-day) hospital stay.

The focus of the current review is to examine the literature on the use of CPM in the home setting as it is currently being prescribed postoperatively. Relevant comparisons are treatment outcomes of CPM when used alone or with PT, compared with PT alone.

Regulatory Status

Continuous passive motion devices are considered class I devices by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and are exempt from 510(k) requirements. This classification does not require submission of clinical data on efficacy but only notification of FDA prior to marketing. FDA product code: BXB.

IV. Rationale

[TOP](#)

CPM

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have total knee arthroplasty (TKA) who receive continuous passive motion (CPM) in the home setting, the evidence includes randomized clinical trials (RCTs), case series, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Early trials generally used CPM in the inpatient setting and are less relevant to today’s practice patterns of short hospital stays followed by outpatient rehabilitation. Current postoperative rehabilitation protocols differ considerably from when the largest body of evidence was collected, making it

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

difficult to apply available evidence to the present situation. For use of CPM after TKA, recent studies have suggested that institutional and home use of CPM has no benefit compared to standard physical therapy (PT). There were no studies evaluating CPM in patients who could not perform standard PT. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have articular cartilage repair of the knee who receive CPM in the home setting, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies, case series, and studies with nonclinical outcomes (e.g., histology), and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Systematic reviews of CPM for this indication have cited studies reporting better histologic outcomes in patients following CPM. A few studies have reported clinical outcomes, but inadequacies of these studies do not permit conclusions on efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have musculoskeletal conditions other than TKA or knee cartilage repair requiring PT who receive CPM in the home setting, the evidence includes RCTs for some conditions and case series for others. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Three small RCTs of CPM after rotator cuff surgery showed some evidence that CPM after this shoulder surgery improved short-term pain and range of motion (ROM); however, the trials were not high quality, and the small differences in outcomes may not be clinically important. Two trials reported short-term improvements in ROM for patients undergoing CPM, and 1 reported a short-term reduction in pain. None reported long-term improvements, and there are no reported benefits in functional status. Therefore, the clinical significance of the short-term improvements reported is uncertain. In addition, there is uncertainty about the optimal PT regimen following shoulder surgery such that the optimal treatment comparator for CPM is unclear. Two small RCTs compared CPM with conventional PT for treatment of adhesive capsulitis. One of the trials focused on diabetic patients with adhesive capsulitis. Both reported comparable improvements in ROM and functional ability between treatment groups. For other musculoskeletal conditions, RCTs do not exist; case series either did not show efficacy of CPM or had important methodologic flaws. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have had a stroke requiring PT who receive CPM in the home setting, the evidence includes 1 small RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. This trial reported a trend toward improved shoulder joint stability, but no statistical difference between CPM plus PT compared to PT alone. The trial was small and treatment lasted only 20 days. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

V. DEFINITIONS

[Top](#)

AUTOLOGOUS CHONDROCYTE TRANSPLANTATION (ACT) is a surgical treatment aimed at repairing the damaged hyaline cartilage by transplanting regenerated hyaline-like cartilage to restore usable function.

OSTEOCHONDRAL refers to bone and cartilage.

PASSIVE MOTION is a therapeutic exercise technique used to move patients’ joints through ROM without patient effort. This type of therapy is accomplished by a physical therapist or with the assistance of equipment, such as a CPM device.

IMMEDIATE POSTOPERATIVE PERIOD is a time period within one week of the surgical procedure. This period may be extended up to thirty days after the surgery, given individual circumstances.

VI. BENEFIT VARIATIONS

[Top](#)

The existence of this medical policy does not mean that this service is a covered benefit under the member's health benefit plan. Benefit determinations should be based in all cases on the applicable health benefit plan language. Medical policies do not constitute a description of benefits. A member’s health benefit plan governs which services are covered, which are excluded, which are subject to benefit limits and which require preauthorization. There are different benefit plan designs in each product administered by Capital BlueCross. Members and providers should consult the member’s health benefit plan for information or contact Capital BlueCross for benefit information.

VII. DISCLAIMER

[Top](#)

Capital BlueCross’s medical policies are developed to assist in administering a member’s benefits, do not constitute medical advice and are subject to change. Treating providers are solely responsible for medical advice and treatment of members. Members should discuss any medical policy related to their coverage or condition with their provider and consult their benefit information to determine if the service is covered. If there is a discrepancy between this medical policy and a member’s benefit information, the benefit information will govern. If a provider or a member has a question concerning the application of this medical policy to a specific member’s plan of benefits, please contact Capital BlueCross’ Provider Services or Member Services. Capital BlueCross considers the information contained in this medical policy to be proprietary and it may only be disseminated as permitted by law.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

VIII. CODING INFORMATION

[Top](#)

Note: This list of codes may not be all-inclusive, and codes are subject to change at any time. The identification of a code in this section does not denote coverage as coverage is determined by the terms of member benefit information. In addition, not all covered services are eligible for separate reimbursement.

Investigational; therefore, not covered, joint active system splints (static progressive stretch):

| HCPCS Codes | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| E1801 | Static progressive stretch elbow device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1806 | Static progressive stretch wrist device, flexion and/or extension, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1811 | Static progressive stretch knee device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1816 | Static progressive stretch ankle device, flexion and/or extension, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1818 | Static progressive stretch forearm pronation/supination device, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1821 | Replacement soft interface material/cuffs for bi-directional static progressive stretch device |
| E1831 | Static progressive stretch toe device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |
| E1841 | Static progressive stretch shoulder device, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories |

Not medically necessary; therefore, not covered, dynamic splinting devices:

| HCPCS Codes | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| E1802 | Dynamic adjustable forearm pronation/supination device, includes soft interface material |
| E1815 | Dynamic adjustable ankle extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |
| E1830 | Dynamic adjustable toe extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |
| E1840 | Dynamic adjustable shoulder flexion/abduction/rotation device, includes soft interface material |

Covered when medically necessary, dynamic splinting devices

| CPT Codes® | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 29126 | 29131 | | | | | | |

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) copyrighted by American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| HCPCS Codes | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| E1800 | Dynamic adjustable elbow extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |
| E1805 | Dynamic adjustable wrist extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |
| E1810 | Dynamic adjustable knee extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |
| E1812 | Dynamic knee, extension/flexion device with active resistance control |
| E1825 | Dynamic adjustable finger extension/flexion device, includes soft interface material |

| ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes | Description |
|----------------------------------|--|
| M24.521 | Contracture, right elbow |
| M24.522 | Contracture, left elbow |
| M24.531 | Contracture, right wrist |
| M24.532 | Contracture, left wrist |
| M24.561 | Contracture, right knee |
| M24.562 | Contracture, left knee |
| M25.621 | Stiffness of right elbow, not elsewhere classified |
| M25.622 | Stiffness of left elbow, not elsewhere classified |
| M25.631 | Stiffness of right wrist, not elsewhere classified |
| M25.632 | Stiffness of left wrist, not elsewhere classified |
| M25.661 | Stiffness of right knee, not elsewhere classified |
| M25.662 | Stiffness of left knee, not elsewhere classified |

Not medically necessary; therefore, not covered, continuous passive motion device (CPM):

| HCPCS Codes | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| E0936 | Continuous passive motion exercise device for use other than knee |

Covered when medically necessary; continuous passive motion device (CPM):

| HCPCS Code | Description |
|-------------------|--|
| E0935 | Continuous passive motion exercise device for use on knee only |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes | Description |
|----------------------------------|---|
| G90.521 | Complex regional pain syndrome I of right lower limb |
| G90.522 | Complex regional pain syndrome I of left lower limb |
| G90.523 | Complex regional pain syndrome I of lower limb, bilateral |
| G90.59 | Complex regional pain syndrome I of other specified site |
| M23.211 | Derangement of anterior horn of medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.212 | Derangement of anterior horn of medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.221 | Derangement of posterior horn of medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.222 | Derangement of posterior horn of medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.231 | Derangement of other medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.232 | Derangement of other medial meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.241 | Derangement of anterior horn of lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.242 | Derangement of anterior horn of lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.251 | Derangement of posterior horn of lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.252 | Derangement of posterior horn of lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.261 | Derangement of other lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, right knee |
| M23.262 | Derangement of other lateral meniscus due to old tear or injury, left knee |
| M23.311 | Other meniscus derangements, anterior horn of medial meniscus, right knee |
| M23.312 | Other meniscus derangements, anterior horn of medial meniscus, left knee |
| M23.321 | Other meniscus derangements, posterior horn of medial meniscus, right knee |
| M23.322 | Other meniscus derangements, posterior horn of medial meniscus, left knee |
| M23.331 | Other meniscus derangements, other medial meniscus, right knee |
| M23.332 | Other meniscus derangements, other medial meniscus, left knee |
| M23.341 | Other meniscus derangements, anterior horn of lateral meniscus, right knee |
| M23.342 | Other meniscus derangements, anterior horn of lateral meniscus, left knee |
| M23.351 | Other meniscus derangements, posterior horn of lateral meniscus, right knee |
| M23.352 | Other meniscus derangements, posterior horn of lateral meniscus, left knee |
| M23.361 | Other meniscus derangements, other lateral meniscus, right knee |
| M23.362 | Other meniscus derangements, other lateral meniscus, left knee |
| M23.8X1 | Other internal derangements of right knee |
| M23.8X2 | Other internal derangements of left knee |
| M24.661 | Ankylosis, right knee |
| M24.662 | Ankylosis, left knee |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes | Description |
|----------------------------------|--|
| M93.261 | Osteochondritis dissecans, right knee |
| M93.262 | Osteochondritis dissecans, left knee |
| S83.211A | Bucket-handle tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.211D | Bucket-handle tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.212A | Bucket-handle tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.212D | Bucket-handle tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.221A | Peripheral tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.221D | Peripheral tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.222A | Peripheral tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.222D | Peripheral tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.231A | Complex tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.231D | Complex tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.232A | Complex tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.232D | Complex tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.241A | Other tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.241D | Other tear of medial meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.242A | Other tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.242D | Other tear of medial meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.251A | Bucket-handle tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.251D | Bucket-handle tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.252A | Bucket-handle tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.252D | Bucket-handle tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.261A | Peripheral tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.261D | Peripheral tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.262A | Peripheral tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.262D | Peripheral tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.271A | Complex tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.271D | Complex tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.272A | Complex tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |
| S83.272D | Complex tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.281A | Other tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, initial encounter |
| S83.281D | Other tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, right knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.282A | Other tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, initial encounter |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes | Description |
|----------------------------------|---|
| S83.282D | Other tear of lateral meniscus, current injury, left knee, subsequent encounter |
| S83.32XA | Tear of articular cartilage of left knee, current, initial encounter |
| Z47.1 | Aftercare following joint replacement surgery |
| Z47.33 | Aftercare following explantation of knee joint prosthesis |
| Z96.651 | Presence of right artificial knee joint |
| Z96.652 | Presence of left artificial knee joint |
| Z96.653 | Presence of artificial knee joint, bilateral |

IX. REFERENCES

[Top](#)

Mechanical Stretching Devices

1. Bonutti PM, Marulanda GA, McGrath MS, et al. Static progressive stretch improves range of motion in arthrofibrosis following total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2010;18(2):194-199
2. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD): 280.1. Durable Medical Equipment. 5/5/05.
[Website]: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=190&ncdver=2&bc=AAAAGAAAAAAAAA%3d%3d&> Accessed September 30, 2019.
3. Dynasplint Systems, Inc. Products. [Website]: <http://www.dynasplint.com>. Accessed September 30, 2019.
4. Evans PJ, Nandi S, Maschke S, et al. Prevention and treatment of elbow stiffness. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2009;34(4):769-778. Larson D, Jerosch-Herold C. Clinical effectiveness of post-operative splinting after surgical release of Dupuytren's contracture: A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2008;9:104
5. McGrath MS, Ulrich SD, Bonutti PM, et al. Evaluation of static progressive stretch for the treatment of wrist stiffness. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2008;33(9):1498-1504.
6. Ulrich SD, Bonutti PM, Seyler TM, et al. Restoring range of motion via stress relaxation and static progressive stretch in posttraumatic elbow contractures. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2010;19(2):196-2011.
7. Ultraflex Systems Inc. [Website]: <http://www.ultraflex.com/>. Accessed September 30, 2019.

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

Continuous Passive Motion Devices

1. *Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Continuous Passive Motion as an Adjunct to Physical Therapy for Joint Rehabilitation. TEC Assessments. 1997;Volume 12:Tab 20.*
2. *McInnes J, Larson MG, Daltroy LH, et al. A controlled evaluation of continuous passive motion in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. JAMA. Sep 16 1992;268(11):1423-1428. PMID 1512910*
3. *Milne S, Brosseau L, Robinson V, et al. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jun 2003(2):CD004260. PMID 12804511*
4. *Brosseau L, Milne S, Wells G, et al. Efficacy of continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty: a metaanalysis. J Rheumatol. Nov 2004;31(11):2251-2264. PMID 15517640*
5. *Harvey LA, Brosseau L, Herbert RD. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Mar 17 2010(3):CD004260. PMID 20238330*
6. *Harvey LA, Brosseau L, Herbert RD. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Feb 6 2014;2(2):CD004260. PMID 24500904*
7. *He ML, Xiao ZM, Lei M, et al. Continuous passive motion for preventing venous thromboembolism after total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 29 2014;7(7):CD008207. PMID 25069620*
8. *Yashar AA, Venn-Watson E, Welsh T, et al. Continuous passive motion with accelerated flexion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Dec 1997(345):38-43. PMID 9418619*
9. *MacDonald SJ, Bourne RB, Rorabeck CH, et al. Prospective randomized clinical trial of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Nov 2000(380):30-35. PMID 11064970*
10. *Pope RO, Corcoran S, McCaul K, et al. Continuous passive motion after primary total knee arthroplasty. Does it offer any benefits? J Bone Joint Surg Br. Nov 1997;79(6):914-917. PMID 9393903*
11. *Kumar PJ, McPherson EJ, Dorr LD, et al. Rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of 2 rehabilitation techniques. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Oct 1996(331):93-101. PMID 8895624*
12. *Bruun-Olsen V, Heiberg KE, Mengshoel AM. Continuous passive motion as an adjunct to active exercises in early rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty - a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil. Jul 2009;31(4):277-283. PMID 18608367*
13. *Denis M, Moffet H, Caron F, et al. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion and conventional physical therapy after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. Phys Ther. Feb 2006;86(2):174-185. PMID 16445331*

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

14. Leach W, Reid J, Murphy F. Continuous passive motion following total knee replacement: a prospective randomized trial with follow-up to 1 year. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* Oct 2006;14(10):922-926. PMID 16489477
15. Boese CK, Weis M, Phillips T, et al. The efficacy of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of three protocols. *J Arthroplasty.* Jun 2014;29(6):1158-1162. PMID 24412145
16. Herbold JA, Bonistall K, Blackburn M, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of continuous passive motion after total knee replacement. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* Jul 2014;95(7):1240-1245. PMID 24685389
17. Chen B, Zimmerman JR, Soulen L, et al. Continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* Sep-Oct 2000;79(5):421-426. PMID 10994883
18. Herbold JA, Bonistall K, Blackburn M. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion in an inpatient rehabilitation hospital after total knee replacement: a matched cohort study. *PM R.* Oct 2012;4(10):719-725. PMID 22959052
19. Worland RL, Arredondo J, Angles F, et al. Home continuous passive motion machine versus professional physical therapy following total knee replacement. *J Arthroplasty.* Oct 1998;13(7):784-787. PMID 9802665
20. Lenssen TA, van Steyn MJ, Crijns YH, et al. Effectiveness of prolonged use of continuous passive motion (CPM), as an adjunct to physiotherapy, after total knee arthroplasty. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* Apr 29 2008;9:60. PMID 18442423
21. Browne JE, Anderson AF, Arciero R, et al. Clinical outcome of autologous chondrocyte implantation at 5 years in US subjects. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Jul 2005(436):237-245. PMID 15995447
22. Farr J. Autologous chondrocyte implantation improves patellofemoral cartilage treatment outcomes. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Oct 2007;463:187-194. PMID 17960681
23. Rosenberger RE, Gomoll AH, Bryant T, et al. Repair of large chondral defects of the knee with autologous chondrocyte implantation in patients 45 years or older. *Am J Sports Med.* Dec 2008;36(12):2336-2344. PMID 18725654
24. Nugent-Derfus GE, Takara T, O'Neill J K, et al. Continuous passive motion applied to whole joints stimulates chondrocyte biosynthesis of PRG4. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* May 2007;15(5):566-574. PMID 17157538
25. Salter RB. The biologic concept of continuous passive motion of synovial joints. The first 18 years of basic research and its clinical application. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* May 1989(242):12-25. PMID 2650945
26. Fazalare JA, Griesser MJ, Siston RA, et al. The use of continuous passive motion following knee cartilage defect surgery: a systematic review. *Orthopedics.* Dec 2010;33(12):878. PMID 21162503

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

27. Howard JS, Mattacola CG, Romine SE, et al. Continuous passive motion, early weight bearing, and active motion following knee articular cartilage repair: evidence for clinical practice. *Cartilage*. Oct 2010;1(4):276-286. PMID 26069559
28. Hill AD, Palmer MJ, Tanner SL, et al. Use of continuous passive motion in the postoperative treatment of intra-articular knee fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jul 16 2014;96(14):e118. PMID 25031380
29. Wright RW, Preston E, Fleming BC, et al. A systematic review of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation: part I: continuous passive motion, early weight bearing, postoperative bracing, and home-based rehabilitation. *J Knee Surg*. Jul 2008;21(3):217-224. PMID 18686484
30. Du Plessis M, Eksteen E, Jenneker A, et al. The effectiveness of continuous passive motion on range of motion, pain and muscle strength following rotator cuff repair: a systematic review. *Clin Rehabil*. Apr 2011;25(4):291-302. PMID 20943710
31. Lastayo PC, Wright T, Jaffe R, et al. Continuous passive motion after repair of the rotator cuff. A prospective outcome study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jul 1998;80(7):1002-1011. PMID 9698005
32. Raab MG, Rzeszutko D, O'Connor W, et al. Early results of continuous passive motion after rotator cuff repair: a prospective, randomized, blinded, controlled study. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. Mar 1996;25(3):214-220. PMID 8775698
33. Michael JW, Konig DP, Imhoff AB, et al. [Efficiency of a postoperative treatment after rotator cuff repair with a continuous passive motion device (CPM)] [German]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb*. Jul-Aug 2005;143(4):438-445. PMID 16118760
34. Garofalo R, Conti M, Notarnicola A, et al. Effects of one-month continuous passive motion after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: results at 1-year follow-up of a prospective randomized study. *Musculoskelet Surg*. May 2010;94 Suppl 1:S79-83. PMID 20383685
35. Simkin PA, de Lateur BJ, Alquist AD, et al. Continuous passive motion for osteoarthritis of the hip: a pilot study. *J Rheumatol*. Sep 1999;26(9):1987-1991. PMID 10493681
36. Dundar U, Toktas H, Cakir T, et al. Continuous passive motion provides good pain control in patients with adhesive capsulitis. *Int J Rehabil Res*. Sep 2009;32(3):193-198. PMID 19011582
37. Ekim AA, Inal EE, Gonullu E, et al. Continuous passive motion in adhesive capsulitis patients with diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. Nov 21 2016;29(4):779-786. PMID 27002662
38. Lindenhovius AL, van de Luijtgaarden K, Ring D, et al. Open elbow contracture release: postoperative management with and without continuous passive motion. *J Hand Surg Am*. May-Jun 2009;34(5):858-865. PMID 19362791
39. Ring D, Simmons BP, Hayes M. Continuous passive motion following metacarpophalangeal joint arthroplasty. *J Hand Surg Am*. May 1998;23(3):505-511. PMID 9620192

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

40. Schwartz DA, Chafetz R. Continuous passive motion after tenolysis in hand therapy patients: a retrospective study. *J Hand Ther.* Jul-Sep 2008;21(3):261-266; quiz 267. PMID 18652971

41. Zeifang F, Carstens C, Schneider S, et al. Continuous passive motion versus immobilisation in a cast after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, blinded, randomised, clinical study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Dec 2005;87(12):1663-1665. PMID 16326882

42. Kasten P, Geiger F, Zeifang F, et al. Compliance with continuous passive movement is low after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, double-blinded clinical study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Mar 2007;89(3):375-377. PMID 17356153

43. Gavish L, Barzilay Y, Koren C, et al. Novel continuous passive motion device for self-treatment of chronic lower back pain: a randomised controlled study. *Physiotherapy.* Mar 2015;101(1):75-81. PMID 25280603

44. Lynch D, Ferraro M, Krol J, et al. Continuous passive motion improves shoulder joint integrity following stroke. *Clin Rehabil.* Sep 2005;19(6):594-599. PMID 16180594

45. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. *Surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee: Evidence-based clinical practice guideline.* Rosemont, IL: AAOS; 2015.

46. Postel JM, Thoumie P, Missaoui B, et al. Continuous passive motion compared with intermittent mobilization after total knee arthroplasty. *Elaboration of French clinical practice guidelines. Ann Readapt Med Phys.* May 2007;50(4):244-257. PMID 17412445

47. Center for Medicare & Medicaid. *National Coverage Decision (NCD) for Durable Medical Equipment Reference List (280.1).* 2005; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCID=190&bc=AgAAQAAAAAAAA&ncdver=2>. Accessed September 30, 2019.

48. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association *Medical Policy Reference Manual.* 1.01.10, Continuous Passive Motion in the Home Setting. April 2019

Joint Active Systems

Joint Active Systems, Inc. [Website]: <http://www.jointactivesystems.com>. Accessed September 30, 2019.

X. POLICY HISTORY

[Top](#)

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| MP 6.039 | CAC 2/22/05 |
| | CAC 3/28/06 |
| | CAC 3/27/07 |
| | CAC 5/27/08 |
| | CAC 5/26/09 |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| POLICY TITLE | MECHANICAL STRETCHING DEVICES FOR CONTRACTURE AND JOINT STIFFNESS |
| POLICY NUMBER | MP-6.039 |

| |
|---|
| CAC 5/25/10 Consensus |
| CAC 9/28/10 Adopted BCBSA criteria language regarding CPM use for conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises. Revised CPM statement for other joints from “investigational” to “not medically necessary”. Adopted BCBSA “not medically necessary” statement for the use of a CPM device for all other conditions not specified in medically necessary criteria. |
| CAC 10/25/11 Consensus Review. Reference to FEP medical policy added. |
| 10/23/12- Codes reviewed |
| CAC 3/26/13 Consensus Review--. References updated. Added BCBSA Background/Description for Continuous Passive Motion Devices. |
| 05/13/13 Admin code review. |
| CAC 1/28/14 Consensus review. References updated. No changes to the policy statements. Codes reviewed. |
| CAC 1/27/15 Consensus review. References updated. Added rationale. No change to policy statements. 12/30/2014 Coding reviewed. Updated the ICD 9 ranges |
| CAC 1/26/16 Consensus review. No changes to the policy statements. References and rationale updated. Coding reviewed and updated. |
| Administrative Update 11/10/16 - Variation reformatting |
| CAC 3/28/17 Consensus review. No changes to the policy statements. References and rationale updated. Coding reviewed. |
| 12/29/17 Consensus review. The word “intra-” removed from the second bullet point of the first policy statement and from the text. Intent of policy statements unchanged. References, background and rationale updated. |
| 11/27/18 Consensus review. No change to policy statements. References and background updated. Rationale condensed. |
| 9/30/2019 Consensus review. No change to policy statements. References updated. |

[Top](#)

Health care benefit programs issued or administered by Capital BlueCross and/or its subsidiaries, Capital Advantage Insurance Company®, Capital Advantage Assurance Company® and Keystone Health Plan® Central. Independent licensees of the BlueCross BlueShield Association. Communications issued by Capital BlueCross in its capacity as administrator of programs and provider relations for all companies.